Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES Washington, D.C.

IN THE MATTER OF:

:Docket No.

Phase II Distribution

:2012-6

of the 2004-2009

Cable Royalty Funds

:CRB CD :2004-09

:(Phase II)

IN THE MATTER OF:

:Docket No.

Phase II Distribution

:2012-7

of the 1999-2009

:CRB SD

Satellite Royalty Funds

:1999-2009

:(Phase II)

. Volume 1

Monday,

December 8, 2014

Room LM-403

Madison Building

Library of Congress

101 Independence Avenue, SE

Washington, DC

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 a.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE SUZANNE M. BARNETT

THE HONORABLE JESSE FEDER

THE HONORABLE DAVID R. STRICKLER

Copyright Royalty Judges

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the Worldwide Subsidy Group, d/b/a Independent Producers Group:

> BRIAN BOYDSTON, ESQ. Pick & Boydston, LLP 10786 Le Conte Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90024 (213) 624-1996

On Behalf of the Settling Devotional Claimants:

> MATTHEW J. MacLEAN, ESQ. CLIFFORD HARRINGTON, ESQ. VICTORIA N. LYNCH, ESQ. Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20037-1122 (202) 663-8000

ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, ESQ. BEN STERNBERG, ESQ. Lutzker & Lutzker, LLP 1233 20th Street, NW Suite 703 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 408-7600

On Behalf of the Motion Picture Association of America:

> GREGORY O. OLANIRAN, ESQ. LUCY HOLMES PLOVNICK, ESQ. KIMBERLY NGUYEN, ESQ. WHITNEY NONNETTE, ESQ. Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP 1818 N Street N.W. 8th Floor Washington D.C. 20036 (202) 355-7900

CONTENTS

MPAA Witness	<u>Direct</u> (Cross R	<u>edire</u>	<u>ct R</u>	ecross
Jeff Rovin By Mr. Olaniran By Mr. Boydston By Mr. MacLean	42	70 76			87
Jane Saunders By Ms. Plovnick By Mr. Boydston By Mr. MacLean	104	153 194	196		
Raul Galaz By Mr. Boydston	199				
EXHIBIT NOS.	<u>Docume</u>	<u>nt</u>		<u>Id</u>	<u>Rec'd</u>
MPAA					
300-305 Public	Records	•			20
308 Olaniran Dec				98	103
309 Saunders Tes of 2004-2009	_				110
310 Saunders Tes of 1999-2009	-				110
333 Certificatio	n Reports	3			120
334 Document Pro by MPAA for					121
311 Agreement wi		nrights			126
Agreeme	ents		L		134
324 Affidavit fr to Disavow R (Admitted in	epresenta	ation	J		148
325-333 Affiday					148
353 IPG Petition					151
338 Certificatio					182
	_				

EXHI)	BIT NOS.	Document	<u>Id</u>	<u>Rec'd</u>
<u>IPG</u>				
124	email RE Con:	flicting Claim		188
102	Acknowledgme	nt of Representa	ation	219
103	IPG Represent	ted Claims		227
104	IPG Represent	ted Claims		253
105	Correspondence	ce Regarding Cla	aimed	259
	Program Title	es		
106	IPG Solicited	d Claims		279
107	Notices of Te	ermination		281
108	Confirmations	s of Agreement		282
115	Spreadsheet of	of 105-108		285
116	Letter RE BB	C Termination		295
117	Jerry Dohnal	Letter		305

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(9:36 a.m.)
3	JUDGE BARRETT: Good morning. Please
4	be seated.
5	(Off the record comments.)
6	JUDGE BARRETT: We got everything
7	ready, except the chairs. Good morning.
8	(Off the record comments.)
9	JUDGE BARRETT: We are here for
10	preliminary hearing in the matter of Distribution
11	of Cable Royalty Funds for the time period 2004
12	to 2009.
13	This is a Phase II proceeding and the
14	Distribution of Satellite Royalty Funds for the
15	period 1999 to 2009. Likewise, this is a Phase
16	II proceeding. Let's have appearances for the
17	record, please.
18	MR. OLANIRAN: Good morning, Your
19	Honor. Greg Olaniran for the Motion Picture
20	Association. I represent program suppliers. And
21	with me this morning are my colleagues, Lucy
22	Plovnick, Kim Nguyen, and Whitney Nonnette. And

22

And

1	I also have present, Ms. Jane Saunders, of the
2	Motion Picture Association.
3	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
4	MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you.
5	MR. BOYDSTON: Good morning, Your
6	Honor. Brian Boydston, on behalf of IPG,
7	Independent Producers Group. With me from IPG
8	this morning is Raul Galaz and Denise Vernon.
9	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
10	MR. MACLEAN: Good morning, Your
11	Honor. Matthew MacLean for the Settling
12	Devotional Claimants. With me at counsel's table
13	is Arnie Lutzker, Victoria Lynch, Cliff
14	Harrington, and Ben Sternberg.
15	JUDGE BARRETT: Welcome, all. Our
16	Courter Reporter, if you have not already
17	introduced yourself to her, is Ms. Katie
18	Kolodzie. And she's with the Gross Firm that has
19	our contract, for now. And we've been pleased to
20	have them the last few sessions. They've seem to
21	have done a good job.
22	I have some housekeeping matters to

The hearing as I, I'm not sure if take care of. 1 all of you were still in your offices when at the 2 zipped out some orders 3 last minute we letters, but after today the hearing times will 4 be 9:00 a.m. in the morning until 4:30 p.m. in 5 the afternoon, Monday through Thursday. 6 might recall, we take 7 As you in the morning and in 8 15-minute recess and we take a one hour recess at 9 aftern∞n, 10 mid-day. We started today at 9:30 a.m., because 11 we understand the building doesn't open to the 1.2 public until 8:30 a.m. But when I got over here 13 everyone was here, so maybe we don't need to do 14 that first thing late start after all. 15 I know some of you brought your things 16 on Friday. So I think, for purposes of this 17 hearing, Mr. Boydston, you've come the furthest 18 and might be the most likely to have difficulty 19 getting in on time. Did you have any problem 20 this morning? 21

MR. BOYDSTON:

No we didn't.

JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. So we might be 1 able to start at 9:00 a.m. every day going 2 It's too late to do that today. 3 forward. parties have proposed an order of presentation, 4 which the Judges have accepted and we anticipate 5 sticking to that order of presentation. 6 We also anticipate that the parties 7 will extend professional courtesies, as and when 8 necessary, should witness scheduling become an 9 issue. 10 The Clerk, Ms. Whittle, is the keeper 11 of the official exhibits. And she has provided, 12 13 I think, to each of you a copy of the official 14 Exhibit List for everyone's use. 15 Witnesses, when they are asked about exhibits, are to refer to the official exhibits 16 only, not copies that you're playing with, or 17 using, or making notes on, the official exhibits 18 19 that Ms. Whittle keeps. 20 At the end of the hearing then, that 21 means you will not have to supply us with, yet, more paper, because we will have the official

exhibits in our possession.

And she will make sure of it, so if any of your witnesses tries to sneak out with an official exhibit, she will track them down and get it back.

The parties have estimated time for hearing to be 26-and-a-half hours, which given our calendar, is six days. That means we will be here Monday through Thursday, and Monday, Tuesday of next week, unless everything goes faster than planned.

The time estimate includes one hour per party for opening statement and closing argument. And I remind Counsel once again that opening statement is to outline what the evidence will show, not to argue the law, and that closing argument, get the subtly there? Closing argument is to argue the law, not to state the evidence.

The Judges will monitor the timekeeping, but as a backup, MPAA will track time for IPG. IPG will track time for the Settling Devotional Claimants. And, do you see

where we're going here? The Settling Devotional Claimants will track time for MPAA. I had with me and I would like to hand out --

(Off the record comments.)

on what used to be called the List Serve for our unit. And you're probably all aware of the guidelines for submitting electronic documents, but I provided each of you with a copy of the, paper copy of it, in case you have not had an opportunity to take a look.

We are at Government's speed trying to move toward electronic filing. So we're starting with, let's get the electronic documents that we do have in a form that is most useful for you and for us. So keep that in mind. It's on our Website, if you need to refer to it again.

Just as a general matter, and this does not apply in this proceeding, thank you very much, when you submit, when any party submits paper filings, those paper filings should be ordered, tabbed, whole-punched, if necessary, in

the same way as you would like us to use them. 1 For instance, if there's an amended 2 statement, the original statement 3 binder, amended statement should the 4 whole-punched, so we can put it in a binder. 5 We received a stack about like this 6 7 with no tabs, no nothing, and no wholes. We don't have the staff, okay? We're not going to 8 9 be punching holes in a 1,000 pages of paper. So if you want us to have 10 submissions organized and accessible, make them 11 so, for the Judges and for the other parties. 12 Now, in an abundance of caution, let 13 me just say that it is our plan to issue a ruling 14 on the validity of claims, after today's hearing, 15 16 within about 60 days. We are mindful that there is a final 17 hearing scheduled for March, but we are also 1.8 mindful that Congress leaves town on Thursday, 19 and that the United States budget authorization 20 expires on Thursday. 21 In the unlikely event that Congress 22

does not pass, at least, a continuing resolution 1 to keep us open, we will grind to a halt. 2 As much as we would like to carry on 3 in the absence of spending authority, we would 4 not be permitted to work, if there is a shutdown. 5 So if that happens, we drop back down and punt. 6 Now, is there anything else we need 7 anyone want to offer for the good of the order? 8 9 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, two quick 10 points. First of all, we have two very short witnesses, I've already confirmed with both sides 11 here, who if we need to call them at all, we 12 13 would like to call immediately after lunch on Wednesday, just knock them out, only a 14 minutes a piece, to accommodate their schedule so 15 16 they don't have to wait around. 17 The other point, over the weekend, after reviewing, I think, all the exhibits, we 18 decided to withdraw a couple of challenges --19 20 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. MR. MACLEAN: to arque these 21 22 claims. We did send an amended spreadsheet to

the CRB, but I have a paper copy here, if you'd like it.

In short, we're not going to challenge IPG's 2004 claims, on the specific ground that IPG failed to attach its Exhibit A to the claim forms filed in July of 2005.

We're not going to challenge IPG's 2006 cable and satellite claims, on the ground that IPG failed to show that the claim forms were mailed in July of 2007.

And we're not going to challenge IPG's 2008 cable claim for Willie Wilson Production, on the ground that Willie Wilson Production was not identified in this 2008 cable claim.

If you're following along, in our written rebuttal statement, those correspond to arguments in our Section II, Subsections B1, B2, and a part of B3, the part of B3 that applies to IPG's 2008 cable claim, we maintain our challenge to IPG's 2008 satellite claim, on the grounds that certain claims weren't listed in its filing, but I have our amended spreadsheet here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Mr.
MacLean, I would like to have a copy of the
amended spreadsheet. You sent it electronically,
is that correct?
MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BARRETT: Would you like a hard
copy?
JUDGE FEDER: Yes I would.
JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
JUDGE FEDER: so I can see the
JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
JUDGE FEDER: electronic copy.
JUDGE BARRETT: Judge Feder is our
cyber judge, but since we're here and our
computers are not, we'll be happy to accept the
hard copy.
JUDGE FEDER: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, Mr.
MacLean.
MR. MACLEAN: And one last thing, Your
Honor. As you were stating it, I heard it, I
just wanted to confirm. If we go longer than

1	four days, I understand we are not meeting on
2	Friday, but we are resuming on Monday?
3	JUDGE BARRETT: Correct.
4	MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
6	MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, as a part
7	of our written rebuttal statement, we had a
8	request for a judicial notice of some public
9	records, and we have a few additional public
10	records that are identified as MPAA Exhibits 300
11	through 307 in our exhibit binder.
12	And since these are all public
13	documents, we wanted to ask if our request for
14	judicial notice will be accepted, and offer those
15	into evidence, you know, move their admission at
16	this time, as a housekeeping matter, because
17	they're just public records.
18	JUDGE BARRETT: Are they in the
19	binder?
20	MS. PLOVNICK: They are. Yes, Your
21	Honor.
22	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, we do have 1 some issues with these. Frankly, I would like to 2 go through them very quickly, or do it however 3 you want to do it. 4 But, in general, our issues 5 primarily in relevance these are, they are public 6 record, no question about that, and so being 7 public record, even if they're not admitted, you 8 9 are free, of course, to go find them and read 10 them. But by the same token --JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. 11 12 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. But by the same 13 token, we don't see the relevance, and I can go into detail, as need be. 14 Why don't we wait 15 JUDGE BARRETT: until they come up, I mean, if the issue of 16 relevance comes up at some point during the 17 hearing, we'll deal with it at that point, but 18 19 right now if we --MR. BOYDSTON: I also want to say, we 20 have no objection to 300, 301, 302. 21 22 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.

MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Your Honor, 1 would you like me to address the relevance issue 2 Because I'm happy to do so. 3 JUDGE BARRETT: Is it easier to do it 4 5 now, or in context? MS. PLOVNICK: I'm happy with doing it 6 7 now. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. 8 9 MS. PLOVNICK: So he said he had no objection to 300, 301, and 302. 303, 304, and 10 305 and 306 are all records related to the 1997 11 Cable Phase II proceeding. 12 And the reason that we believe that 13 they are relevant are two reasons. First, they 14 relate to some titles that are at issue in this 15 proceeding, one in particular, Victim of Love, 16 17 that has been raised, and it shows that they were already dealt with previously, and there's a 18 record as to those titles. Also, they relate to 19 credibility of witnesses that will be testifying 20 here today. 21 So there's other titles, as well, that 22

1	are addressed in there that are being offered
2	again here. Same for the 306, which is the
3	decision. 307 also addresses a claimant that is
4	at issue here, Feed the Children. And so those
5	are the public records that I was discussing and
6	why we believe they're relevant.
7	JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, 307, does that
8	relate to the notice we just received in the last
9	few weeks from Feed the Children?
10	MS. PLOVNICK: Yes, Your Honor, it
11	does.
12	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. And that is
13	that exhibit?
14	MS. PLOVNICK: Yes, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. I got it. Mr.
16	Boydston, anything further?
17	MR. BOYDSTON: Well yes, Your Honor.
18	First off, just based on the explanation we just
19	heard, we have no objection to 303, 304, and 305.
20	However, regarding 306, 306 was the
21	decision published in the Federal Register for
22	that proceeding, and that has been vacated. And

in fact, we tried to introduce it some hearings 1 ago, and the MPAA pointed out that it had been 2. vacated, and being vacated, it's vacated. 3 And so I'm not sure why that's being 4 entered here. Yes, it's in the public record, 5 but it's essentially been deleted from public 6 7 record by its vacation. With regard to 307, it was not in the 8 9 rebuttal statement and it's not responding to, it 10 is not, I don't see that this document is responsive to any position that IPG has taken in 11 12 its rebuttal statement, so I'm not sure, you know, what is important and why it should come in 13 14 when it was not part of the rebuttal statement, 15 originally. JUDGE BARRETT: Well, you know, IPG is 16 1.7 not the only other party here. Mr. MacLean, Let's Feed the Children, I think, is an issue 18 19 that was raised with regard to your client. MR. MACLEAN: Directly relevant to our 20 own case, Your Honor, and we have no objection to 21

its admission into evidence.

1	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you.
2	Excuse me just a minute.
3	(Off the record comments.)
4	MR. BOYDSTON: Just very quickly, just
5	that it's important, just so you know, IPG is
6	making no claim in the Devotional category in
7	this proceeding for Feed the Children, based on
8	what happened with the last one, so it's not
9	actually an issue for SDC.
10	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Then there
11	being no objection to 300 through 305, those are
12	admissible, they are admitted, in fact, we will
13	admit them.
14	(Whereupon, the documents previously
15	marked as MPAA Exhibits 300 through
16	305 for identification were received
17	into evidence.)
18	JUDGE BARRETT: 306 and 307, we'll
19	just wait and see how that comes up as we get to
20	the context of the issue.
21	MS. PLOVNICK: Just one final point on
22	306, that was admitted at the preliminary hearing

in the 2000 through 2003 Cable proceeding, and it 1 iust add that for I'11 admitted, so 2 was verification. 3 JUDGE BARRETT: I understand that it's 4 a vacated opinion, but the grounds for vacating 5 it, I think, are not, I mean, we have to delve 6 into a little bit, we can't just figure that out 7 in this context. So we'll see how it comes up 8 and deal with it at that time. 9 Thank you, Your Honor. 1.0 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, in the MR. MACLEAN: 11 interim, we certainly want to be fastidious and 12 correct timekeepers for MPAA, we'll certainly 13 accept that responsibility. 14 15 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. MR. MACLEAN: Would you please give, 16 maybe, so that we're all doing things uniformly, 17 some quidance as to how we should score time, 18 with respect to objections and arguments on 19 motions like we just had? 20 Well, first of JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. 21 all, I don't think we've even started the clock, 22

1	yet. But
2	MR. MACLEAN: Okay, thank you.
3	JUDGE BARRETT: when we start the
4	clock
5	MR. MACLEAN: That's helpful right
6	there.
7	JUDGE BARRETT: When we start the
8	clock, which is with the first word of MPAA's
9	opening statement, anything that happens,
10	including objections and so on and so forth,
11	during the MPAA time is MPAA's time, okay? And
12	the same with SDC and IPG. If other people are
13	objecting and doing voir dire of your witnesses
14	and what not, that's your time. Okay?
15	MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank
16	you.
17	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, just a
18	clarification there, because it's possible that
19	the party might have an objection that needs to
20	be heard and it's very complex and it takes a
21	long time.
22	Perhaps, if we have something unique

like that going on, though, we'll all acknowledge 7 it so that the time comes out of the objecting 2 party's time as opposed to, otherwise, you can 3 see that there could be a potential to suck 4 someone's time up by just objecting out 5 window, right? 6 7 JUDGE BARRETT: No one in this room would do such a thing, I know it. But, yes, you 8 9 know, I didn't get out my chisel and carve these little notes, or rules, in stone. When 10 circumstances demand accommodation we will make 11 12 accommodations, okay? 13 (No Response.) Anything else? 14 JUDGE BARRETT: 15 (No Response.) Okay, we'll 16 JUDGE BARRETT: have 17 opening statement then, from MPAA. OLANIRAN: Good morning, 18 MR. 19 It's Greq Olaniran for Motion Picture 20 Association of America. And MPAA represented program suppliers consist of member companies of 21 the Motion Picture Association, as well as other 22

producers and distributors of syndicated series, 1 movies, and other television specials. 2 While there are other claimants within 3 the program suppliers' category, MPAA-represented 4 claimants are, by far, the single largest group 5 in that category, and they certainly have far 6 more titles than any other claimant, not only in 7 the program suppliers' category, but in this 8 proceeding. 9 Without question, in our view, MPAA 10 claimants are entitled to the overwhelmingly 11 larger share of the royalties in the program 12 suppliers' category. 13 As Your Honors noted earlier, in the 14 presentation will be that 15 of suppliers' category will make its presentation 16 first, followed by the Devotional claimants. 17 presentation within And 18 our program suppliers' category will go as follows: 19 MPAA will start, make its presentation, and then 20

IPG will follow and MPAA will have an opportunity

have a responsive presentation to address

21

22

program

the

IPG

the

whatever may have happened during presentation.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

As Your Honors are aware, we filed a written rebuttal statement, and IPG returned the favor, if you will, and so our presentation will start with the testimony of Mr. Rovin, to address the categorization issues.

And just as an aside, we've not necessarily conceded that the Judges should consider the categorization issues, but his testimony, in the event that the Judges agreed to that issue, what our position will be amended, will be on those issues.

Mr. Rovin's presentation will be followed by Ms. Saunders, who will address MPAA's claims, and she would also address evidence supporting our written objections to IPG's claim on the issues of organizational authorities.

And she may return to address what else may happen during IPG's presentation. After that, she will return after IPG's presentation to address those issues.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

All of our objections to IPG's claims have been fully briefed, so we don't intend to regurgitate all of the issues that we raised in the brief. But what we're trying to do with this proceeding is highlight some of the more important issues, as well as fortify some of the things that we said in our briefs with evidence.

And we intend to demonstrate in this proceeding, between our brief and our evidentiary presentation, first and foremost, that IPG's claimants are not entitled to a presumption of validity in this proceeding.

Among other things that we intend to show is that in the past IPG has misrepresented its representational authority to these judges, not the same panel of judges, but some of the judges in the past, IPG has misrepresented its statements, and we have evidence to show that IPG did so.

We'll also show you with documents after documents in the form of affidavits and other documents, IPG's lack of authority to file

1.1

IPG's

claims, to file petitions to participate, and to 1 represent certain claimants in this proceeding. 2 We have evidence of what we think are 3 extreme cases of what we believe to be IPG's 4 You will see evidence about A&E misconduct. 5 Television, for example, where it's not one, not 6 7 two, but three termination letters, two that occurred about, roughly, ten to 11 years ago, and 8 another one two or three years ago, before IPG, 9 10 at least, we have finally had no longer claims to represent A&E Television. 11 evidence of 12 will Y011 see strong-arm tactics where threat of litigation has 13 been put upon IPG, or purported IPG claimants, to 14 compel information from those claimants. 15 Even while those claimants are saying 16 well we're not sure you represent us, and by the 17 way, we're not even sure that we have claims for 18 the proceedings that you're claiming. 19 20 You will also see evidence that IPG

withheld documents from us in discovery that show

that IPG, for certain claimants, was actually

21

terminated.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

1.8

19

20

21

We hope, between our written objections and our presentation that you will find that IPG's claimants are not entitled to a presumption of validity.

And even if the presumption were to somehow apply, the evidence that we intend to present will successfully rebut that presumption, will have demonstrated very clearly all of the basis of on which you could rely on for the dismissal of IPG's claimants.

And those basis include, who we intend to show, there were certain IPG claimants that were dismissed in a 2000 through 2003 Cable Phase II proceeding. Those claimants, we don't believe has any evidence supporting IPG's claim that your decision in that proceeding should change.

We also have the evidence of claimants that have disavowed IPG, or have terminated somehow, terminated IPG's representation of its claimants.

There are also claimants, which we'll

show that IPG produced no documents to support 1 its claim of representation, or a questionable 2 documents about whether or not IPG represents 3 those claimants, such as incomplete emails, or 4 this so-called confirmation of engagement, and 5 the other letters. 6 also have another category of 7 things that should be dismissed, because IPG 8 claimants, they failed to file their claim, or 9 cannot in some form, or fashion, credibly verify, 10 11 or validate, their entitlement to rights or royalties. 12 13 And finally, well, that takes care of our objections to IPG's claims. We also had a 14 15 second part of our presentation that addresses IPG's objections to MPAA's claims. And as I 16 mentioned, I'm sorry, strike that. 17 So the second part of our presentation 18 19 will be dealing with IPG's objections, and we 20 will show that, for the most part, those objections have no basis. 21

We will show you that a significant

amount of those objections are Mr. Galaz's own 1 unsupported allegations. We will show that some 2 objections are backed by 3 of not 4 documentary evidence. of the will show that some 5 they produced support of the 6 documents in allegation are highly questionable documents and 7 they're not admissible at all. 8 And we will show that some of the 9 allegations in their objection, they're just 10 And we hope that at the end of 11 flatly untrue. 12 your deliberations that you will find evidence to be credible, be useful, 13 14 supportive, both in terms of the objections that we have against IPG's claims, and our rebuttal of 15 16 the challenges that IPG has made against MPAA's 17 claims. And with that, I will conclude my Thank you very much. 18 remarks. Mr. Olaniran. Mr. 19 JUDGE BARRETT: 20 Boydston? BOYDSTON: Thank you. Good MR. 21 I'm pretty much just going to try and 22

There's been a lot of briefing give a roadmap. 1 you've already seen, there will be a 2 and there's this, briefing after 3 documents you've been given. 4 It's our goal and our belief that what 5 we will be doing here, I think, like Mr. Olaniran 6 7 described they will be doing here, is getting the evidence in and giving a brief description, and 8 then essentially giving it to you to go over in a 9 10 more contemplative manner. There will be some argument and things 11 like that that actually I think that really, the 12 13 way we've tried to set this up, from our perspective, is simply give you the tools to make 14 the decision. 15 In this regard, we have attached as 16 exhibits a lot of documents. In the 2000, I'll 17 go back to the 2000 and 2003 proceeding just for 18 19 a moment. In that proceeding, frankly, I think 20 we made some mistakes in that we did not get in a 21

bunch of the evidence we needed to get in, for a

variety of reasons, some of them organizational, some others.

We're not going to make that mistake have been, if anything, this time, but we exhibits that the we've over-inclusive in submitted to you ahead of time, and we will be, you know, admitting those and not going into detail on each one, but leaving that argumentation, or rather, for you to look at in conjunction with our post-hearing briefing and things like that, so that we can get this stuff in.

As you know, from reviewing these documents, there are a lot of attacks on a lot of individual claimants about IPG's and programs of IPG's, and they are voluminous and they're numerous.

We have documentation to prove that IPG had the right to make all these claims, but it takes a bit of running through, it's a paper chase to chase all that down and find each of those documents.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The frustrating thing is, we have them and we didn't really get them in 2000 and 2003,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

so we're not going to make the same mistake here.

To aid you in that, one of exhibits, Exhibit 115, is a summary of all of the IPG claimants in a chart, an Excel spreadsheet very organized fashion, that, chart in a identifies representation agreements, confirmations, correspondence on а claimant-by-claimant basis that in the are evidence that are in the binders that you have, with Bates Numbers, so that you can go through and say, okay, there's an issue here with Adler Media, and I remember that so and so said this, and so and so said that. But you won't have to then hunt and peck through these thousands of pages.

By looking at that exhibit, you can see exactly the Bates Numbers for the documents that relate to it. And I think this will be very helpful. I hope, it's our plan is that it will be helpful for you, in terms of getting through

the paper chase, as I said.

Now that is, but that document that I just mentioned, is specific to the MPAA's attacks on IPG. With regard to the SDC's attacks on IPG, I would say it's not quite as intense a paper chase, most of the evidence you will hear, or that will be presented to you in that regard, will be, there will be some documents, you know, establishing IPG's right to make the collection on these claims. There will be a number of documents, also, that are declarations and things like that.

Now many of the SDC's attacks are similar, or identical of those that they made a few months ago, made more than a few, they made earlier this year in the '99 Cable proceedings, and essentially the arguments are the same, the rebuttal is the same, and so that will probably be familiar to you when you take a look at it.

With regard to our attack on the MPAA's position, it is, at root, our point is that there's not evidence, the MPAA doesn't

provide evidence that it has the right to collect royalties on specific programs.

Now, the MPAA does have some materials that it introduced, it's introducing here in this proceeding from, for instance, CBS is one, other programmers here and there. But it is very spotty, and what is said more than the evidence that is presented, is the evidence that isn't presented, and we'll make that clear as we go through.

With regard to our attack on the SDC's position, primarily, it revolves around the fact that several entities, including Day Star Television and Billy Graham, withdrew their claims, and yet now, the SDC is trying to prosecute them and collect on them anyway, and we believe that that's not permitted. Once they withdrew, as per the rules, they're gone.

That's a fairly straightforward issue and I don't think you're going to have much testimony on it, because the SDC has indicated that they have no objection to the introduction

of our documents.

So that, generally, is an overview of our position, or what we're going to be doing here, what we're going to be presenting to you.

Briefly on the issue about whether or not IPG is entitled to a presumption, we believe we are entitled to a presumption. However, our goal is to leave no question by presenting a document to support our right to collect on every one of our claimants' claims.

And so on one hand, I think we ought to be able to win the argument that we're entitled to presumption. But, we're not resting our case on that, we are coming up with a good reason for you to find that we have the right to make these claims.

And as I said, it's a bit of a paper chase on the case of the MPAA, but I think we've got it pretty organized that when we're done and you sit down and you look at all this information, it will be clear and you will be able to identify all these specific agreements.

Thank you. 1 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr.Thank you. 2 3 MacLean? MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 Out of respect for everybody's time, I won't 5 fully rehash the content of our written rebuttal 6 7 statement and our spreadsheet of claims, I would ask the Judges to accept those as a, sort of, 8 written opening statement, but I won't say only 9 10 that. The evidence in this case will show 11 12 that IPG and Raul Galaz, in particular, cannot be 13 trusted. As will be shown, his history of filing placeholder claims, claims without authority, 14 claims where it is doubtful, or dis-proven, that 15 his alleged claimants actually own the relevant 16 copyrights, all of that persists to this very 17 day. 18 19 We'll be presenting the testimony of Walt Kowalski, the President of Bob Ross, Inc., a 20 victim of IPG's fresh and ongoing fraudulent 21

direct result of

schemes, as

a

1-38 related to Tracee Productions, which was itself a 1 registered fictitious name, and other fraudulent 2 claims by IPG. 3 the predecessors, the Copyright 4 Royalty Board were forced to change the rules for 5 identification of claimants in 2001, requiring 6 7 identification of legal names, as opposed to fictitious names. 8

Yet, IPG flouts that rule. And by the way, this was an advertent expense to other MPAA objected to that rule change on the grounds of burden to the parties to these proceedings.

But it was a necessary move, and IPG flouts that rule today, by continuing to proceed based on fictitious names, even as other parties take the extraordinary efforts have to complying with the Judges' rules.

overtly engages in category IPG shopping, use the term coined by to say overtly, because And I expressly advises its clients as to

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

categorize their programing to maximize their 1 potential return and switches claimed categories 2 from one proceeding to the next. 3 When challenged on this practice, IPG 4 claims ignorance of its own programing, and then 5 fails to produce programing exemplars, even in 6 the face of an order compelling discovery of 7 programming exemplars. 8 IPG's unabated importantly, 9 Most 10 practice of deception, disruption, and with its 11 noncompliance the rules, and demonstratively false testimony, prejudices the 12 13 rights of genuine contract-holders with legitimate claims, prejudices the public --14 Your Honor, I object. 15 MR. BOYDSTON: 16 This is argument. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 17 MACLEAN: Your Honor, the 18 MR. at 19 conclusion of this hearing, we will each 20 Judges to dismiss οf IPG's claims, identified in our written rebuttal statement and 21 amended spreadsheet of claimant's challenges, and 22

we will ask for IPG's disqualification, as a 1 before these claimants 2 representative of proceedings. 3 We will not ask for the dismissal of 4 any innocent claimant demonstrated to have an 5 authorized and valid claim properly categorized 6 7 in the Devotional category. But just as no court would allow an 8 attorney with a history of fraud and deception to 9 appear before it, so to this body has 10 authority and should exercise it to regulate and 11 12 disqualify when appropriate the agents that 13 appear before it. And I'll leave the balance of my time for closing argument. 14 15 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. 16 MacLean. Mr. Olaniran, you may call your first 17 witness. Sure. MPAA calls Mr. 1.8 MR. OLANIRAN: Jeff Rovin. 19 MS. PLOVNICK: I'm sorry. Our witness 20 has gone to the restroom. So he'll he in in a 21 We apologize very much, Your Honor. 22

1	JUDGE BARRETT: Nature calls. Nature
2	will not be denied. Do you have a time estimate
3	for this witness?
4	MR. OLANIRAN: For my direct,
5	probably, about half-an-hour.
6	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Why don't we
7	take our morning recess at this point, 15
8	minutes, we'll get the witness here and we'll be
9	ready to get going. So we'll be at recess for 15
10	minutes.
11	MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you.
12	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went
13	off the record at 10:15 a.m. and went back on the
14	record at 10:32 a.m.)
15	JUDGE BARRETT: Good morning.
16	Please be seated, all except the
17	witness.
18	WHEREUPON,
19	JEFFREY ROVIN
20	having been called for examination by Counsel for
21	the MPAA, and having been duly sworn, was
22	examined and testified as follows:

1	JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. OLANIRAN:
5	Q Good morning, Mr. Rovin.
6	Please state your name for the record
7	and spell it, please.
8	A Jeffrey Rovin, J-E-F-R-E-YR-O-V, as
9	in Victor, I-N.
10	Q And what is your occupation?
11	A I'm a writer.
12	Q And how many years have you worked as
13	a writer?
14	A I've supported myself for 43 years.
15	Q And what kind of writing do you do?
16	A I do non-fiction. I do fiction. I do
17	magazine articles, newspaper articles, that sort
18	of thing.
19	Q Could you speak up just a little bit,
20	please?
21	A Sure.
22	Q Thank you.

1	A Okay.
2	Q And have you published any books?
3	A A hundred and forty and counting.
4	Q Were those books solely authored by
5	you or coauthored?
6	A Roughly 80 percent of them were by
7	myself, and the others were with coauthors.
8	Q Okay. And besides publishing books,
9	what other types of writing have you done?
10	A As I said, I've worked for national
11	magazines, national newspapers. I have written
12	for the World Book Encyclopedia. I have written
13	for television, comic books, various media.
14	Q And what different types of genres
15	have those books covered?
16	A Television history, pop culture, video
17	games, and, of course, novels that have a variety
18	of genres.
19	Q And have any of your writings covered
20	religious subjects or religious matters?
21	A Yes, a number of them. I have written
22	fascinating facts from the Old Testament,

1	fascinating facts from the New Testament, films
2	of Charlton Heston which contained a number of
3	Biblical epics. I wrote the novels Conversations
4	with the Devil and we just published the first
5	volume of my Earth End Saga, which seeks to
6	present a unified theory of all religion, and I
7	have created the comic book superhero Phoenix,
8	which was a mashup of various Old and New
9	Testament characters.
10	Q And do you have any experience in
11	television?
12	A Yes, I have written many books about
13	television history. I have also sold my own
14	projects to network and to syndicated TV
15	producers. I've worked as a consultant on news
16	programs, on documentaries, on TV comedies, and a
17	variety of other programs.
18	Q And do you have any experience with
19	religious programming in television?
20	A Yes. I worked with Charlton Heston in
21	1997 on his show "Charlton Heston Presents the
22	Bible, " for which I was gifted with this Official

1	Ten Commandments tie.
2	JUDGE BARRETT: Very handsome.
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you,
4	Your Honor.
5	BY MR. OLANIRAN:
6	Q Okay. Do you have any other type of
7	media experience?
8	A I worked as a pre-consultant for
9	President Clinton in 1992 and 1996.
10	Q And have you ever been called by a
11	court or a tribunal as an expert witness before?
12	A Yes, roughly 50 to 60 times.
13	Q Okay. And can you describe the nature
14	of the cases on which you have provided expert
15	testimony?
16	A Yes, they were wide-ranging,
17	intellectual property, some fair usage issues. I
18	was involved for over 10 years with the
19	termination of copyright on Superman, that
20	matter. I have worked on cases involving the
21	films Avatar, Kung-Fu Panda, and others.
22	In television, I have worked on cases

1	involving "My Name Is Earl," which actually had
2	an angelic component; "The Greatest American
3	Hero;" "Battlestar Galactica," and others.
4	Q And you made a reference to
5	intellectual property cases. What specifically
6	within the intellectual property has been this
7	subject matter on which you testified?
8	A Well, it was necessary to have a kind
9	of comprehensive understanding of prior art and
10	also, the ability to compare and analyze
11	particularly for the extrinsic test, which is
12	where I was able to draw on by experience as a
13	novel writer.
14	Q And do you know that you understand
15	the general subject matter that you have been
16	asked to address in this proceeding?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And what is that again?
19	A I was asked to ascertain whether
20	certain programs fell within the devotional or
21	program suppliers categories.
22	Q Okay. And what in your experience do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

you draw on to reach some of the conclusions that you have reached with regard to your testimony?

virtually every non-fiction or is fiction work that Ι have authored, necessary to become very proficient in whatever that's about. And particularly when I wrote the novel Conversations with the Devil, I was looking into alternatives other than exorcism for dealing with the devil, and I came across a Princeton Presbyterian scholar, J. Machen, who was a great influence in the whole devotional issue back in And his thoughts on that were very the 1920s. influential to me.

But, again, with the films of Charlton
Heston, it was necessary to read the original
Ben-Hur and understand the context in which that
was created in the 19th century and to see
DeMille's original silent film of The Ten
Commandments, which was not quite the same movie
about spectacle costumes that the more famous
remake was.

Pretty much everything I have ever

1	done has required this kind of an investigation
2	and understanding.
3	Q And are you a member of any
4	professional organization?
5	A Yes, I actually hold the record of
6	belonging to seven organizations from the Authors
7	Guild to Science Fiction Writers of America,
8	History Writers of America, et cetera.
9	MR. OLANIRAN: Based on his years of
10	experience in the field, I offer Mr. Rovin as an
11	expert in the field of television history and
12	genre as a program.
13	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I voir
14	dire?
15	JUDGE BARRETT: You may.
16	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
18	Q Good morning, Mr. Rovin.
19	A Good morning, sir.
20	Q My name is Brian Boydston. I'm the
21	attorney for Independent Producers Group.
22	And I have read your declaration that
j	1

1	you submitted and, obviously, just listened to
2	your testimony right now.
3	I wanted to ask you, do you have any
4	degrees in religion? And I say "degrees"; I mean
5	college degrees, like a doctor of divinity or
6	anything of that nature.
7	A Not even close, sir.
. 8	Q Do you have any degrees in other
9	subjects?
10	A No, I didn't have the money to go to
11	college.
12	Q Okay. Do you have any formal,
13	anything outside of something like that, do you
14	have any other formal, what you would call formal
15	religious training?
16	A Religious defined as what?
17	Q Well, you're the expert perhaps.
18	(Laughter.)
19	A Okay.
20	Q Good question, though, good question.
21	A Okay.
22	Q Anything that you would consider to be

formal religious training? Why don't we just say 1 that? 2 Yes, I've studied martial arts for 3 over half a century, and particularly Kung Fu, 4 which is more about spirituality than it is about 5 And that has put me 6 beating people up. 7 contact with a lot of great philosophical and religious thinkers and also texts that have 8 9 helped to illuminate by own views. Okay. That sounds to me like training 10 0 and perhaps even education, but informal. 11 you agree that's accurate? In other words, you 12 1.3 didn't go to a formal class and be taught certain something that, 14 things. This is just connection with martial arts, you investigated on 15 your own? 16 17 No, no, these were very formal classes within the dojos and schools that I attended. 18 19 Okay. 0 Particularly in Chinatown. 20 And those were, they were martial arts 21 22 classes that had an element of spirituality as

1	opposed to a religious class that taught Kung Fu
2	on the side? Is that a fair
3	A That's a fair statement.
4	Q Okay. Now what you're being asked to
5	do here is categorize or really define what
6	belongs in the devotional category and, then,
7	opine as to whether or not these particular
8	programs fall within it, correct?
9	A That's true.
ro	Q And so, as a first step, you have to
L1	define what the devotional category includes,
12	correct?
L3	A Yes.
L4	MR. MacLEAN: Objection. It is
L5	outside the scope of a voir dire, Your Honor.
L6	JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.
L7	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I
L8	apologize. I was just trying to frame my next
L9	questions as to what his qualifications are to do
0 2	just that.
21	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Go ahead.
22	BY MR. BOYDSTON:

1	Q I understand from what you have said
2	that you have substantial experience in many
3	fields of life, but particularly in writing and
4	media and, apparently, Kung Fu as well. What is
5	the basis for your expertise as to whether or not
6	a program has to be and I'm using some of your
7	words here you say, "In general, a homiletic
8	work ultimately proselytizes a specific point of
9	view that is strongly scripture- or deity-based.
10	By contrast, a secular work generally
11	communicates without advocating a story or
12	stories drawn from a particular religion."
13	And then, you make a distinction
14	between those two, as to one being devotional
15	MR. MacLEAN: Objection.
16	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
17	Q What is the basis for that? What is
18	the basis for your expertise to make that
19	statement?
20	MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, this is not
21	a question about, although framed that way, it is
22	not a question about his expertise. He is asking

about his opinion, which can wait until crossexamination.

JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

Q I don't want to know your opinion. I want to know, how is it that you have the basis to make a distinction like that?

In all of the works that I have done, it has been necessary to take a kind of holistic view to our society and our culture. And going back to the 19th century and the great novels, great what I would consider devotional novels, like Ben-Hur, which is subtitled "The Tale of the Christ," like Ouo Vadis, which is about the acts of the Apostle Peter, and even The Last Days of Pompeii in which Jesus is almost as important as Vesuvius, and moving, then, into the silent film era, where you had very strongly devotional films that were in response to our society in general, films like Christus or From the Manger to the Cross or, indeed, The Ten Commandments or King of Kings, as opposed to The Passion of Saint Joan or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

even Nathan the Wise from 1914.

1.3

In writing about film history or about literary history, it's necessary to understand the distinctions between these and what makes them endure or what makes them not endure.

And again, there were sources, such as the Presbyterian scholar I mentioned, Mr. Machen and his heirs, such as Father Charles Edward Coughlin on the radio, that brought me through the history of radio, which I have also written about, which had, of course, the seeds of what we saw in television in the late forties and early fifties up through the present day.

So, it is necessary to understand the entirety of the spectrum in order to focus-in on the questions that we have before us.

Q I appreciate your answer. I think a lot of it went beyond the call of my question. But, just getting at the last part of your testimony, which I think did answer the question, it sounds to me like what you are saying is the basis for this has been your own personal

1	investigation into the subject matter and your
2	own personal education as to the subject matter.
3	A Well, to me, "personal" suggests a
4	subjectivity which I hope I have not succumbed
5	to. In my writings I have always attempted to be
6	objective about these things and seek out as much
7	information as possible.
8	Q You mentioned, both in your direct
9	examination and in response to my question, the
10	Princeton scholar whose last name starts with an
11	"M" which I don't recall.
12	A Machen.
13	Q Thank you.
14	Now, in addition to Mr. Machen, were
15	there other bases for you to try to form a basis
16	for an expert opinion
17	MR. MacLEAN: Objection.
18	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
19	Q other than him?
20	MR. MacLEAN: Objection. Outside the
21	scope of voir dire. This is not about
22	JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.

Mr. Boydston, I think you've asked 1 Are you going to object or not? 2 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I am going 3 to object, but I'm just trying to determine 4 whether or not -- or he gave one scholar as being 5 one source of his expertise. I just want to know 6 7 if there are others. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, you may ask that 8 question, and then, I think, you know, you --9 10 MR. BOYDSTON: That was the question I tried to ask. 11 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. If you asked any 13 example that comes immediately to mind, it would 14 be, for example, Sigmund Freud, who wrote Moses 15 and Monotheism, which I read when researching 16 Moses for the book on Mr. Heston. 17 There was also, of course, Strong's 18 Concordance of the Bible, which is necessary 19 reading material, so that you can distinguish 20 between individual words that are used in the 21 22 Bible.

And. indeed, when I was in Hebrew 1 School, I had learned to read Hebrew, and I 2 understood that the presence or absence of accent 3 marks could influence different words. So, you 4 have to research exactly what the word means in 5 context, such as kill or murder. 6 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 7 Have you ever been asked to testify as 8 0 an expert witness as to categorizing television 9 programs as being either one type of program in 10 11 one type of category in proceedings such as this 12 or another? 13 Α Not that I can recall, no. Thank you, Your Honor. 14 MR. BOYDSTON: 15 I have nothing further. 16 IPG objects to Mr. Rovin as an expert witness in this subject. 17 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Olaniran? 18 19 MR. OLANIRAN: Just in direct response to the objection, Your Honor, Rule 702 does not 20 require a formal outside education in order to 21 22 qualify as an expert witness. And the only

requirement, according to the notes οf 1 Advisory Committee on Rules, expert can an 2 actually qualify by experience. And I think it 3 is very clarifying, Mr. Rovin's testimony and his 4 responses to Mr. Boydston's question, that he 5 clearly is an expert in that field. 6 television and 7 He understands He has talked about television programming. 8 television history, which I believe he is an 9 expert on. 10 think he bring his 11 And Ι can 12 experience to bear on the questions in this proceeding. 13 Your Honor, the SDC has MR. MacLEAN: 14 15 no objection to Mr. Rovin being qualified as an 16 expert. JUDGE BARRETT: Thank ${\tt Mr.}$ 17 you, MacLean. 18 The objection is overruled. The rule 19 requires training, 20 which refer to we can education, and experience, not all three. And 21 certainly, Mr. Rovin has education, training, and 22

1	experience sufficient to qualify him as an
2	expert, as the MPAA has requested.
3	MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. OLANIRAN:
6	Q Mr. Rovin, there are two binders in
7	front of you or right next to you. And I think
8	one of them may I approach the witness, Your
9	Honor?
10	JUDGE BARRETT: You may.
11	(Whereupon, the document was marked as
12	MPAA Exhibit No. 335 for
13	identification.)
14	BY MR. OLANIRAN:
L5	Q Mr. Rovin, you should have in front of
L6	you an exhibit premarked as Exhibit 335. Do you
L7	see that?
18	A Yes, sir.
L9	Q And what is it?
20	A It is rebuttal testimony of Jeff Rovin
21	dated October 15th, 2014.
22	Q And could you please describe what's

1	the content of that exhibit?
2	A The contents are my qualifications, my
3	methodology, the materials I was provided with,
4	and my analysis.
5	Q Okay. And this is your, Exhibit 335
6	is the report that you had provided for this
7	proceeding, correct?
8	A It is, yes.
9	Q Okay. And do you declare today that
10	this testimony, this exhibit is true and correct
11	and of your personal knowledge?
12	A Assuming it's all here, yes.
13	JUDGE BARRETT: Just make sure the
14	record is clear; it is Exhibit 335?
15	MR. OLANIRAN: Three, three, five,
16	yes, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
18	MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you.
19	I'll move to admit Exhibit 335, Your
20	Honor.
21	MR. MacLEAN: No objection, Your
22	Honor.

1	1 MR. BOYDSTON: No ob	ojection, Your
2	2 Honor.	
3	JUDGE BARRETT: Three	thirty-five is
4	4 admitted.	
5	5 MR. OLANIRAN: Thank y	ou.
6	6 (Whereupon, the docum	ent marked as
7	7 MPAA Exhibit No.	335 for
8	8 identification was	received in
9	evidence.)	
10	BY MR. OLANIRAN:	
11	Q Mr. Rovin, what specifi	ically were you
12	asked to do for this proceeding?	
13	A I was asked to asce	ertain whether
14	certain programs fell within devo	tional category
15	or the program suppliers category	r.
16	Q Okay. And preparation	n for reaching
17	the conclusions that you have rea	ached, did you
18	review any materials in connec	tion with the
19	19 assignment?	
20	A Yes. I was provided, Y	Cour Honors, we
21	have a list of 105, I think, prog	grams that I was
22	told were cross-claimed by IPG.	I was provided

1	with 13 DVDs, with the redacted testimony of Dr.
2	Brown, and also, with the June 18th, 2014 Order.
3	Q And
4	JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry, the June
5	18th, 20
6	THE WITNESS: '14 Order.
7	JUDGE BARRETT: Order? Oh, thank you.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes. That was in the
9	'09 cable distribution.
LO	MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. Thank you.
L1	BY MR. OLANIRAN:
L2	Q And did you review any testimonies
L3	prior to writing your report?
4	A Only the redacted testimony of Dr.
.5	Brown.
-6	Q Okay. All right. And you mentioned
-7	devotional programs. You understand that there
.8	is a devotional programming category within the
.9	context of this proceeding, correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. And what is your understanding
22	of the definition of devotional programming?

1	A Within these proceedings, it is
2	primarily religious-themed programming.
3	Q And in providing the report that you
4	have provided, how did you approach making a
5	determination as to which program category the
6	titles, the works that you looked at
7	A Well, first, we had, of course, the
8	three-part definition which I used. And within
9	that, I went back to what has been a systemic
10	kind of a standard, yardstick, since the 1920s,
11	for homiletic, proselytic, and evangelistic
12	evaluation for devotional material that Mr.
13	Machen was basically the creator of.
14	Q Okay. And to have to get back a
15	little bit to those three words that you
16	mentioned. You mentioned proselytic, homiletic,
17	and evangelistic?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. Let's talk first about what you
20	mean when you say "proselytic".
21	A Proselytic is advocating a particular
22	religious view.

1	Q And what's homiletic?
2	A Homiletic is commentary on scripture.
3	And evangelistic is promoting a particular deity.
4	Q And how does that influence your
5	analysis of a particular work? I mean, what is
6	the significance of those three components in
7	terms of deciding whether a work belongs in one
8	place or another?
9	A It's necessary to understand the
LO	context of what on the surface would seem to be a
L1	slam-dunk. For example, the presence of Jesus or
L2	any comparable figure or the presence of angels
L3	or any kind of traditionally-religious
.4	references, which may, indeed, have a religious
L5	connotation, but not always.
L6	Q And so, if something is proselytic, is
L7	it that it tends to be more devotional or not?
L8	A Well, absolutely. Those three terms,
L9	homiletic, proselytic, and evangelistic, are for
20	me the watermarks of devotional programming.
21	Q Okay. And were you provided with all
22	the works that you mentioned that you were

1	provided with the 105 DVDs?
2	A No, 105 ·
3	Q I'm sorry, 105 titles?
4	A Titles, yes.
5	Q I'm sorry. And those were the titles
6	that were represented to you are the titles
7	claimed by IPG, correct?
8	A Correct.
9	Q And did you evaluate the entire 105
10	titles?
11	A I did not.
12	Q All right. And why not?
13	A I was provided with 13 DVDs, of which
14	I found only eight matches on the cross-claimed
15	list. So, those were the ones I analyzed.
16	Q So, of the 105, you only found eight
17	of the 15 DVDs that you were provided with that
18	matched titles on the 105 list?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	Q And you, then, went ahead and
21	evaluated the eight works, correct?
22	A That's right.

1	Q Okay. And now, is it necessary to
2	watch the DVDs to actually have an opinion about
3	whether or not a work is devotional or in some
4	other category?
5	A Absolutely.
6	Q Now why do you say that?
7	A Because, as I said, you can tick off
8	any number of elements. For example, if I were
9	to say that Jesus, Moses, Krishna, Buddha,
10	Mohammed, and John Smith were all in one
11	particular program, you might think, well, that
12	certainly sounds religious, but it is an episode
13	of South Park, which is decidedly not religious.
14	So, you really have to see it in order to make
15	that determination.
16	Q South Park is actually a religion for
17	some.
18	(Laughter.)
19	And based on your evaluation of those
20	eight titles, along with the materials that you
21	reviewed in connection with your preparation,
22	what was your conclusion as to the eight titles

that you evaluated? 1 Of the eight, one passed the threshold 2 of devotional. 3 And could you turn to page, beginning 0 4 on page 9 of Exhibit 335, and could you tell us 5 the disposition of each of the titles that you 6 reviewed? 7 The first is "Christmas Is," 8 Ά 9 where despite the fact that children are reading a book about Jesus' birth, it does so in a purely 10 historic manner, which not only makes it not 11 12 devotional, but it reminds me of the experience 13 that I had on the Heston program, where there was a battle between producers to include scripture 14 or history and how to blend them. And they were 15 16 clearly very different animals at that time and, also, here as well. 17 And could you run through the rest of 18 Q 19 the titles, please? 20 "Easter Is," again, it hasn't Α Sure. ecclesiastical agenda, denominational. 21 22 that would fall under the secular banner,

which is when you have education and history with 1 a neutrality, where you have spirituality but 2 without an agenda. 3 And I would say the same thing for 4 "The Little Shepherd," which despite the quoting 5 from the 23rd Psalm, lacked the other elements 6 that made it devotional. 7 "On Main Street," that was, again, a 8 person on the street interview program about 9 angels, about the theory of angels. And that was 10 11 not devotional. "Red Boots for Christmas," as I say 12 here, it was essentially A Christmas Carol retold 1.3 14 with allusions to God, Jesus, and the Nativity. There were carols. 15 City Forgot About "The that 16 Christmas, again, featuring the family from 17 There is no scripture. Oh, and 18 Christmas, is. it was about the "Santafication" of Christmas, 19 which, again, some people would see as inherently 20 spiritual, putting Christ back in Christmas, but 21 it doesn't contain those other elements that are

necessary to make it devotional. 1 Again, "Stableboy's Christmas" was 2 basically a history that was set at the time of 3 Jesus. 4 And finally, the "Puzzle Club's Easter 5 Adventure, " about kid detectives, of all of 6 those, kid detectives who ultimately realized 7 8 that God loves them. Ultimately, "The City that Forgot 9 About Christmas" was the one that I felt was 10 11 sufficiently devotional. And again, as I here, it follows the template of David 12 Goliath, which in 1960 was produced by 13 14 Lutheran Church, and it always had a parable, in essence. The narrative was a parable. There was 15 always scriptural quotes, and then, there was a 16 17 homiletic evaluation of those quotes. Thank you, Mr. Rovin. 0 18 MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, those are 19 all the questions I have. Thank you. 20 Thank you. 21 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston? 22

MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you. 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2. BY MR. BOYDSTON: 3 0 Mr. Rovin, when one is telling a 4 story, whether it be writing or a TV show or any 5 other media, how would you define the theme of a 6 7 story, if you are able to, in a general sense? Yes, that is always one of the more 8 slippery aspects of an intrinsic analysis, as you 9 10 The theme would be the heart, I suppose, of what the storyteller is trying to impart. 11 That is separate from the simple progression of 12 plot. 13 The simple progression of plot 14 Okay. 15 has an aspect -- themes have an aspect of the progression of the plot, is that accurate? 16 instance, let's say, if we had a war movie, it is 17 going to be about all kinds of different things 18 19 about the individual soldiers' lives, et cetera. 20 But the war they are fighting and what they are

doing in fighting the war, is that part of the

Would that be part of the theme of a

21

movie like that?

A The theme of a movie in that example would be, for example, war is terrible. Somebody who has actually been in war will find it more terrible and may also find different themes within that narrative that might not be apparent to another viewer and might not have even been intended by the creator, which is why, again, it is a slippery topic.

Q And when you are saying it is a slippery topic, is that, in part, because the idea of theme is somewhat broad in terms of what its complement parts may be?

A It's one of those words that requires qualifiers. Sort of like if we talk about gospel or if we talk about -- you know, there are different kinds of gospel. If you talk about religion, I mentioned certain aspects of Chinese tradition and philosophy that are very much a religion. To other people, Greek mythology and Zena, warrior princess, her relation with Zeus might be considered a religion. So, a theme is,

1	indeed, very subjective.
2	Q Now you were given 13 DVDs, is that
3	correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q But my understanding is you only
6	reviewed eight of them, is that correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And which ones didn't you review and
9	why?
10	A I don't recall the titles. There was
11	one DVD, "Through It All," from Dr. Wilson. The
12	others I don't recall offhand, sir.
13	Q And why was it you didn't review the
14	Willie Wilson DVD "Through It All"?
15	A It was not, to my understanding, part
16	of the TV series.
17	Q Now, with regard to I've been
18	looking at your report on pages 5 and 6. And
19	right now, focus specifically at the top of page
20	6. Well, sorry, beginning at the bottom of page
21	7 or page 5. I'm sorry.
22	It says, "In short, there is a clear

demarcation between works that are merely 1 reverential, e.q., God is great, Christmas is for 2 celebrating Jesus, and those that directly or 3 implicitly encourage the viewer to embrace a 4 specific religious point of view." 5 Now the last phrase there where you 6 are saying, essentially, that -- well, I think 7 8 the weight you're -- within the body, the context of the report, what you are saying is that a 9 devotional program is one that would directly or 10 11 implicitly encourage the viewer to embrace a specific point of view. Is that accurate? 12 13 I'm sorry, you're going to have to repeat that. 14 I kind hashed the question. 15 Yes. You know, you can see the sentence I'm 16 17 talking about, correct? Α Oh, sure. 18 19 Okay. And in it, you're talking about 20 a demarcation between a program that is merely -- and you give a parenthetical reverential 21 description there -- and one that implicitly or 22

directly encourages the viewer to embrace a specific religious point of view.

My reading of this in context is that that latter condition, if you will, is what you are saying should be in the devotional category?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And then, at the end of that paragraph, there is a sentence that says, "Naked content, even when there is mention of God, Moses, Jesus, the clergy, or superficial interpolations of scriptural ideas, e.g., the Golden Rule, which has been secularized, despite it appearing in Luke 6:31, do not make a program devotional."

That last sentence in conjunction with the one above, what I am getting from that is that you are saying that, even if a program has references to things like Christmas or Jesus, something like that, it will not be devotional unless it is also directly or implicitly encouraging the viewer to embrace a specific religious point of view, is that correct?

1	A As I understood what you just said,
2	yes, it seems to be correct.
3	Q Okay. So that, it appears to me that
4	the definition that you're advocating for a
5	program to fit within the devotional category is
6	it has to be one that is trying to encourage a
7	viewer to take a religious view, correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. And in doing that, I mean, to
LO	my mind, that's sending a message to the viewer,
L1	this particular religion or this particular
L2	religious view is good; adopt it. Is that fair
L3	to say?
L4	A I wouldn't say necessarily "good". I
L5	would say they're saying it's available to you,
L6	if you choose to adopt it.
L7	Q But it's not just telling a story?
8.	It's delivering a message? Is that fair to say?
_9	A Yes.
20	MR. BOYDSTON: I have nothing further.
21	JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Olaniran, anything
22	further?

1	Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. MacLean?
2	MR. MacLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. MacLEAN:
5	Q Good morning, Mr. Rovin.
6	I'm Matthew MacLean. I represent the
7	Settling Devotional Claimants.
8	A Good morning.
9	Q Among the programs that you did not
10	review was, as Mr. Boydston mentioned, Dr. Willie
11	Wilson's "Through It All," is that correct?
12	A I watched it. I did not review it in
13	the text here.
14	Q You didn't undertake an analysis as to
15	whether it was properly categorized in either the
16	devotional category or the program suppliers
17	category?
18	A Correct, I did not.
19	Q And the reason for that is because?
20	Could you explain the reason why you did not
21	categorize it?
22	A I didn't see it on the list of

1	programs that I had been provided with.
2	Q This particular program, Dr. Wilson's
3	"Through It All," was, in fact, a commercially-
4	produced DVD and purported to be a commercially-
5	produced DVD produced by Willie Wilson
6	Productions, is that right?
7	A I assume so. Okay.
8	Q It did not purport, even purport on
9	its face, to be a broadcast television program?
10	A No. That's correct.
11	Q Without reviewing, without watching
12	the broadcast television program, would you have
13	been able to categorize Dr. Willie Wilson's "Sing
14	Station" or any of the other programs on IPG's
15	list that you didn't have broadcast
16	A No.
L7	Q Why is that?
18	A As I said before, one has to see the
L9	program and observe the nuance and the content.
20	Q As an author, you would not judge a
21	book by its cover?
22	A Correct.

1	Q Now, when you were asked about the
2	presence of a theme in a work of authorship, can
3	there be a distinction between a work's primary
4	theme and other themes present in the work?
5	A Again, art is full of people who have
6	interpreted and reinterpreted and found new
7	meaning in virtually every great work. So, sure.
8	Q A work can have many themes?
9	A It can.
10	Q And many great works that aren't
11	necessarily primarily devotional have devotional
12	themes in them?
13	A Sure. To some people, Ben-Hur is a
14	"Tale of the Christ". As General Lew Wallace,
15	the author, said, "To some people, it is a four-
16	hour melodrama about a chariot race."
17	Q Or perhaps an even better example
18	would be The Ten Commandments, which you worked
19	on?
20	A The Ten Commandments, the 1956 film?
21	Well, I would be hard-pressed to find devotional
22	content there, but okay.

Well, it's a reference to a Biblical 1 0 2 story, right? but it's a reference more to Yes, 3 costumes than it is to the 4 spectacle and 5 Deuteronomy. JUDGE STRICKLER: Excuse me. б Are you 7 saying you don't any devotional aspect at all to The Ten Commandments movie? 8 Oh, I do. I do, but, 9 THE WITNESS: again, that requires somewhat goodwill on the 10 part of the audience. And I remember a lot of 11 audiences were profoundly moved by 12 The 13 But, when you look at it as Commandments. whole and apply Mr. Machen's standards, it 14 15 doesn't really fulfill entirely all of them. would say that one may be on the cusp, but that 16 depends on the viewer. 17 JUDGE STRICKLER: If it depends on the 18 19 viewer, are you saying that it is subjective? Some viewers might say, "I get a devotional 20 charge out of this movie. It reaffirms my 21 particular faith in what the Ten Commandments 22

stand for, as I studied them in school, in religious school, as a child."? And that person, subjectively, finds that it is devotional, primarily devotional in nature; whereas, you say, "I look at it through my own lens and my own background as a writer and I don't see that."?

THE WITNESS: Absolutely, Your Honor,

THE WITNESS: Absolutely, Your Honor, in the sense that, again, a lot of these works, not all of them, but a lot of them -- and The Ten Commandments is certainly one -- require the goodwill of the viewer. It requires what you're bringing to the table as a member of the audience.

There are others, sticking with this theme of Ben-Hur, for example, with Christ, the redeemer, and the curing of the lepers, and his blood flowing through Golgotha, that is much more overtly devotional.

And I would also add that the original silent version of The Ten Commandments is absolutely devotional, which is where my divide comes in, because that was a parable about

corrupt modern life with an extended flashback to 1 the receiving of the Ten Commandments, and how, 2 returning to the modern story, the sinners have 3 to be healed and made better. 4 JUDGE STRICKLER: Ι suppose 5 my concern, which is the questioning now, is between 6 7 subjective and objective. I appreciate and respect your opinion on that, but does that mean 8 9 opinion what's primarily that your as to 10 devotional compared to what the masses or the hoi polloi says is devotional in nature with regard 11 12 to a particular program should carry more weight? 13 Is that your testimony? Is that your position? Well, what I'm saying, 14 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, is that there's -- and the Court, in 15 its wisdom, defined that as primarily religious-16 Because there are people who are 17 themed works. 18 qoing to approach different stories like It's a 19 Wonderful Life, for example, and find 20 spirituality in that. But, in order to categorize something 21

as more objectively devotional, I refer to Mr.

22

Machen's guidelines once again, largely because 1 they were present at the origin of devotional 2 programming in radio in 1930. I mentioned Father 3 "The Catholic Hour," "the Coughlin before. 4 these programs Lutheran Hour, " all of 5 devotional and, indeed, they banded together to 6 form the Federal Council of Churches to kick out 7 the evangelicals and everyone who didn't conform 8 to a specific denominational vehicle. And that 9 ban took many years to overturn. And then, that 1.0 conceit moved into television and remained with 11 Bishop Fulton J. Sheen, the first televangelist, 12 13 remained in place since the early 1950s. Do you consider JUDGE STRICKLER: 14 whether commercial time is purchased with a 15 program of indicative of whether it is primarily 16 devotionally-themed? 17 No. The long-form of THE WITNESS: 18 19 fundraisers, if you will, are to different animal altogether. 20 Maybe my question JUDGE STRICKLER: 21 I'm sorry. I'm not talking about 22 wasn't clear.

the fundraisers. I am talking about whether or 1 not commercials are placed. 2 I watch The Ten Commandments or 3 4 It's a Wonderful Life -- it was on last weekend, 5 as a matter of fact --THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 7 JUDGE STRICKLER: there's commercial interruption. Do you consider whether 8 9 there's commercial interruption in a program, as to whether or not that tends to show whether it 10 in your opinion, primarily devotional in 11 1.2 nature or not? 13 THE WITNESS: No, no. As a matter of 14 fact, Cecil DeMille himself made the observation about The Ten Commandments that he heard clicking 15 in the audience during the previews, and he 16 couldn't understand what it was, and then, people 17 18 would leave. And he realized women were opening their purses to get their handkerchiefs out and, 19 then, walking out. So, they needed a break from 20 21 the experience before returning. Ι 22 And many cases, suppose

1	commercial break would be somewhat the same
2	thing. I don't think it influences it.
3	JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.
4	BY MR. MacLEAN:
5	Q Coming back to this question of
6	JUDGE BARRETT: Excuse me.
7	Programs that the producers pay to air
8	would, presumably, not have commercial breaks.
9	They might have breaks.
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE BARRETT: Does that change your
12	thought about what would be considered
13	devotional, whether the producer pays to have it
14	aired as opposed to having it sponsored by a
15	commercial sponsor?
16	THE WITNESS: No, Your Honor. It's
17	common, as you know, for programs about Christian
18	life and Christian living to be shown, to have
19	time purchased for those programs. They are not
20	necessarily devotional.
21	And again, I would return to the
22	Gospel music, for example, which is specifically

about that, Christian living, Christian life. 1 And then, you have all the subsets of that, you 2 know, Urban Contemporary, Southern Progressive, 3 et cetera, et cetera. 4 So, once more, something you would 5 in order to make that watch б to have determination. 7 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 9 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean? 10 BY MR. MacLEAN: 11 Coming back to this question of a 12 Q primary theme versus other themes, as we have 13 discussed, there could be many themes in a work 14 of authorship, right? 15 Yes. 16 Α And I think now, although there might 17 be, and different people certainly can perceive 18 different themes differently, but 19 is something that really exists in authorship, is 20 that right? An author has a theme to a work of 21 22 authorship?

You know, there is a line in Superman, Α 1 the motion picture, and I'm going for the real 2 cultural icons here, the landmarks, where Lex 3 Luthor says, "Some people could look at a gum 4 wrapper and see the history of the universe in 5 And they cut to his henchman looking at a 6 gum wrapper in total befuddlement. 7 You can, if you want to, see a theme 8 There were people who when I was 9 or a message. growing up saw 2001: A Space Odyssey and saw in 10 it the secrets to all creation, and there were 11 others who were completely confused. 12 Again, this goes back to the question 13 of goodwill and what you bring to the property as 14 15 you watch. Thank you. 16 0 No further questions. 17 MR. MacLEAN: 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Mr. Olaniran? 19 JUDGE BARRETT: redirect, 20 MR. OLANIRAN: Your No21 Honor. Mr. Boydston? JUDGE BARRETT: 22

MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 1 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 3 During your answers to the questions 0 4 just asked, it seems that there is a fair amount 5 of subjectivity involved here. And what I am 6 7 referring to is your statements about Ben-Hur, The Ten Commandments, some of the others, that 8 9 you are saying it depends on what the viewer brings to it. And I think I have an idea of what 10 11 you mean by that, but could you explicate that? 12 Α Well, we're talking about an 13 individual's personal experience, and we're talking about a much more kind of didactic way of 14 15 looking at a property and analyzing it, which is why, again, I keep going back to Mr. Machen's 16 quidelines that have endured for over 90 years. 17 Subjectivity is, of course, always going because 18 1.9 it --20 Like, for instance, you said that, I think, The Ten Commandments might be devotional, 21 22 but it depends on -- the viewer has to bring a

lot to that. When you say that, do you mean that someone might watch The Ten Commandments and just say, "Gee, this is a fascinating story of a people rising up against their ruler," and get no religious impact out of it; whereas, another person might say, "Oh, this is about believing in God, and if you believe in God, then God will take your side and punish the Egyptians."?

A Well, I mean, there are those who will listen to Anne Baxter's saying, "Moses, Moses, you stubborn, splendid, adorable fool," and just get up and walk out, saying, "This is ridiculous." There's absolutely no way for me to quantify and qualify that.

Q Okay. Getting back to your testimony, though, which is that it takes something from the viewer with certain programs to determine whether or not devotional or not, it sounds like what you're saying is certain programs might deliver a devotional message to a particular viewer and not to another viewer. Is that fair to say?

A No. And again, I'm using the

1	definition of religiously-themed. A viewer might
2	find religious comfort or uplift in a program
3	that may, in fact, having nothing to do with
4	religion.
5	For an objective analysis of the
6	devotional category, more stringent guidelines
7	need to be applied, largely because of these
8	other issues of subjectivity.
9	Q But you did not attach the DVDs that
10	you reviewed to your report, correct?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q Was there any reason why?
13	· A None, sir.
14	JUDGE STRICKLER: May I interrupt for
15	a second, please?
16	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes.
17	JUDGE STRICKLER: You referred a
18	couple of times to Mr. Machen's objective
19	categorization of programming as being devotional
20	or religious in nature?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	JUDGE STRICKLER: Is he a professor?

THE WITNESS: Yes, at Princeton. 1 I thought Right. JUDGE STRICKLER: 2 you had said that. 3 Did Professor Machen have a particular 4 was categorizing programs why he 5 religiously-themed or not? 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. He was -- I don't know if I have mentioned this -- he was deeply 8 opposed to the prohibition and to Blue Laws and 9 to any attempt to legislate morality. 10 you should not do it, could not do it. 11 believed that the benefits 12 divine law should be self-evident, and he charged 13 pastors and clergy of all kind to do that through 14 parable, through scripture, and through homily. 15 And as I said, that was the approach 16 that Father Coughlin adopted when he became the 17 first coast-to-coast preacher, if you will. 18 I think it is perhaps relevant to note that his 19 first program from Detroit was to denounce the Ku 20 Klux Klan and their cross-burnings, which they 21 maintained were religiously-themedand motivated, 22

and

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

and which he used scripture to decry.

if So, Ι am JUDGE STRICKLER: understanding you correctly, Professor Machen, he identified religiously-themedprogramming because he wanted to make a distinction between what was overtly religious and what was an intertwining --I'm and correct me if wrong here an intertwining of commercialism and religion, for example, such as with the Blue Laws?

THE WITNESS: He was not, Your Honor, addressing programming per se. At the time when he was writing, there were, in fact, novels and films, and radio was just starting to come into its own as a medium.

No, he was talking about a larger world view because this was a time when the United States was ripe with religion. You had the Scopes Monkey Trial about creationism versus evolution being taught in schools. You had the rise of the Knights of Columbus, which had a lot of people afraid because there were tens of thousands of fraternal foot soldiers for Roman

So, this was very Catholicism. much 1 America's mind. 2 And he did not believe in combating 3 any of that through legislation or fear, but 4 And this was how he codified his 5 through ideas. 6 ideas. He codified his 7 JUDGE STRICKLER: objective 8 ideas by trying to make an 9 categorization of what was religiously-themed, so 10 religious issues could be tackled within an objective box, not mixed and muddled with other 11 12 things like prohibition, Blue Laws, and the like? 13 THE WITNESS: That's correct, sir. JUDGE STRICKLER: So, he wasn't trying 14 15 necessarily to distinguish between TV programs at 16 the time or even radio programs, as you are 17 saying really, but he was trying to distinguish he was not trying to distinguish between 18 19 different types of books that may have been religious or not religious? He didn't want the 20 interjection of religion to areas where 21

thought religion was not appropriate?

22

WITNESS: He would not have THE 1 He would not have espoused interjected it. 2 interjecting it in any kind of creative capacity. 3 Again, at that time, there was, you 4 know, because of the Depression in 1929, there 5 was a resurgence of sales for novels like the 6 ones I mentioned, Ben-Hur, Quo Vadis, and The 7 8 Last Days of Pompeii. And there was also a very separate at 9 that time genre of spiritual novels; for example, 1.0 11 The Woman of Andros by Thorton Wilder, which was set before the time of Christ and was a kind of 12 an everyman view of life and afterlife and things 13 14 of that nature which were not overtly religious at all. 15 So, he was working in kind of a much 16 more separate, segregated environment, if you 17 will. 18 STRICKLER: Ts there JUDGE 19 particular work or works that he has published 20 that are either mentioned in your mentioned in 21 your testimony or cited in your testimony? 22

THE WITNESS: I didn't cite any of
that, and I would be happy to get back to you
with ones that I think are relevant.
JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay. Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BOYDSTON:
Q You made a comment in response to a
question about long-form fundraisers, and you
said, "But I don't consider long-form
fundraisers" and then, the answer I think may
have gotten cut off.
What was the point you were trying to
make there or started to make, if you will?
A I think I was being asked a question
about whether at the time it was purchased
Q Correct.
A necessarily made something
A necessarily made something spiritual or not.
spiritual or not.
spiritual or not. Q Right.

fundraisers"? 1 It's where an entity will buy an hour, Α 2 two hours, whatever, and perhaps have a plug at 3 the bottom identifying, at the bottom of the 4 screen, identifying who they are and what they 5 But, then, they will talk about very kind 6 secular causes, Feed the Children, 7 of Hurricane Victims, et cetera. 8 9 I see. And your point was that or you were making the point that the fact that you 10 purchased the air time is irrelevant to whether 11 or not the program is devotional? 12 Α Correct. 13 In your report you made a 14 comment that content alone is not sufficient to 15 determine whether or not a program is devotional 16 17 or not, correct? would have show me the Α You to 18 I don't want to --19 paragraph. And I didn't bring my copy up 20 Q I'm going to just go grab it. 21 You were discamping a discussion about 22

Dr. Brown and you were saying that you had a 1 disagreement about Brown in that regard. It is 2 at page 7, above the --3 Α Yes, okay. 4 And the sentence was, "These programs 5 0 help to underscore my belief that Dr. Brown's б 7 view of the topic is overbroad and that content alone is not sufficient in broad strokes to brand 8 9 a show." In saying that content alone is not 10 sufficient, are you saying that, in addition to 1.1 12 the content, there has to be something more, something proselytizing, something homiletic, et 13 Is that what you are saying there? 14 cetera? Well, of course there is content 15 Α 16 technically. I meant that a log line, an angel comes down to earth and helps his brother with 17 his love life, is something that you really have 18 19 to look into to see whether that is religious. Q whether it is proselytic, 20 Orhomiletic, et cetera, correct? 21 22 Α Exactly.

1	Q Okay. That may still mean that the
2	theme of that particular program is an angel
3	comes down from heaven, but you're saying, just
4	because the theme of it is an angel comes down
5	from heaven doesn't mean the program is
6	homiletic, proselytic, or I keep forgetting
7	the third one.
8	A Yes, evangelistic.
9	Q Evangelistic. Is that correct?
10	A Yes. I wouldn't even call that a
11	theme. That is just, as I said, a log line that
12	you put in TV Guide, when I was a kid. That is
13	really not very informative.
14	Q Well, if there is a production or a
15	piece of media where the main character is an
16	angel, wouldn't it be fair to say that the fact
17	that they're an angel is part of the theme of the
18	story?
19	A No, it's what the angels do that would
20	or could generate the theme.
21	Q But, clearly, the fact that they're
22	angels is an important aspect of the program,

1	correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q It's a main part of the story?
4	A That would go under characters.
5	MR. BOYDSTON: Nothing further, Your
6	Honor.
7	MR. OLANIRAN: No redirect, Your
8	Honor.
9	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
10	Will this witness be excused then?
11	MR. OLANIRAN: Yes, Your Honor.
12	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Rovin.
13	You are welcome to stay, but you may be excused.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honors.
15	(Witness excused.)
16	(Whereupon, the document was marked as
17	MPAA Exhibit No. 308 for
18	identification.)
19	MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, before we
20	call our next witness, I want to move the
21	admission of MPAA Exhibit 308 that is the
22	Declaration of Gregory Olaniran and attached

exhibits 10 through 31. That was filed as a part 1 of our written rebuttal statement. 2 And his declaration statement simply 3 says that these are true and correct copies of 4 documents we received in discovery from IPG. 5 Your Honor, we object MR. BOYDSTON: 6 because there is not a sponsoring witness for 7 It is kind of an odd animal because it is 8 a declaration by counsel as opposed to a witness 9 or some other person. 10 And so, I am not sure procedurally, 11 quite frankly, I'm not sure procedurally how this 12 But I simply observe there is no 13 should work. sponsoring witness, and there is supposed to be a 14 sponsoring witness. So, I am a little confused 15 as to how this should proceed. 16 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, the judges' 17 including regulations say that no evidence, 18 exhibits, may be submitted without a sponsoring 19 witness except for good cause shown. 20 Mr. Olaniran is here, and, I mean, you 21 know, he can be a witness and sponsor this, but 22

that seems like a waste of this Court's time. 1 think good cause would support the 2 So, admission of this simply as a declaration with 3 documents attached. 4 all things that were These 5 are produced in discovery, and his declaration was 6 submitted more in the nature of the kind of 7 8 declaration that would be attached to a motion for summary judgment or something along those 9 lines. 10 Also, all of the attachments are IPG's 11 own documents, things that were produced to us 12 that have IPG Bates stamp numbers on them. 13 it simply says they are true and correct copies 14 of those documents. 15 JUDGE BARRETT: ${\tt Mr.}$ Boydston, 16 17 these not IPG discovery responses? MR. BOYDSTON: They are. We have no 18 19 objection to their admission in that regard. Frankly, I was, as much as anything else, looking 20 for guidance as to what do you do when there is 2.1 I think what Ms. not a sponsoring witness. 22

1	Plovnick is saying is, okay, if you don't have a
2	sponsoring witness, but there is good cause, they
3	should get in anyway. And I understand her
4	logic.
5	So, I have no objection.
6	JUDGE BARRETT: I'm not sure I
7	understand the logic of the rule, to be honest.
8	(Laughter.)
9	But inasmuch as these are documents
10	that IPG produced, I don't think there is a basis
11	to object to their being admitted.
12	MR. BOYDSTON: And I don't have any
13	objection in that regard. It was just the
14	technical aspect.
15	MR. MacLEAN: No objection from the
16	SDC.
17	JUDGE BARRETT: Anybody want to talk
18	about the technical aspect of that objection?
19	MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, considering
20	that this is a Board that has found itself not to
21	have subpoena power, when it comes to documents
22	produced by the opposing party, we really have

1	essentially no choice but to simply submit them
2	into evidence and offer them into evidence. And
3	so, I don't see any basis at all for an objection
4	with respect to that.
5	Beyond that, I think we will just have
6	to address it as they come.
7	JUDGE BARRETT: I certainly hope
8	everyone here, and all of your colleagues in this
9	particular segment of the Bar, will join with us
LO	as we slog our way through the regulations and
11	try to make amends for this sort of thing.
L2	MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, we agree
L3	with SDC, and we would welcome the opportunity to
L4	participate in that effort with you.
L5	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
L6	MR. BOYDSTON: And I agree. I agree.
L7	This provides a little clarification, I think,
L8	for all of us here.
L9	MR. MacLEAN: And, Your Honor, I would
20	also add, if the time were to come that the Board
21	chooses to seek to promulgate new rules, we would
22	be very happy to submit public comments on those.

1	JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sure you would.
2	MS. PLOVNICK: As would MPAA.
3	JUDGE BARRETT: You know, this is not
4	a group that has ever been reticent.
5	(Laughter.)
6	Exhibit 308 is admitted for good
7	cause. Without saying the other rule doesn't
8	apply, I am just going to assign good cause, as
9	expressed by Mr. MacLean.
10	MS. PLOVNICK: All right. Thank you,
11	Your Honor.
	(Whereupon, the document marked as
12	(Whereupon, the document marked as
12	MPAA Exhibit No. 308 for
13	MPAA Exhibit No. 308 for
13	MPAA Exhibit No. 308 for identification was received in
13 14 15	MPAA Exhibit No. 308 for identification was received in evidence.)
13 14 15 16	MPAA Exhibit No. 308 for identification was received in evidence.) MS. PLOVNICK: So, MPAA calls Jane
13 14 15 16 17	MPAA Exhibit No. 308 for identification was received in evidence.) MS. PLOVNICK: So, MPAA calls Jane Saunders to the stand.
13 14 15 16 17	MPAA Exhibit No. 308 for identification was received in evidence.) MS. PLOVNICK: So, MPAA calls Jane Saunders to the stand. WHEREUPON,
13 14 15 16 17 18	MPAA Exhibit No. 308 for identification was received in evidence.) MS. PLOVNICK: So, MPAA calls Jane Saunders to the stand. WHEREUPON, JANE SAUNDERS

1	JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated.
2	DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MS. PLOVNICK:
4	Q Good morning, Ms. Saunders.
5	My name is Lucy Plovnick, for the
6	record, and I am counsel for MPAA.
7	Would you please state your did you
8	already state your name and spell it for the
9	record? If not, please do so.
10	A I have not and, yes, I will. It is
11	Jane, J-A-N-E, Saunders, S-A-U-N-D-E-R-S.
12	Q Are you currently employed?
13	A Yes, I am.
14	Q Who is your employer?
15	A The Motion Picture Association of
16	America.
17	Q And what is your position at MPAA?
18	A I am the Senior Vice President for
19	Rights Management, Policy, and Relations.
20	Q How long have you been in that
21	position?
22	A In that position, since the end of

2006, beginning of 2007. I have been with the 1 2 MPAA nearly 18 years. And what are your responsibilities? 3 As the SVP for Rights Management, Α 4 Policy, and Relations, I supervise two of MPAA's 5 royalty distribution programs, one in Canada, one 6 in the United States. I handle all of the 7 relationships between MPAA and its core members, 8 9 well as other producers we represent by CMOs, which are 10 contract with what we call Collective Management Organizations, around the 11 12 world. You just mentioned that you work with 13 CMOs around the world. Do you have any specific 14 15 ones with which you work? I work with AGICOA, which is the 16 Α largest representative claiming for producers in 17 the field of Cable Retransmission Royalties. 18 my knowledge, it's the largest one in the world, 19 20 possibly the only one in the world. work with EGEDA, which has been 21 mentioned in some of the pleadings here today, 22

1	which is a CMO representing the totality of
2	Spanish producers and representing other
3	producers as well in a host of claims for
4	remuneration, including cable retransmission
5	remuneration.
6	I sit on the Board of two Danish CMOs,
7	and I liaise very closely with oh, and one
8	more. Sorry. A German Collective Management
9	Organization, oddly with offices in the United
10	States. And I work with a host of other CMOs,
11	essentially, one or more in every country in the
12	EU and beyond.
13	Q So, do you speak any foreign
L4	languages, Ms. Saunders?
1.5	A I do.
16	Q Which ones do you speak?
17	A I speak French, Spanish. I have a
18	working knowledge I used to speak Italian
19	pretty well and German I have a working
20	knowledge, however, of German and Italian now.
21	Q So, let's talk a little bit about your
22	background and experience. What is your

1	educational background?
2	A I received my BA from Dartmouth
3	College and my law degree from Emory University
4	Law School.
5	Q Are you admitted to any state Bars?
6	A I am. I am admitted to the State Bar
7	of Georgia as well as D.C. However, I'm inactive
8	in both.
9	Q Okay. Prior to working at MPAA, what
10	did you do?
11	A I was a practicing attorney, an
12	associate attorney. I was a bankruptcy litigator
13	and, then, towards the end of my law career in
14	the private sector, I worked on compulsory
15	licensing matters, including on proceedings
16	before predecessors of this body.
17	Q And which predecessor would that be?
18	A The CRT, Copyright Royalty Tribunal.
19	Q And have you ever testified before the
20	Copyright Royalty Judges or any of their
21	predecessors?
22	A I have never testified in any capacity

1	whatsoever.
2	Q This is your first time?
3	A This is my first time.
4	Q Okay. Ms. Saunders and may I
5	approach the witness?
6	JUDGE BARRETT: You may.
7	BY MS. PLOVNICK:
8	Q You have two exhibit binders there
9	next to you.
10	A Uh-hum.
11	Q So, I am going to direct your
12	attention to what has been premarked as MPAA
13	Exhibits 309 and 310. And I think that one is in
14	the back of that binder, and the other is at the
15	very front of this binder.
16	A Oh, lucky me, two giant binders.
17	Okay. All right.
18	Q Have you found what has been premarked
19	as MPAA Exhibits 309 and 310?
20	A I have.
21	Q Have you seen MPAA Exhibits 309 and
22	310 before?

1	A Yes. They are my direct testimony in
2	each of the proceedings at issue here.
3	Q And speaking just of MPAA Exhibit 309,
4	which proceeding is that testimony for?
5	A The distribution of 2004, 2005, et
6	cetera, through 2009 of Cable Royalty Funds.
7	Q And that is your written direct
8	testimony?
9	A It is.
10	Q What date was it filed?
11	A May 9th.
12	Q And then, looking at MPAA Exhibit 310,
13	what proceeding was that filed in?
14	A The distribution of 1999 through 2009
15	Satellite Royalties, also filed May 9.
16	Q And there are two appendices to
17	Exhibit 309. Would you please briefly describe
18	what they contain?
19	A Yes. They contain, Appendix A is a
20	list of our represented claimants in each of the
21	royalty years at issue. And then, Exhibit B is a
22	list of MPAA claimed works in each of the royalty

1	years in issue.
2	Q And does MPAA Exhibit 310 also have
3	appendices containing MPAA's claimant and title
4	lists?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Do you have any corrections to MPAA
7	Exhibits 309 or 310?
8	A No, I do not.
9	Q And do you declare today that MPAA
10	Exhibits 309 and 310 are true and correct and of
11	your personal knowledge?
12	A Yes.
13	MS. PLOVNICK: So, I move to admit
14	MPAA Exhibits 309 and 310.
15	MR. MacLEAN: No objection, Your
16	Honor.
17	MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
18	JUDGE BARNETT: 309 and 310 are
19	admitted.
20	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
21	documents were received into evidence
22	as MPAA Exhibits Nos. 309 and 310.)

1	MS. PLOVNICK: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	BY MS. PLOVNICK:
3	Q Ms. Saunders, are there any
4	differences between your cable testimony and your
5	satellite testimony in these proceedings?
6	A Yes, there are.
7	Q What are the differences?
8	A Well, the programs that would be
9	the claimants and the programs in each of the
10	Exhibits A and B, respectively, of 309 and 310
11	will have differences from royalty year to
12	royalty year.
13	Q Why?
14	A Because different claimants claim in
15	each royalty year and in each royalty fund year
16	to year, different works are retransmitted, and,
17	therefore, claimed by those claimants, and of
18	course there are different rules for
19	compensability of programs in the cable and the
20	satellite funds under the relevant Copyright Act
21	sections.
22	Q And with regard to satellite

1	programming, what is the one difference that
2	might come to mind?
3	A The key difference is that for
4	satellite royalties network programming is
5	compensable, whereas in cable, under Section 111,
6	it is not.
7	Q Are there any MPAA-represented
8	claimants that are cable-only claimants or
9	satellite-only claimants?
10	A Yes, there are. For example, the
11	Canadian Broadcasting Corporation is a cable-only
12	claimant.
13	Q They don't file satellite claims?
14	A No. Not yet.
15	Q So who does MPAA represent in this
16	proceeding?
17	A We represent a wide variety, a large
18	cross-section I would rather say, of producers
19	from our core studio numbers, our six core studio
20	members, all the way to through small
21	independent producers, my favorite being Alex
22	Paen of Animal Rescue. No offense to any other

1	claimants here present.
2	Q Does MPAA also represent non-team
3	sports?
4	A We do. We represent non-team sports,
5	and in terms of works
6	Q Just give me an example, like for
7	maybe like PGA Tour, is that
8	A Oh, yes. PGA Tour Classic, yes.
9	MR. MacLEAN: Objection. Leading.
LO	MS. PLOVNICK: Sorry.
L1	JUDGE BARRETT: That's sustained but
L2	allowed. Go ahead.
L3	BY MS. PLOVNICK:
L4	Q So but MPAA also represents the
L5	copyright owners of non-team sports, is that
6	A Yes. Oh, yes. Yes, we represent the
L7	copyright owners for a variety of works, a very
-8	diverse variety of works movies, syndicated
_9	series, non-team sports programming, talk shows,
20	specials.
21	Q So you mentioned that the list of
22	MPAA-represented claimants and titles in your

1	testimonies are broken down by royalty year. Why
2	is that done?
3	A Because different claimants claim in
4	different royalty years, depending on whether
5	they have a work that has been retransmitted for
6	a claimant that year. A compensable work, I
7	should say.
8	Q Does MPAA file royalty claims?
9	A No, we do not.
10	Q Who files MPAA's claims?
11	A Our individual claimants file royalty
12	claims either in their capacity as owner or
13	representative. They file joint sorry, we
14	have agents who file joint claims. We represent
15	agents who file joint claims.
16	Q Approximately how many claimants does
17	MPAA represent each year?
18	A We represent approximately 4,000
19	claimants in each royalty year.
20	Q Now, does MPAA represent each of those
21	4,000 claimants directly?
22	. A No. We only represent we have

about 100 direct representations, and that number 1 is rounded out by the number of joint claimants 2 represented by our claimants 3 claimants. 4 MPAA's representation So does 5 0 claimants, the specific its 6 with agreement agreement, does it authorize MPAA to represent 7 the interests of entities appearing on joint 8 claims? 9 10 Α It does. And what are the general requirements 11 0 MPAA-represented 12 party to become an 13 claimant? MPAA-represented Α To become 14 an claimant, a party has to have filed a claim, a 15 valid claim, with the Copyright Office in the 16 They have to have a 17 relevant royalty year. representation agreement with us, and I think 18 they have to be -- they have to be an authorized 19 owner or representative of a work that is being 20 claimed. 21 Do they also have to provide MPAA with 22 Q

1	a copy of the claim as filed?
2	A Oh, yes. Of course.
3	Q Were those requirements in place for
4	the 2004 through 2009 cable and 2000 through 2009
5	satellite royalty years?
6	A Yes, they were.
7	Q For the years in question, how did
8	MPAA assure itself that its claimants are
9	entitled to royalties for any particular title?
10	A We have a certification process
11	whereby claimants certify their entitlement to
12	claim individual specific programs.
13	Q What information is typically included
14	in a title certification?
15	A The name of a claimant, the capacity
16	in which they file, whether individually or as an
17	agent representing the rights at issue, so the
18	name, the capacity in which they are filing, the
19	fact that they have filed a valid claim with the
20	Copyright Office, and attached to each of our
21	certifications of entitlement is a list of the
22	programs that has been claimed by the respective

1	claimant.
2	Q And who would sign the certification
3	that would be submitted to MPAA?
4	A It would be the owner or the
5	authorized representative of the owner.
6	Q Were all of the titles listed on
7	Appendices B to MPAA Exhibits 309 and 310 subject
8	to your certification process?
9	A Yes, they were.
10	Q All right. Now, Ms. Saunders, I would
11	like to ask you a few questions about the records
12	that MPAA maintains related to the certification
13	process you just described. For the royalty
14	years at issue in this proceeding, which are, as
15	I said just a few minutes ago, 2000 to 2009
16	satellite and 2004 through 2009 cable, which is
17	for the Program Suppliers category, does MPAA
18	maintain an electronic database for its
19	certifications?
20	A No, we do not.
21	Q Can you explain to the Judges why
22	MPAA's records for that time period are not

electronic?

A Yes. For 30 years, the same individual, Marsha Kessler, who reported to me for only a brief portion of nearly those 30 years, was in charge of this program and our claimants and our certification process. She kept meticulous but hard copy records of all of her claimants and their claims.

Should I go on to say that --

Q So at any point in time, did you -was there ever a point in time where MPAA decided
to create an electronic database or --

A Yes. Yes. When I became Ms. Kessler's supervisor at the beginning of 2007, I found it in -- I thought it was the better course of wisdom to allow her to continue to manage the program in the way that she had, given her sterling reputation amongst our claimants and her meticulous work habits and her long years of experience.

However, as when she -- when she retired, which was in 2010, I directed my staff

1	at the MPAA to embark on a mission to create an
2	electronic database for our program. And we
3	invested almost two years of time and quite a lot
4	of money creating such a database, which exists
5	as of royalty year 2010 and subsequent royalty
6	years.
7	Q Are the royalty years at issue in this
8	proceeding covered by that database?
9	A No, they are not.
10	Q At some point in this proceeding, did
11	the Copyright Royalty Judges issue an order
12	requiring MPAA to create an electronic file
13	providing information taken from MPAA's paper
14	certifications?
15	A Yes, they did.
16	Q And what, if anything, did you do in
17	response to the discovery order issued by the
18	Judges?
19	A I directed my counsel to create an
20	electronic record, basically a compendium of the
21	information that we have on our on the
22	certification reports, for each of the relevant

1	royalty years.
2	Q Let me direct your attention to what
3	has been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 333. That's
4	in Volume 2.
5	A Yes. I'm going to get rid of
6	Volume 1, if that's okay with you. 333?
7	Q MPAA premarked as MPAA Exhibit 333.
8	A Okay. Ah, yes.
9	Q Have you seen this document before?
10	A I have.
11	Q And what is it?
12	A This is a communication or a letter
13	from my counsel, Greg Olaniran, to IPG's counsel,
14	Brian Boydston, instructing or advising him that,
15	pursuant to the order of the Board, we were
16	providing a file I guess two files of in
17	Excel, two Excel files, containing the results of
18	the certifications that we have for each of the
19	royalty years, that MPAA had.
20	MS. PLOVNICK: I'm going to move to
21	admit MPAA Exhibit 333 into evidence.
22	MR BOYDSTON: No objection, Your

2

1	Honor.
2	MR. MacLEAN: No objection, Your
3	Honor.
4	JUDGE BARRETT: 333 is admitted.
5	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
6	document was received into evidence as
7	MPAA Exhibit No. 333.)
8	BY MS. PLOVNICK:
9	Q Ms. Saunders, looking at MPAA Exhibit
10	333, does it mention the name of the electronic
11	file that MPAA's counsel created for IPG?
12	A It does. Maybe there is only one.
13	I'm mistaken. So actually Owner Title 2000-2009,
14	so there would have been only one.
15	Q So, and can you please say the name of
16	that file?
17	A Oh, I'm sorry. So MPAAOwnerTitle
18	2000-2009 (6308249).xls.
19	Q So, and now let me direct your
20	attention to what has been premarked as MPAA
21	Exhibit 334, which is the next tab in the binder.
22	Have you seen this before?

1	A Yes. Yes, I have.
2	Q And what is MPAA Exhibit 334?
3	A This is an extract of a page of the
4	electronic file that MPAA created at its own
5	expense to assist IPG in this proceeding pursuant
6	to the Board's order.
7	MS. PLOVNICK: I'm going to move to
8	admit MPAA Exhibit 334 into evidence.
9	MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
10	MR. MacLEAN: No objection.
11	JUDGE BARRETT: 334 is admitted.
12	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
13	document was received into evidence as
14	MPAA Exhibit No. 334.)
15	BY MS. PLOVNICK:
16	Q Now, Ms. Saunders, you testified
17	earlier that MPAA has representation agreements
18	with its claimants. Are you aware that IPG has
19	raised questions about whether MPAA represents
20	certain claimants in connection with these
21	proceedings?
22	A Yes, I am.

How did you become aware? 1 0 Well, I think that I became first 2 Α aware in the prior proceeding involving 2000 to 3 2003 cable royalties, and IPG had questioned our 4 representation of entitlement to or our 5 ---And then I have seen several certain claimants. 6 motions and documents filed in this proceeding in 7 which those challenges have been made again, and 8 I most recently saw challenges raised by IPG in 9 10 their written rebuttal statement. When you reviewed IPG's filings, in 11 list of claimants that 12 particular the purports to represent, what, if anything, did you 13 notice? 14 15 Ά noticed that there were several 16 entities mentioned that I was certain, looking into it even, that -- off the top of my 17 head, that we represented those claimants. 18 Let me direct your attention to MPAA 19 Exhibits 311 through 323. And you can start with 20 It has been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 311. 21 22 Α Yes, these are our collection --

1	or redacted copies of MPAA representation
2	agreements for multiple claimants.
3	Q And since you're flipping through,
4	what has been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 311
5	through 323, are they all MPAA representation
6	agreements?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Are these documents that MPAA
9	maintains as business records?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Did you or someone under your
12	direction retrieve these documents from MPAA's
L3	files in connection with discovery in this
14	proceeding?
15	A Yes. My staff and my counsel.
16	Q So please turn specifically to what
L7	has been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 311, and look
L8	at the last page. What MPAA claimant is this
L9	agreement with?
20	A This is with our claimant known as
21	Screenrights, the Audio-Visual Copyright Society
22	of Australia.

1	Q And is your signature on that page of
2	the document?
3	A It is.
4	MS. PLOVNICK: So I'm going to move to
5	admit MPAA Exhibit 311 into evidence.
6	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, we object
7	because it has been heavily redacted. I also
8	observe redaction should be unnecessary to the
9	extent that this is marked Restricted. We do
10	have a protective order in place. The redaction
11	makes the document not complete, and, frankly, it
12	cannot be completely interpreted in its redacted
13	form. I don't know why it is redacted, since
14	it's we have a protective order.
15	JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean, do you
16	want to weigh in?
17	MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I have no
18	objection to this exhibit.
19	JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Plovnick?
20	MS. PLOVNICK: Yes. Your Honor, I
21	would just comment on that briefly to say that
22	IPG moved to compel unredacted copies of these
	1

documents in discovery, and the Judges ruled that 1 MPAA was allowed to redact them and did not have 2 to produce unredacted copies. 3 And so these are the documents that we 4 produced to IPG in discovery in this proceeding, 5 as you can see by the MPAA Bates Stamp Numbers on 6 7 them. The redactions were -- and we gave them our redaction logs, too, in this proceeding, as 8 we're required by the Judges' orders. 9 JUDGE BARRETT: Is that correct, Mr. 10 You received redaction logs? 11 Boydston? But I'd say the 12 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. 13 redaction logs were -- did not have -- were essentially devoid of content. I mean, all they 14 said was, "Confidential information." 15 They 16 didn't give any description of it. That is inaccurate 17 MS. PLOVNICK: characterization of our redaction. 18 BARRETT: Exhibit 311 is 19 JUDGE admitted. If it's redacted to the point where we 20 can't derive any valid information from it, that 21 the weight I think rather than 22

1	admissibility.
2	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
3	document was received into evidence as
4	MPAA Exhibit No. 311.)
5	MS. PLOVNICK: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	BY MS. PLOVNICK:
8	Q Ms. Saunders, looking at MPAA
9	Exhibit 311, is Screenrights an agent or a
10	copyright owner?
11	A Screenrights is an agent.
12	Q And is there does Screenrights
13	typically file a joint claim or an individual
14	A Yes.
15	
ľ	Q claim?
16	Q claim? A A joint claim.
16 17	
	A A joint claim.
17	A A joint claim. Q Is there a part of the MPAA
17	A A joint claim. Q Is there a part of the MPAA representation agreement that covers
17 18 19	A A joint claim. Q Is there a part of the MPAA representation agreement that covers representation of claimants identified in joint

1	as Agents, Copyright Owners Represented by
2	Agents, and Joint Claimants.
3	Q Ms. Saunders, as a lawyer, you know
4	that Court Reporters are magical, but we often
5	read a lot faster than we speak. So could you go
6	through that again?
7	A Absolutely. And I apologize, Your
8	Honor. I have been accused more than once of
9	speaking too quickly. Provisions Applicable to
10	MPAA-Represented Claimants Acting as Agents,
11	Copyright Owners Represented by Agents, and Joint
12	Claimants.
13	Q And, Ms. Saunders, what numbered
14	paragraphs of the of MPAA Exhibit 311 are you
15	looking at there?
16	A Numbers 11 through 14.
17	Q And paragraphs 13 through 14 are
18	redacted, but paragraphs 11 and 12, could you
19	read those into the record, please?
20	A Okay. I'm going to go really slowly.
21	I have a lot of sympathy for you at this moment.
22	JUDGE BARRETT: You don't have to go

1	any more slowly than you would normally speak.
2	THE WITNESS: Okay.
3	(Laughter)
4	Perhaps than you would normally speak.
5	(Laughter)
6	Okay. "In addition to the obligation
7	arising under paragraph 2H above, paragraph 11,
8	if, for a particular royalty year, claimant filed
9	a claim with the CRB on behalf of itself and one
10	or more other parties ("Joint Claim")
11	JUDGE BARRETT: Go ahead. You may
12	THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. I'm
13	sorry. I'm really nervous about that I'm
14	speaking
15	JUDGE BARRETT: You don't have to
16	dictate, just read.
17	THE WITNESS: Sorry. Okay. "This
18	agreement authorizes MPAA to represent all
19	parties named in the joint claim."
20	BY MS. PLOVNICK:
21	Q All right. I can stop you there, Ms.
22	Saunders.

1	A Thank you, Lucy.
2	Q Okay. Now, Ms. Saunders, please look
3	at MPAA what has been premarked as MPAA
4	Exhibits 312 through 323.
5	A Okay.
6	Q Are all of these documents similar to
7	MPAA Exhibit 311?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And just quickly, for the record,
10	let's go through and identify them. Turn to MPAA
11	what has been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 312.
12	Look at the last page. What MPAA claimant is
13	this agreement with?
14	A BBC Worldwide of Americas, Inc.
15	Q Look at what has been premarked as
16	MPAA Exhibit 313. What MPAA claimant is this
17	agreement with?
18	A Canadian Broadcasting Corporation.
19	Q And turn to MPAA Exhibit what has
20	been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 314. What MPAA
21	claimant is this agreement with?
22	A CBS Broadcasting, Inc.

1	Q And look at what has been premarked as
2	MPAA Exhibit 315. What MPAA claimant are these
3	agreements with?
4	A Compact Collections Limited.
5	Q Now, look at what has been premarked
6	as MPAA Exhibit 316. What MPAA claimant are
7	these agreements with?
8	A Fintage Publishing and Collection BV.
9	Q Look at what has been premarked as
10	MPAA Exhibit 317. What MPAA claimant is this
11	agreement with?
12	A Fox Entertainment Group, Inc.
13	Q Look at what has been premarked as
14	MPAA Exhibit 318. What MPAA claimant are these
15	agreements with?
16	A Bruce Goodman, the Goodman Group.
17	Q Look at what has been premarked as
18	MPAA Exhibit 319. Which MPAA claimant is this
19	agreement with?
20	A IFTA Collections.
21	Q Look at what has been premarked as
22	MPAA Exhibit 320. What MPAA claimant are these

1	agreements with?
2	A PGA Tour, Inc.
3	Q Look at what has been premarked as
4	MPAA Exhibit 321. What MPAA claimant is this
5	agreement with?
6	A Philip R. Hochberg.
7	Q On behalf of what entity?
8	A Oh, sorry. Transworld
9	International/IMG.
10	Q And look at what has been premarked as
11	MPAA Exhibit 322. What MPAA claimant is this
j	
12	agreement with?
12	agreement with? A United States Olympic Committee.
13	A United States Olympic Committee.
13	A United States Olympic Committee. Q And look at what has been premarked as
13 14 15	A United States Olympic Committee. Q And look at what has been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 323. What claimant does this
13 14 15 16	A United States Olympic Committee. Q And look at what has been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 323. What claimant does this agreement cover?
13 14 15 16	A United States Olympic Committee. Q And look at what has been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 323. What claimant does this agreement cover? A This agreement is signed by Edward S.
13 14 15 16 17	A United States Olympic Committee. Q And look at what has been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 323. What claimant does this agreement cover? A This agreement is signed by Edward S. Hammerman, and it has attached to it a long list
13 14 15 16 17 18	A United States Olympic Committee. Q And look at what has been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 323. What claimant does this agreement cover? A This agreement is signed by Edward S. Hammerman, and it has attached to it a long list of claimants.

1	A Yes. I think it's hold on a
2	second. LA TV Networks, Latination also is
3	there.
4	MS. PLOVNICK: At this time, I would
5	move to admit what has been premarked as MPAA
6	Exhibits 312 through 323, inclusive, into
7	evidence.
8	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I raise the
9	same objection as I did with Exhibit 311. These
10	have all been heavily redacted, including
11	essentially the entire portion that deals with
12	conflicting claims in each of these. For the
13	record, I make the objection on this all of
14	these exhibits that because they are heavily
15	redacted, despite the existence of a protective
16	order. It renders the documents ambiguous to the
17	point that they should be excluded.
18	MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I have no
19	objection to this model of presentation for the
20	representation authority for claimants.
21	JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibits I'm sorry.
22	Did we start at 312?

1	MS. PLOVNICK: It's 312 through 323.
2	JUDGE BARRETT: 312 to 323, inclusive,
3	are admitted, noting IPG's objection.
4	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
5	documents were received into evidence
6	as MPAA Exhibits Nos. 312 through
7	323.)
8	BY MS. PLOVNICK:
9	Q So, Ms. Saunders, are any of the
10	agreements in MPAA Exhibits 311 through 323
11	limited in term?
12	A No, they are not.
13	Q Would you characterize them as
14	perpetual?
15	A They would be perpetual. There is a
16	written notice provision of course. Sorry. A
17	written termination provision, but they are
18	otherwise perpetual.
19	Q Does each of the MPAA representation
20	agreements contain language indicating that MPAA
21	represents all claimants identified in joint
22	claims?

1	A Yes, it does.
2	Q And that language is included in all
3	of MPAA agreements, not just the few that we see
4	here.
5	A It is standard language in our
6	representation agreements, yes.
7	Q All right. Ms. Saunders, you
8	testified earlier that some of the claimants on
9	IPG's list of represented claimants were
10	represented by MPAA. Did you take any action in
11	response to MPAA IPG listing MPAA-represented
12	claimants in its case in this proceeding?
13	A Yes, I did. I directed my counsel to
14	contact those claimants and clarify in order
15	to clarify whether they would be represented by
16	IPG or by MPAA in these in this proceeding.
17	Q Did your attorneys take any actions
18	based on your directions?
19	A Yes. They contacted those claimants
20	and received a series of affidavits and attached
21	documents in reply.
22	Q Now, let me direct your attention to

1	MPAA Exhibits 324 through 332. Have you seen
2	these documents before?
3	A I have. These are the affidavits to
4	which I was just referring.
5	Q Now, let's go through and identify
6	each of these documents for the record. Look at
7	what has been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 324.
8	What is this document?
9	A This is
10	JUDGE BARRETT: Before we launch into
11	this series of exhibits, we're going to take our
12	noon recess. We will reconvene at 1:00. Thank
13	you.
14	(Whereupon, the above-entitled
15	proceedings went off the record at 12:03 p.m.)
16	JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Plovnick, are we
17	continuing with Ms. Saunders?
18	MS. PLOVNICK: Yes, Your Honor. We
19	will be continuing with Ms. Saunders' direct.
20	BY MS. PLOVNICK:
21	Q Now, Ms. Saunders, you were previously
22	testifying before our lunch break, and you're

1	still under oath, and you understand that.
2	A Yes, I understand.
3	Q Okay. Now, when we left off, we were
4	just I had just directed your attention to
5	MPAA Exhibit what has been premarked as MPAA
6	Exhibits 324 through 332. And I believe you were
7	beginning to identify those documents. So what
8	are MPAA Exhibits 324 through 332?
9	A These are affidavits that were
10	submitted to MPAA to disavow representation by
11	IPG in these proceedings. And to many of these
12	are attached documents and other email exchanges
13	that were not provided to MPAA in discovery.
14	Q So let's just go through and identify
15	each one of these for the record. So what has
16	been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 324, what is that
17	document? .
18	A This is an affidavit on behalf of A&E
19	Television Networks that has been provided to us
20	by SVP and Deputy General Counsel Nancy Alpert.
21	Q And is A&E currently represented by
22	MPAA?

1	A Yes, they are.
2	Q Turn to what has been premarked as
3	MPAA Exhibit 325. What is that document?
4	A This is an affidavit from Vernon Chu,
5	who is the General Counsel of BBC Worldwide
6	Americas.
7	Q And is BBC Worldwide Americas
8	currently represented by MPAA?
9	A Yes, they are. I believe they may be
10	no, I'm so sorry. I was going to say they
11	were represented by an agent, but no yes, they
12	are represented by MPAA.
13	Q Okay. Turn to what has been premarked
14	as MPAA Exhibit 326. What is that document?
15	A This is an affidavit from Mikhail
16	Borglund on behalf of he is the Managing
17	Director of Beyond International Limited. And
18	that entity is represented by MPAA through the
19	agent Fintage.
20	Q Thank you, Ms. Saunders. Turn to what
21	has been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 327. What is
22	that document?

1	A This is an affidavit from Ron
2	Devillier. Do you need me to spell that?
3	Q Please spell that.
4	A D-E-V-I, double L, I-E-R. And he is
5	78 years old and is the President and CEO of
6	Devillier Donegan Enterprises.
7	Q Is Devillier Donegan currently
8	represented by MPAA?
9	A No, they are not. They are a claimant
10	in the PTV category. Their programming is PBS
11	programming, according to this affidavit.
12	Q So turn to what has been premarked as
13	MPAA Exhibit 328. What is that document?
14	A That is an this is an affidavit
15	from Diane uh-oh Eskenazi, E-S-K-E-N-A-Z-I,
16	who is the President of GoldenFilms Finance
17	Corporation, doing business as GoldenFilms, and,
18	oh, my goodness, American Film Investment
19	Corporation. They are represented by MPAA
20	through IFTA.
21	Q Turn to MPAA what has been
22	premarked as MPAA Exhibits 329 and 330. And what

1 | are these documents?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

A These are affidavits submitted, respectively, by Tim Cook, who is the President and CEO of Pacific Family Entertainment. Pacific Family Entertainment is represented by MPAA through Compact Collections. And that was, sorry, 329. And Exhibit 330 is an affidavit of Juan Dominguez, D-O-M-I-N-G-U-E-Z, who is Vice President of Business Affairs for Pacific. And he is indicating that they are -- that IPG is not authorized to represent their interests, that they are represented by MPAA through Compact.

Q Turn to what has been premarked as MPAA Exhibit 331. And what is that document?

A This is an affidavit of Edward Safa, S-A-F-A, who is the CFO of LATV Networks. And they are represented by MPAA through Ted Hammerman.

Q Is LATV Networks connected to Urban Latino TV?

A Yes. Doing business as Latino Alternative Television and having acquired assets

1	of Urban Latino.
2	Q Now, turn to what has been premarked
3	as MPAA Exhibit 332, and what is that document?
4	A This is an affidavit from Worldwide
5	Pants representative Fred Nigro, N-I-G-R-O, who
6	is the Secretary of Worldwide Pants.
7	Q And is Worldwide Pants represented by
8	MPAA?
9	A No. They are not. They're the
10	authority to collect cable sorry. The
11	authority to collect retransmission royalties in
12	these proceedings is has been assigned to CBS.
13	The right, I should say, to collect
14	retransmission royalties has been assigned to CBS
15	Broadcasting. And, yes, CBS Broadcasting is
16	represented by MPAA in these proceedings.
17	Q Ms. Saunders, each of these affidavits
18	which we have been discussing, which are marked
19	as MPAA Exhibits 324 through 332, were they
20	previously filed with the Judges as a part of
21	MPAA's written rebuttal statement?

Yes, they were.

Α

22

MS. PLOVNICK: All right. I'm going 1 to move to admit MPAA Exhibits 324 through 332, 2. inclusive, into evidence. 3 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, first, just 4 dealing solely with 324, the first one, 5 objection there is that 324 deals with A&E 6 Broadcasting. That's not the right term -- A&E 7 IPG is making no claim on behalf of Television. 8 A&E in this proceeding, and we made that clear in 9 10 our paper, so I don't see what the relevance is. We are making no claim for it. 11 There is no 12 reason why we need to address it. 13 JUDGE BARRETT: In any year? MR. BOYDSTON: No. Not in any year in 14 15 this proceeding, no. 16 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, if I may 17 respond, A&E was included in IPG's claims for 1999 through 2005 cable and satellite. A&E was 18 19 also listed in IPG's petition to participate in this proceeding for both the cable and satellite 20 before they were consolidated. 21 22 This document also qoes to

2.1

credibility, and the documents that are attached to the A&E affidavit were never produced in discovery to MPAA either in the last proceeding or in this proceeding. IPG did claim A&E in 2002-2003 Phase 2 in their testimony and in all their filings.

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, just for clarification, we did not claim A&E in our intent to participate. In the original filings we did, but very carefully. In our intent to participate in these proceedings, we did not include A&E for various reasons, including the fact that they had terminated and we made the decision that we weren't going to pursue anything with them. We believe they breached their contract perhaps, but we are not making any claim for them, and that's why we didn't produce anything in discovery, because we are making no claim for them.

MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I have a copy of their original petition to participate that we can pull out if you need to see it. But it does list A&E.

1	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Mr. Boydston,
2	did you amend your petition to participate in any
3	way?
4	MR. BOYDSTON: We don't believe they
5	were in it.
6	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
7	MR. BOYDSTON: Listen, we make it
8	I think we can make clear, we have no claim
9	there. We put it in papers we filed with you.
10	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Thank you.
11	MR. BOYDSTON: Our written direct
12	statement says there is no claim for them.
13	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
14	MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, evidence of
15	IPG's filing of false claims in this proceeding
16	before this tribunal is relevant. We have no
17	objection to these exhibits.
18	MR. BOYDSTON: There is no evidence
19	this is a false claim. We're not making a claim.
20	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. I got it.
21	324 will be admitted, with the
22	knowledge that IPG's counsel currently is

representing that they are making no claim on 1 behalf of A&E, and it might be admitted -- I 2 mean, it is admitted for any other purposes, but 3 you're going to have to show what those purposes 4 are, Ms. Plovnick, by more than simply statement 5 of counsel from the podium. 6 MS. PLOVNICK: Understood. 7 Your Honor, if I may, MR. BOYDSTON: 8 9 with regard to the other exhibits that they have moved to admit, we object on the grounds of 10 hearsay with an asterisk. And this is kind of 11 12 similar to this issue about a sponsoring witness. All parties have in the past, and are in this 13 declarations for proceeding, presenting 14 admission. 15 civil 16 And in most courtrooms, declaration is competent evidence as long as it 17 hearsay or otherwise in support 18 is not 19 something like a motion for summary judgment. I think what is needed here is a 20 little clarification and a fair and even playing 21

And we have had some declarations that

1	were not admitted previously in other rounds. I
2	think the other parties have, too. All we're
3	all I'm saying is, if these get admitted, that
4	ought to be fair for everyone.
5	So I object because it's hearsay. By
6	the same token, I think that we all are seeking
7	to admit declarations that are hearsay, and I
8	would just like a little clarification, if
9	possible, as to what the score is going to be on
10	that ground.
11	MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I don't
12	believe we are trying to seeking to admit
13	declarations that are hearsay. My position
14	our position
15	JUDGE BARRETT: A declaration without
16	a witness present would, by definition, be
17	hearsay, Mr. MacLean.
18	MR. MacLEAN: I agree with that, Your
19	Honor, and that's why we have at least made
20	arrangements to have our witnesses present.
21	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
22	MR. MacLEAN: However, the rules allow

discretion to the Judges, and I think the standard simply has to be some witnesses are more critical for cross-examination than others. I think that's a fact. It requires judgment in some cases, which is why we have Judges, and we - the SDC has no objection to these exhibits.

MS. PLOVNICK: If I may, regulation -in your regulations, the Judges' regulations,
Section 351.10 says specifically that hearsay may
be admitted, to the extent deemed appropriate by
the Copyright Royalty Judges. So hearsay is
permissible under the regulations.

These documents are -- contain attachments that were not produced to us in discovery, many of them, you know, but they are people that IPG purports to represent, with the exception of A&E, which they are conceding today. The others are listed in their written direct statement, and even A&E, who is on their petition to participate, which my co-counsel has just given me a copy of -- if you would like to see it.

So we would say that these are almost 1 like, you know -- almost declaration of a party 2 opponent to the extent that IPG is purporting to 3 represent them. 4 Thank you. In this JUDGE BARRETT: 5 proceeding, we will permit these declarations to 6 7 be admitted. Let me back up and say Exhibit 324 is provisionally admitted. 8 above-referred to 9 · (Whereupon, the document was provisionally received 10 Exhibit evidence MPAA into as 11 No. 324.) 12 And, Ms. Plovnick, if you have some 13 other supporting documentation that you want to 14 offer to establish the other purposes for which 1.5 the A&E declaration might be admitted, I will --16 17 we will take a look at that at that point. Exhibits 325 through 333 are admitted. 18 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 19 documents were received into evidence 20 MPAA Exhibits Nos. 325 through 21 22 333.)

1	And, once again, we see, counsel, why
2	we need a regulatory overhaul.
3	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I think it
4	was that they moved for through 332, not
5	333.
6	JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, I beg your pardon.
7	You're right.
8	MS. PLOVNICK: 333 is already
9	admitted.
10	JUDGE BARRETT: 333 was already
11	admitted, so
12	MR. BOYDSTON: Right.
13	• JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
14	MS. PLOVNICK: So, Your Honor, I
15	JUDGE BARRETT: We don't have our
16	record in front of us. So if you have something
17	from the record that you would like to
18	MS. PLOVNICK: I do, Your Honor, and
19	I'm just trying to figure out what number I
20	should it was this document that I'm going
21	to is a copy of IPG's petition to participate
22	in Phase 2 proceedings, Docket Number 2012-07 CRB

1	SD 1999 through 2009. And I think that MPAA's
2	the last number we already premarked was 352, so
3	I will mark this as MPAA Exhibit 353 for
4	identification purposes.
5	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
6	document was marked as MPAA Exhibit
7	No. 353 for identification.)
8	And I guess, may I approach the
9	witness?
10	JUDGE BARRETT: You may.
11	BY MS. PLOVNICK:
12	Q Ms. Saunders, what is MPAA Exhibit
13	353?
14	A This is the IPG's petition to
15	participate in Phase 2 proceedings. I believe it
16	is limited to distribution of satellite royalty
17	funds, distribution of 1999 through 2009
18	satellite royalty funds.
19	Q Could you please flip to the attached
20	exhibit?
21	A There is an Exhibit A attached, and
22	Item Number 3 on IPG's petition to participate,

1	Exhibit A, is A&E Television Network.
2	Q Thank you, Ms. Saunders.
3	And I would move to admit MPAA Exhibit
4	353 into evidence.
5	MR. BOYDSTON: No objection, and we
6	may have been wrong. We thought it wasn't in
7	there, but we weren't sure.
8	JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 353 is
9	admitted.
10	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
11	document was received into evidence as
12	MPAA Exhibit No. 353.)
13	MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. So I have no
14	further questions for this witness at this time.
15	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
16	Mr. Boydston?
17	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	MŖ. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I apologize.
19	My colleagues and I were having a little bit of a
20	debate over lunch that I'm hoping you can help
21	resolve.
22	TITOGE BARRETT. Possibly

1	MR. MacLEAN: When we are recording
2	time sorry to revisit this issue it's our
3	understanding that during a direct examination
4	everything that occurs during the direct
5	examination is charged against the party
6	conducting direct examination, but during cross-
7	examination everything that occurs during the
8	cross-examination is charged against the party
9	conducting the cross-examination. Is that
10	accurate?
11	JUDGE BARRETT: That's the way we're
12	recording it, yes.
13	MR. MacLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	You just won me an
15	(Laughter)
16	JUDGE BARRETT: Happy to do that. You
17	can pay me later.
18	MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, while
19	counsel for IPG is approaching, may I get a
20	clarification as to whether you Exhibit 324,
21	which was provisionally admitted, I would move
22	that it be admitted in full at this time.

1	JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. Thank you. 324
2	is admitted in full.
3	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
4	document was received into evidence as
5	MPAA Exhibit No. 324.)
6	MS. PLOVNICK: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	THE WITNESS: May I ask a question?
8	What I do with 353?
9	JUDGE BARRETT: Just leave it there.
10	The Court will take care of that at the end of
11	the day.
12	Mr. Boydston?
13	. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
16	Q Ms. Sanders
17	MS. PLOVNICK: Saunders.
18	MR. BOYDSTON: Saunders, thank you.
19	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
20	Q What is your position with the
21	Canadian Copyright Collective?
22	A I am the supervisor for the Executive

1	Director.
2	Q And what generally do you do in that
3	capacity?
4	A I supervise her execution of her daily
5	duties.
6	Q "Her" being?
7	A The Executive Director of the
8	Copyright Collective of Canada, otherwise known
9	as CCC, whose name is Lucy Medeiros.
10	Q And so does do you make decisions
11	or do you oversee decisions that she makes?
12	A I oversee decisions that she makes.
13	I also collaborate on distribution rules and
14	other process rules.
15	Q And where is the MPAA where is the
16	MPAA's principal place of business?
17	A It is well, we have two, but our
18	principal place of business is in Sherman Oaks,
19	California. The office where I work is in
20	Washington, D.C.
21	Q Do you also work in Sherman Oaks then,
22	or no?

1	A No. Although I do like to go there;
2	the weather is great.
3	Q Do you work in Canada in connection
4	with your duties with the CCC?
5	A No. I travel to Toronto, but I do not
6	work in Toronto.
7	Q So is it fair to say that from
8	well, actually, where do you work? I think I
9	know, but
10	A Well, as I mentioned earlier, I travel
11	around the world dealing with CMOs all over the
12	place. So I work several weeks a year in Europe,
13	sometimes in Geneva, sometimes in the MPAA office
14	in Brussels, sometimes in other countries. I
15	work in Toronto when I am there. I work in
16	Sherman Oaks when I am there. And I work in
17	Washington when I'm here. And I also work from
18	my home location in Keswick, Virginia.
19	Q Understood.
20	A I work all the time, as near as I can
21	tell.
22	Q I'd ask you to take a look at

1	Exhibit 309, and it's your direct testimony with
2	regard to cable issues, I guess I'd put it. And
3	Appendix A thereto is a list I think you
4	testified a list of MPAA-represented claimants.
5	That's what it is titled, correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And then Exhibit B is a list of
8	claimed works, i.e. programs, television
9	programs, or things put on television, correct?
10	A Specifically, claimed sorry, works
11	claimed by our claimants to which certifications
12	have been given to MPAA.
13	Q Okay. And so for a given year, when
14	MPAA wanted to make appropriate filings with the
15	Copyright Office for copyrights copyright
16	royalties like these, I think your testimony was
17	that up through 2010 that duty was performed by
18	Marsha Kessler, correct?
19	A So to correct you, the MPAA does not
20	file claims with the Copyright Office. When our
21	claimants file claims, they provide as-filed copy
22	claims to the MPAA and, yes, until her retirement

in 2010, those certification documents were sent to Marsha Kessler. Yes.

Q And Marsha Kessler sent documents -- sent certification documentation out to the claimants, correct?

A Yes.

Q And so what she was doing in doing that job, she would be taking the programs that are in Appendix B and connecting them up with claimants in Appendix A, correct?

because I understand that's important when we are having these little conversations. And the list was Ms. Kessler would have sent to our claimants would -- it would not be this whole list to all of those claimants. It would be lists that were geared towards specific claimants, sent to those claimants, and in fact the -- all of the programs on those lists would not necessarily in every royalty year be reflected in this exhibit or these exhibits, because Exhibit B is effectively the same kind of exhibit in each cable and

1	satellite matter.
2	The claimants that we represent often
3	often line out programs that they are not
4	entitled to claim, and, therefore, do not
5	certify.
6	Q Understood. And could I ask you to
7	take a look at what has been marked as I don't
8	think it has been entered yet, but it's there in
9	one of the binders before you Exhibit 336.
10	A Okay.
11	Q I believe that's the kind of document
12	you were just describing.
13	A Yes. This is a satellite calendar
14	year 2000 satellite retransmission royalty
15	certification.
16	Q And it's kind of like a cover letter.
17	It says Certification on the first page, and it's
18	got a place for a signature for the relevant
19	people to sign, and then on the following pages
20	it has lists of programs, correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Now, these programs would have come

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

from a list like Appendix B, right? Appendix B to Exhibit 309 that we were looking at before in the other binder probably.

I do not know if they would have come Α In other words, did from a list like Exhibit B. it resemble Exhibit B or not? It would have been that we received of titles that list received or that Marsha received from our outside would indicate the list vendor that programming attributable to this claimant, which is ABC Family Worldwide, otherwise known as Fox Family Worldwide.

Okav. And the outside vendor is what I want to explore, but let me put this all in Exhibit Let's qo back to 309, context. And for Appendix B, which is the list of works. -- the first grouping is for 2004 cable. Myunderstanding is that what Marsha Kessler would do is she would go through the -- let's just talk about 2004 for now. She would go through the first page of Appendix B, and the following pages, that list all of the works of 2004 cable.

1	And then
2	MS. PLOVNICK: Objection. Oh, I'm
3	sorry. You've got to finish your question.
4	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
5	Q And then what she would do is she
6	would, from that list, pair up those match up
7	those programs with particular claimants and send
8	them a communication a certification document
9	like Exhibit 336 that they would then send back,
10	correct?
11	A No, that is not correct.
12	MS. PLOVNICK: I was I wanted to
13	object. Ms. Saunders testified that she began
14	supervising Ms. Kessler I think in 2007.
15	THE WITNESS: Correct.
16	MS. PLOVNICK: And this is from 2000,
17	and so I just want to object that he is asking to
18	the extent and if Ms. Saunders knows the
19	answer, she knows the answer. But to the extent
20	he is asking her about anything that is outside
21	her personal knowledge, I would object.

MR. BOYDSTON:

22

I'll use a different

1 | example.

1.5

JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

Q One that is within -- take a look at -- let's use Exhibit 338, which the first pages deal with a certification of entitlement to the year 2007. But just to make sure, page into it about five pages or so and you'll see a certification of entitlement for calendar year 2008, which I think would clearly have been your time period, correct?

A I believe so. I actually do not recall which specific royalty years I -- Marsha addressed while I was her supervisor at this moment in time, but I'm going to go out on a limb and say that Marsha's process, given that she did it for almost 30 years, was consistent throughout her time at MPAA.

Q Okay. And this certification of entitlement for calendar year 2008 as to the first page, on the second page lists a number of programs, correct?

1.7

A I'm sorry. The certification -- the cover page is the certification of entitlement, which you actually -- I'm sorry to say this, Mr. Boydston, inaccurately described as a cover letter. It is in fact a sworn declaration or a written declaration, and then attached to that is, yes, in the Exhibit 338, it's a list of titles that were sent to -- oh, this is to Screenrights from Australia, which they have lined various titles as not being claimable by them.

Q And what is your understanding as to how Ms. Kessler would come up with the list that appears on the second page of this?

A My understanding is that Ms. Kessler in no way came up with the list, as you said. My understanding is that an outside vendor came up with the list, sent the list to Ms. Kessler per claimant per royalty year. She would then to that list attach the certification of entitlement and ask the claimant to certify as to which of the titles, and in what capacity, they were

claiming as well as the fact that they had to 1 certify that they had filed a valid claim with 2 the Copyright Office. 3 Who is the third party vendor? 4 some of the years -- up until In 5 Α royalty year 2009, Alan Whitt of IT Processing, 6 and in 2009 we used an accounting firm by the 7 name of Resnick. 8 And what were they asked to 9 0 Obviously, they were asked to come up with this -10 - a list like this one. But broader than that, 11 Were they given a what were they asked to do? 12 list of works like what we see in Appendix B of 13 309? 14 They would take data 15 Α No. described on a day-to-day basis over a 365-day 16 period all of the programming that was broadcast 17 during that year and make adjustments to rule out 18 wholesale programming that was not in the MPAA 19 repertoire, for lack of a better word. So, for 20 example, devotional programming would be ruled 21 And that is how they would generate this 22

1	list.
2	Q And then, but
3	A Or these lists.
4	Q these and was these lists so,
5	in addition to what you just described, they
6	would then go through some sort of a process to
7	divide all the works up and pair them up with
8	individual claimants?
9	A Correct.
10	Q And then they would provide Marsha
11	Kessler or someone at MPAA with all of those
12	separate lists to send with the certification
13	documents, correct?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And in doing so well, actually, do
16	you know how they did that? I assume they did
17	that by pulling information and making up a
18	database and then producing it.
19	A I do not know how they did that,
20	actually.
21	Q Do you know how the information was
22	transmitted to the MPAA? Was it just a series of

stacked papers or --

A You will be surprised to know that in the earlier years, yes. And by that I mean royalty years up until quite recently, yes, there were enormous -- enormous stacks of paper. And subsequently, when we finally were able to move away from the paper a little bit, we would receive Excel files, or Marsha would receive Excel files.

Q Is it not true that Mr. Whitt's entity had this information electronically, printed it out and delivered to MPAA in a paper format? Is that correct?

A I know that Mr. Whitt tendered voluminous paper records to us. I have never been to Mr. Whitt's office, and I do not know how he prepared that data. And he is no longer an MPAA consultant.

Q Do you know whether or not you or anyone else on the MPAA -- on behalf of MPAA ever made a request of Mr. Whitt for the working files that his company had to perform these processes?

1	A Yes, we did. When we terminated his
2	services, he tendered us his I believe it was
3	his hard drive, and that hard drive was tendered
4	to counsel in preparation for the prior
5	proceedings, and they obviously had it
6	retained it for this one.
7	Q Are you familiar with what was on the
8	hard drive?
9	A Oh, goodness no. I am not the cyber
10	judge.
11	Q Understand that. Let me ask you to
12	take a look at Exhibit 334. And you testified
13	earlier that this was an exemplar of what was
14	provided to IPG at the request of the Judges,
15	correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. Now, it's two columns, one
18	entitled Owner (Agent), and then Title, and tell
19	me what your and this may be obvious, but for
20	the record tell me what your understanding is of
21	what the two columns represent.

The claimant name --

Α

MS. PLOVNICK: Objection. I wanted to 1 make sure that he is not asking Ms. Saunders to 2 answer about things that are beyond her personal 3 knowledge. 4 MR. BOYDSTON: Only her personal 5 knowledge. Only what her understanding is. 6 THE WITNESS: Well, when I read it, I 7 see the words Owner (Agent) and Title. And given 8 9 instructed my counsel to prepare an that I electronic copy, if you will, of information on 10 our certification reports, I'm going to go out on 11 a limb again and say this is an excerpt of that. 12 But we also produced paper copies of all of the 13 certifications for all of the programs we are 14 claiming in this proceeding. And this document 1.5 16 will not, by definition, have very valuable annotations such as you see in the Screenrights 17 exhibit we were just looking at where titles are 18 19 lined out. 20 BY MR. BOYDSTON: Right. With regard to -- let me, see, 21 0 one, two, three, four, five -- no, six -- well, 22

1	if you take at the far left, there is a number
2	and it corresponds with the line. Unfortunately,
3	the first number is number 1 is Owner, so the
4	fifth actual entity
5	A I see.
6	Q is number 6.
7	A Sure.
8	Q But looking at what is labeled
9	number 6, the fifth one down, it says ABC Family
10	Worldwide is the owner, and the property is
11	Angela Anaconda. Are you aware that Angela
12	Anaconda is actually owned by Decode
13	Entertainment?
14	A I am not aware of that.
15	Q Did you ever are you aware as to
16	whether or not the MPAA, either through counsel
17	or not through counsel, as far as you know, ever
18	obtained a declaration from ABC Family Worldwide,
19	Inc. as to whether or not it owned these
20	particular properties?
21	MS. PLOVNICK: Objection. He's
22	calling for speculation here, Your Honor.

1	MR. BOYDSTON: I'm asking if she
2	knows. She maybe she authorized, maybe she
3	said, maybe she didn't. I'm only asking what she
4	knows and nothing further.
5	JUDGE BARRETT: She can it's a yes
6	or no. Did you know?
7	THE WITNESS: If the title is in this
8	abstract that was sent to you, then, yes, it
9	would have been certified by that claimant, ABC
10	Family.
11	MR. BOYDSTON: Okay.
12	THE WITNESS: Whether they actually
13	own it or not, I don't know, but they certified
14	to it.
15	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
16	Q Now, with regard going down two
17	more to line 8, it refers to Beast Wars being
18	owned by ABC Family Worldwide. Are you aware
19	that Beast Wars is owned by Mainframe
20	Entertainment and Mainframe Entertainment has a
21	contract with IPG? Do you have any familiarity
22	with that?

1	A No, I do not.
2	MS. PLOVNICK: I object, Your Honor.
3	This calls for her to speculate and
4	JUDGE BARRETT: He is just asking if
5	she knows this he is trying to get in his own
6	evidence through some kind of negative pregnant -
7	- the objection is overruled. But, you know, if
8	you have a different objection, we'll
9	MS. PLOVNICK: Well, I also object
10	that he is going through documents that I
11	mean, I understand that you have admitted
12	Exhibits 333 and 334, but there are many other
13	documents that haven't yet been admitted that are
14	in the nature of MPAA's response to IPG's
15	rebuttal, which they haven't even put on yet.
16	And, you know, I we have not yet put on that
17	evidence, but some of this is going to be made
18	very clear when that evidence is put on, I would
19	think, so
20	JUDGE BARRETT: God willing. Mr.
21	MacLean?
22	MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I object to

Mr. Boydston's last question on grounds of lack 1 of foundation and facts not in evidence. 2 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 3 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 4 Let's look back at -- I beq your 5 0 Just give me a second. Let's change 6 pardon. 7 gears a little bit. Let's go back to Exhibit --And this is let's go to Exhibit 311. 8 representation agreement with Screenrights, I 9 10 believe, correct? Yes. 11 Α Now, with regard to -- go to page 2, 12 0 and specifically I'm curious about paragraphs 8 13 14 and 9. And, well, in general, are you familiar 15 with these representation agreements? 16 Α I am. 17 And is it fair to say, then, that you are familiar with paragraphs 8 and 9? 18 19 Α Yes. provides right that а 20 Q Now, contractual right for the MPAA to essentially go 21 to the agent, in this case Screenrights, and ask 22

of that Screenrights provide some sort 1 verification or documentation of who they have 2 assignments from, correct? 3 4 Α Upon request. Right. 5 Q On an as-needed basis. And I believe 6 it's in our sole discretion. 7 Fair enough. Do you recall whether or 8 not, or do you know whether or not, the MPAA ever 9 exercised that right with regard to Screenrights? 10 With regard to Screenrights, we do 11 Α have an affidavit that I believe that -- or a 12 letter, excuse me, from Screenrights to me that I 13 14 believe will be discussed in later testimony. 15 don't know that it has been admitted yet, and I 16 haven't talked about it, so in response to 17 allegations raised by IPG about their capacity claim, we did confer with them. 18 However, Screenrights is known to me 19 not only as a validly constituted CMO under the 20 laws of Australia; they also serve with me on the 21

General -- in the General Assembly of AGICOA.

1	And I should point out that paragraphs 8 and 9 in
2	fact, you may be dismayed to know, were actually
3	included in our representation agreement,
4	specifically for claimants like IPG, where in the
5	instance that we felt there was some dubiousness
6	to the claimant's claim, we had the right to seek
7	substantiation.
8	Q Has the MPAA done that as far as you
9	know with anybody?
10	A With anybody?
11	Q Yes.
12	A Other than IPG?
13	Q Yes.
14	A No.
15	Q Never?
16	A I can't I don't know the answer to
17	that. I did not micromanage every action that
18	Marsha took in the many years that she did this
19	job.
20	Q So none that you're aware of. As far
21	as you know well, I guess let's let me just
22	back up.

1	A That would not be accurate to say.
2	Q When I first asked I said never
3	A I have personally never done it. I
4	have personally never done it. And the only
5	instance I'm aware of is Marsha's Ms.
6	Kessler's investigation into IPG's claims.
7	Q Thank you. On the following page,
8	paragraph 10, paragraph 10 states, "This
9	agreement exists in perpetuity. However, either
10	claimant or MPAA may terminate this agreement
11	upon expiration of a written 30-day advance
12	notice." Are you familiar with this provision?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And then it continues to say, "Such
15	notice shall terminate MPAA representation only
16	as to royalty years for which the CRB has not yet
17	docketed a Phase 1 distribution proceeding at the
18	time the notice is received by the MPAA." Has
19	the MPAA received notices terminating
20	representation agreements like this as far as you
21	know?
22	A To my knowledge, we have never

1	received a notice terminating our representation.
2	Q Is it your understanding and I'm
3	not asking for a legal opinion, although you are
4	a lawyer, I'm not you're not here as a lawyer
5	is it your understanding that this provision
6	means that if someone does terminate with the
7	MPAA, the MPAA still has the right to go ahead
8	and prosecute rights on proceedings that have
9	been docketed in the Phase 1 distribution?
10	MS. PLOVNICK: I'm going to object
11	that this does in fact call for a legal
12	conclusion.
13	MR. BOYDSTON: I'm just asking her
14	understanding of the phrase, just like I would
15	any contractual party in litigation.
16	JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.
17	MR. BOYDSTON: Sustained?
18	JUDGE BARRETT: Do not answer.
19	THE WITNESS: Oh. Thank you.
20	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
21	Q Let me ask you to go back let's
22	take a look at Exhibit 338. Now, 338 there are -

1	- again, the first page is for calendar year
2	2007, and then it goes on, the next year, the
3	next year, the next year. And looking at the
4	first year, 2007, I'm looking at the third page
5	of that document which is Bates Stamp 08173, and
6	there is a bunch of it's a chart. It says
7	Owner, then Title, other items. And a number of
8	these things are crossed out. Do you see that?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And I'm assuming, but I'm asking you,
11	what is the significance of receiving something
12	like this with it crossed out?
13	A That the claimant does not claim that
14	particular title.
15	Q And looking at the third page, the one
16	I originally directed everyone to, the third item
17	down lists the owner as Audio-Visual Copyright
18	Society Limited, trading as Screenrights. And
19	the title is Outdoorsman with Buck McNeely, and
20	they are crossed out, correct?
21	A Yes, that's correct.
22	Q And so I take it from your testimony

1	so far this document was sent by the MPAA to
2	Screenrights, Screenrights reviewed these items,
3	crossed out ones where Screenrights did not have
4	the rights, correct?
5	A Where their claimants, those that they
6	represented, did not have the rights, yes.
7	Q Thank you. Thank you for the
8	clarification. Now, accordingly, if we go back
9	over to Exhibit 309, and we look at Appendix B,
10	and we page to where the 2007 cable list is,
11	which I see it's well, at least the midst of
12	it is in page 166 to 167. This is a list of all
13	claims excuse me, all claimed programs where
14	the MPAA claimants, agents, et cetera, came back
15	and said, "These are our programs." So, in other
16	words, this won't contain anything that's crossed
17	out, correct?
18	A Yes.
19	JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, I'm
20	sorry, are you looking at 309 or 310?
21	MR. BOYDSTON: I apologize, Your
22	Honor. It's 309.

1	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
2	MR. BOYDSTON: They are very similar.
3	One is cable, I think.
4	JUDGE BARRETT: I understand. That's
5	why I asked. And we're looking at page 167
6	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes.
7	JUDGE BARRETT: of Appendix B?
8	MR. BOYDSTON: Right. And, actually,
9	I'm about to move from there because I'm looking
10	for where the Outdoorsman with Buck McNeely would
11	appear if it were in here, and I believe if it
12	were in here it would appear on 164, because
13	that's where the O-U, et cetera, titles are.
14	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
15	Q Do you see 164, Ms. Saunders?
16	A I do see 164.
17	Q And Outdoorsman with Buck McNeely
18	doesn't appear in there, which is correct, since
19	it was crossed out, correct?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. Now, let me ask you let's
22	just stay on this 309 for the moment. And

1	page 255 of 309 or 309B
2	A I'm sorry. 255?
3	Q Yes. And on 255, in the first column
4	on the left, about three-quarters of the way
5	down, I see Outdoorsman with Buck McNeely. Do
6	you see that as well?
7	A I do see that.
8	Q Okay. So since it's in there,
9	presumably it would be in one of these
10	certifications, correct?
11	A It should be. However, I believe
12	well, it should be.
13	Q Right. And I won't hide the ball
14	anymore I don't think it is but let's just
15	take a look. If we go back to Exhibit 338, and
16	you page to the certificate of entitlement for
17	the year 2009, and then you look down the list
18	MS. PLOVNICK: It's on the last page,
19	MPAA-S-09
20	MR. BOYDSTON: What page number did
21	you just
22	MS. PLOVNICK: On Bates Stamp Number

1	MPAA-S-0922.
2	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
3	Q If I'm not mistaken, that's one a
4	year, isn't it?
5	MR. MacLEAN: I'm sorry. I'm still
6	looking for it over here. What exhibit are we
7	on?
8	MR. BOYDSTON: 338, right?
9	MS. PLOVNICK: Yes. And it's 338;
10	it's for 2009.
11	JUDGE STRICKLER: And what's the Bates
12	Number again?
13	MS. PLOVNICK: It's Bates Number MPAA-
14	S-0922, and there may be a third 2. I have a
15	hole punch there, so it's hard for me to
16	JUDGE STRICKLER: There probably is a
17	third 2.
18	THE WITNESS: The Outdoorsman with
19	Buck McNeely. Yes. Yes, it is there.
20	JUDGE BARRETT: So that would be 221,
21	because the next one is
22	MS. PLOVNICK: Oh. So maybe it is 22

1	I'm sorry. I have a hole punch on my copy.
2	JUDGE BARRETT: Sorry. 223. Judge
3	Strickler correct me.
4	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
5	Q So it appears for calendar year 2009
6	there are two certificate of entitlements, one
7	that begins on 9218, and one that begins on 9221,
8	correct?
9	A Okay. Now you've lost me. I was
10	ready to go with the title, but now you've thrown
11	me I'm sorry. You're asking me if there are
12	two certifications for Screenrights?
13	Q Well, yes. And I'm going to refer to
14	the MPAA's Bates Stamp Numbers. If you'll look
15	at 9218
16	A Okay.
17	Q the certification of entitlement,
18	calendar year 2009
19	MR. MacLEAN: Objection.
20	JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, what is the I
21	can't sustain it until I hear it.
22	MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I object to

1	the witness being questioned about a document
2	that is not in evidence.
3	MR. BOYDSTON: They have listed it as
4	one of the documents they intend to put in
5	evidence. I'm not asking to admit it now. And
6	at this point, I'm just trying to clear one thing
7	up and then I'm going to be done.
8	JUDGE BARRETT: Do I understand that
9	they have no objection to this being admitted?
10	If so, do you want
11	MR. BOYDSTON: No. We have no
12	objection to this being admitted.
13	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. 338 is
14	admitted.
15	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
16	document was received into evidence as
17	MPAA Exhibit No. 338.)
18	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
19	Q Now, the only thing I have left on
20	this is just trying it appears there's two of
21	these, and I just wanted to ask how come.
22	A Two of what? Certifications?

1	Q Yes. There's starting at 9218,
2	it's there's a certification of entitlement,
3	calendar year 2009, and the signatory is Audio-
4	Visual Copyright Society Limited trading as
5	Screenrights. Then, if you page forward three
6	pages, it's at 9221
7	A Right.
8	Q there is another one. It's a
9	different date. The first one is dated 6/8/2012;
10	the second one is 2/10/2012, also with
11	Screenrights. I wonder why there's two?
12	A I do not know. It could simply be a
13	photocopying error. I have no idea.
14	Q Well, they're different dates, so I
15	think they're probably different documents.
16	A All right.
17	Q One has Buck McNeely, and one doesn't,
18	so
19	A I do not know.
20	Q Okay. Fair enough. Turning back to
21	311, and going to page 3 of 4, towards the bottom
22	there is a bold item that says Provisions Related

Conflicting Claims." And everything 1 redacted except the very first phrase, "If a 2 dispute arises between MPAA-represented parties, " 3 and then it doesn't say anything else. Can you 4 tell us generally what that provided for and why 5 it was redacted? 6 MS. PLOVNICK: Objection. Your Honor, 7 this was redacted by counsel, and he is asking 8 9 her to testify to the contents. We gave him a 10 redaction log. If he had wanted to bring a motion for more information in terms of trouble 11 with the redactions, he could have done that. He 12 never did it. 13 14 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. BY MR. BOYDSTON: 15 Going back to the provisions 8 and 9 16 on the previous page giving the authority to MPAA 17 to examine its agents to obtain information about 18 19 the specifics of their -- the parties they claim 20 to represent, you've said that that's -- as far as you know, that has never been done except with 21

What circumstances -- under what

regard to IPG.

circumstances would the MPAA make such an 1 2 investigation? Objection. Move to MacLEAN: MR. 3 strike the mischaracterization of a witness' 4 prior testimony. 5 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 6 7 BY MR. BOYDSTON: Under what circumstances would the 8 MPAA exercise those rights? 9 If we had reason to suspect that a 10 Α claimant was making a claim that was false. 11 by that I do not mean a simple error, I mean an 12 13 intentionally false act of fraud. 14 And that has never come up, as far as 15 you know? As far as you know. 16 Other than with IPG, as far as I know, 17 that is correct. Oh, I take that back. There's 18 one other claimant. I forgot. I apologize. But 19 I can't remember the claimant's name. 20 that there is one other claimant that has attempted to be represented by MPAA that we have 21 22 turned down representation of, because we felt

that the company had dubious -- we couldn't 1 verify that it was a legitimate entity. 2 So you didn't enter into an agreement 3 with them. 4 Α Oh, no. 5 So you never got to the point of 6 investigating. 7 Sorry, you're right. I shouldn't 8 have -- you're right. That was a bad example. I 9 straining to try to give you some 10 information. 11 I appreciate the straining. Let me 12 0 ask you to take a look at Exhibit 336, and this 13 is a certification sent to ABC Family Worldwide 14 it appears at the bottom. And on the second page 15 16 there is -- towards the bottom, the second half of the page, there is a -- one program crossed 17 out called Beast Machines. And then beneath that 18 Do you have any familiarity with 19 is Beast Wars. these programs? 20 I do not. A 21 I was going to object 22 MS. PLOVNICK:

1	that this is not in evidence yet, but if you
2	would like us to move in, we
3	MR. BOYDSTON: I assume it's coming in
4	eventually, and I just wanted to ask a question.
5	JUDGE BARRETT: Well, let's make sure
6	it is before we get
7	MR. BOYDSTON: Well, I can ask a
8	question even if it's not admitted.
9	MS. PLOVNICK: Well, we intend to
10	offer it as a part of our rebuttal to IPG. You
11	know
12	MR. BOYDSTON: I tell you what, I'll
13	withdraw the question. And if they admit it and
14	I feel like I need to ask the question, I'll ask
15	the question. Question withdrawn.
16	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
17	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
18	Q Do you review sorry. Looking at
19	the certification we did admit, which I believe
20	is 336, do you, as a matter of course, review
21	these certifications?
22	A I personally do not, no.

1	Q Okay. And at one time I presume that
2	was Marsha Kessler's duty?
3	A Correct.
4	Q And whose duty is it now?
5	A That duty falls to our new manager for
6	royalty distribution, whose name is Andre
7	Dumicic, D-U-M-I-C-I-C.
8	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I think I
9	am finished. Can I just have one moment to
10	confer with my client?
11	JUDGE BARRETT: You certainly may.
12	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you.
13	(Pause)
14	Your Honor, we have an email we'd like
15	to admit as IPG's well, first, we'd like to
16	introduce it as IPG's Exhibit 124, next in order.
L7	It's an exhibit we intend to ask be admitted as
18	rebuttal.
L9	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
20	document was marked as IPG Exhibit No.
21	124 for identification.)
22	MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, was this

1	I don't know if I've seen a copy of this yet. I
2	don't was it in the exhibit binder?
3	MR. BOYDSTON: No, it's not. And
4	we're bringing it in on rebuttal for impeachment.
5	I will certainly give you a copy.
6	JUDGE BARRETT: Can you give it to the
7	Clerk?
8	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes.
9	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
10	MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I'm going
11	to object to this. Well, I guess he hasn't
12	offered it yet. Do you want to
13	JUDGE BARRETT: You can just hang on.
14	He hasn't offered it yet.
15	MR. BOYDSTON: May I proceed, Your
16	Honor?
17	JUDGE BARRETT: You may.
18	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
20	Q Ms. Saunders, please take a look at
21	this.
22	A I don't have a copy.

No, you don't. Look at it from Oh. 1 0 2 there. (Laughter) 3 Take a minute to read it, and then let 4 me know when you've read it. 5 (Pause) 6 Okay. I think I've -- I think I've 7 Α got the gist of this. 8 Okay. You had testified earlier that 9 10 you authorized counsel or directed your counsel to investigate or to make contact with different 11 12 entities that you believed had -- there may have been conflicting claims between the MPAA and IPG 13 based upon your review of IPG titles. 14 15 recall receiving this email, and was that consistent with your direction to counsel to try 16 to find out what was going on with some of these 17 conflicting claims? 18 MS. PLOVNICK: Objection. Your Honor, 19 I object. Well, first, Ms. Saunders is only 20 21 copied on one portion of this email, not on the portion at the top. So that question is very 22

confusing and misleading. She can't possibly be 1 familiar with an email that she never was copied 2 on or received. 3 Also, the date of this is in 2011, 4 which pre-dated the beginning of this case. 5 I'm a little confused as to where he's going with 6 this, because -- or how it impeaches anything. 7 MR. BOYDSTON: I'm only interested in 8 9 the email that she was copied on. I provided the whole thing for completeness. 10 Okay. Well, she has 11 JUDGE BARRETT: 12 to answer whether she can identify it or not. 13 We'll go from there. 14 THE WITNESS: I do -- honestly, I get 15 more than 100 emails a day. I do not recall if I received -- I'm copied on it. 16 Clearly, 17 received it. Do I recall it? 18 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 19 Okay. Do you recall -- and going back 20 to your testimony about reviewing the IPG titles 21 and IPG claimants, and you said in your own mind you saw some and said, "Hey, I think that's one 22

1	of ours," do you recall Beckmann International in
2	that regard?
3	A No. That would not be a claimant that
4	would leap to my mind.
5	Q Okay. You implied that you don't
6	recall this the one email that you were copied
7	on. Is that the case, that you don't recall
8	receiving this one way or the other?
9	A I believe I did more than imply. I
10	actually said I do not recall.
11	Q Okay. Just trying to make sure it's
12	clear. Do you recall whether or not you ever
13	discussed this issue that is addressed with Mr.
14	Olaniran? Now, I'm not asking for what he said
15	of course.
16	A No, no. No, I do not recall ever
17	discussing this with Mr. Olaniran.
18	MR. BOYDSTON: All right. Thank you,
19	Your Honor. Nothing further.
20	JUDGE BARRETT: Before we change
21	courses in the middle of the stream here, Mr.
22	Boydston, as I understand it, you are at this

1	point in our proceedings responding to MPAA's
2	presentation and also making your own
3	presentation with regard to objections to MPAA
4	claims.
5	MR. BOYDSTON: No. No, we're not. We
6	are dealing with because they have not
7	actually the way it's going to work is is that
8	once they rest their rebuttal of IPG, then IPG
9	will put on witnesses and respond to their
10	rebuttal. Then, IPG will put on its rebuttal of
11	the MPAA, rest, the MPAA will come back on and
12	put on its defense of the IPG rebuttal.
13	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. That's not how
14	I read this outline, but you know what? You have
15	26-1/2 hours among you. You can spend it the way
16	you want. So you're done for now with Ms.
17	Saunders at least.
18	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes.
19	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
20	MR. BOYDSTON: With the understanding
21	they have said she may come back up in the other
22	part of their case, and I may come back and

1	MS. PLOVNICK: We do intend to call
2	Ms. Saunders in our rebuttal presentation to IPG.
3	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Thank you.
4	Mr. MacLean, do you have questions for
5	Ms. Saunders?
6	MR. MacLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. MacLEAN:
9	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Saunders. I'm
LO	Matthew MacLean. I represent the Settling
11	Devotional Claimants.
12	A Okay.
13	Q I believe we just met for the first
L4	time a little earlier today.
L5	A We did.
L6	Q I want to ask you a couple of
L7	questions about MPAA Exhibits 311 through 323.
L8	A I just put those away. Hold on.
L9	Okay. Yes.
20	Q And these are MPAA's representation
21	agreements with various of its claimants and
22	agents for claimants, is that right?

1	A That's right.
2	Q Did all of these representation
3	agreements come from MPAA's records?
4	A From our business records, yes.
5	Q Did you find all of the representation
6	agreements that you were looking for?
7	A I don't we found representation
8	agreements for every title that was certified as
9	having been claimed by one of our claimants in
10	this proceeding. Whether we found all of the
11	representation agreements that we were looking
12	for in total, I do not believe that we did.
13	Q The claimants the MPAA claims in
14	this proceeding, you found the representation
15	agreements in MPAA's records.
16	A Yes. For every one, yes.
17	Q You didn't fabricate these these
18	A Oh, no.
19	Q representation agreements.
20	A No. No.
21	Q You didn't prepare them there
22	wasn't a representation here that, for example,
-	

1	you couldn't find and you simply made one up to
2	submit as if it were the original.
3	A That is correct. I would in no way,
4	shape, or form ever do or sanction any such
5	activity.
6	Q Is that something you wouldn't even
7	dream of doing?
8	A I would fire anyone who was doing
9	something like that that worked for me.
10	Q And why is that?
11	A Because that would be fraud.
12	MR. MacLEAN: No further questions,
13	Your Honor.
14	JUDGE BARRETT: Any redirect?
15	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MS. PLOVNICK:
17	Q Ms. Saunders, just very briefly,
18	counsel for IPG, Mr. Boydston, asked you if you
19	were aware if MPAA had ever done investigation or
20	requested additional documentation for any other
21	claimant other than IPG.
22	A Right.

1	Q And you said you could not recall
2	which specific entity, but you remembered one
3	instance. Does the name All Global Media ring a
4	bell to you?
5	A Yes. That was in fact the claimant
6	that we did not conclude a representation
7	agreement with.
8	Q And All Global Media is represented by
9	Marian Oshita and Kathleen Ormond?
10	A Correct.
11	Q Or purported to be?
12	A Purported to be, yes.
13	Q And they are and All Global Media
14	is not an MPAA-represented program
15	A Absolutely not.
16	MS. PLOVNICK: All right. No further
17	questions.
18	MR. BOYDSTON: Nothing further, Your
19	Honor.
20	MR. MacLEAN: Nothing further, Your
21	Honor.
22	JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Olaniran, you may

1	call your next witness.
2	MR. OLANIRAN: Actually, there are no
3	I think
4	MS. PLOVNICK: But we reserve the
5	right to call Ms. Saunders.
6	JUDGE BARRETT: So, Ms. Saunders, if
7	you would leave that marked exhibit on the desk.
8	THE WITNESS: Oh, this one?
9	JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.
10	THE WITNESS: Okay.
11	JUDGE BARRETT: You may step down.
12	(Witness was excused.)
13	Now, is it Mr. Boydston? You may call
14	your witness.
15	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	IPG calls Raul Galaz.
17	WHEREUPON,
18	RAUL GALAZ
19	was called as a witness by counsel for IPG and,
20	having been first duly sworn, assumed the witness
21	stand, was examined and testified as follows:
22	JUDGE BARRETT: Ladies and gentlemen,

1	I don't know what the temperature in the room
2	feels like to you, but if it feels like it does
3	to me please feel free to take off your jackets
4	or do whatever you need to be comfortable. Or
5	throw on a sweater. I don't I never know.
6	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
9	Q Mr. Galaz, where are you presently
10	employed?
11	A Worldwide Subsidy Group, doing
12	business as Independent Producers Group.
13	Q And how long have you been in that
14	position?
15	A I helped found that entity starting in
16	1998. And with the exception of about a year and
17	a few months, I was active in that company.
18	MR. BOYDSTON: Sorry for the run
19	around, Your Honor. I failed to bring up the
20	right binders.
21	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
22	Q Mr. Galaz, look at what has been

1	marked as Exhibit 101 in one of the binders there
2	with you, and tell me if you are familiar with
3	that. Excuse me. Not 101. 100. I beg your
4	pardon.
5	JUDGE BARRETT: That would be in
6	Volume 2 of 4.
7	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	THE WITNESS: 101?
9	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
10	Q I'm sorry, 100. I said 101. That was
11	a mistake; it's 100.
12	A Actually, mine doesn't have
13	Q Well, then, it it would be in one
14	of the binders. It may have been a previous one
15	in yours. We got to the point with our binders
16	where we were mixing sizes a bit, so it may not
17	be exactly consistent binder to binder.
18	A All right. I found it.
19	Q And this is a declaration by you.
20	Correct?
21	A That is correct.
22	Q I believe that the last page, executed

1	on November 30, 2014?
2	
3	Q And did you prepare this?
4	A Yes, I did.
5	Q And is its contents true and correct,
6	to the best of your knowledge?
7	A Yes, it is.
8	Q Just briefly, and I mean briefly, as
9	a general overview, what is it? What does it
10	contain?
11	A Well, there's a lot of very detailed
12	challenges to the claims that are being pursued
13	and brought by Independent Producers Group. And
14	in light of the number of details associated
15	therewith and the limited time that we had had it
16	in the preliminary hearing in the 2000 to 2003
17	cable proceedings, it made sense for me just to
18	put this together addressing all the different
19	matters that I needed to address.
20	JUDGE BARRETT: I apologize. Mr.
21	Galaz, did you state and spell your name for the
22	Court Reporter?

1	THE WITNESS: No, I didn't.
2	JUDGE BARRETT: Would you, please?
3	THE WITNESS: Raul, R-A-U-L, Galaz, G-
4	A-L-A-Z.
5	MR. MacLEAN: Thank you.
6	Go ahead, Mr. Boydston.
7	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
8	move to admit Exhibit 100.
9	MS. PLOVNICK: I object, Your Honor.
10	JUDGE BARRETT: Basis?
11	MS. PLOVNICK: All right. My basis
12	is, first, we think that this violates the
13	Judges' July 30th discovery order, because it
14	references some documents that were not produced
15	
	to us by the August 11, 2014, deadline. That
16	to us by the August 11, 2014, deadline. That July the order said that they needed to
16 17	
	July the order said that they needed to
17	July the order said that they needed to produce all documents related to representation
17	July the order said that they needed to produce all documents related to representation of any claimant named on Exhibit IPG 1 by
17 18 19	July the order said that they needed to produce all documents related to representation of any claimant named on Exhibit IPG 1 by August 11, 2014. And there is correspondence

We also want to object on the basis that it includes speculation and information beyond his personal knowledge, the witness' personal knowledge about activities of Marian Oshita and others. And specific paragraphs that -- at issue are 4, 8, 9, 11, 14, 21, 23, and 24. We think it includes illegal -- impermissible legal opinion and conclusions of law, a few bases.

And we also -- and this is, you know, since he is testifying here, but we were going to object that the Judges' scheduling order did not permit written surreply rebuttal. And that's effectively what this is, that, you know, the Judges' scheduling order said, "We have written rebuttal statements," and then we have a hearing. This is in fact a written surreply aimed at MPAA's written rebuttal statement, and we didn't see that on the scheduling order as something the Judges had permitted to have done. So we object on that basis.

MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, the SDC also

1	have an objection. And as for our objection, I
2	will limit it to paragraph 14, which his entitled
3	Hearsay, after the language "Registered mail
4	letter," everything after that, we object to
5	that.
6	JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, why are
7	you offering an affidavit from Mr. Galaz when he
8	is here in the flesh and can testify?
9	MR. BOYDSTON: To save time and make
10	it more efficient, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE BARRETT: I appreciate that, but
12	the objections are sustained. He is here; he can
13	testify.
14	MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. As I said, this
15	was not done to hoodwink anyone. In fact, we
16	gave it to them a week ahead so they could get
17	all ready, and
18	JUDGE BARRETT: I didn't suggest that,
19	Mr. Boydston.
20	MR. BOYDSTON: Okay.
21	JUDGE BARRETT: All I suggested was
22	the witness is here; let's hear from him.

1	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.
2	JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 100 is
3	rejected.
4	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
5	Q Mr. Galaz, let me ask you to take a
6	look at what is marked as Exhibit 106.
7	And I have to take a second to explain
8	to everyone there is a mistake in the our
9	binders that I discovered last night. Exhibit
10	101, the item which is in Exhibit 101 in all of
11	your books was supposed to be 106, and what is in
12	106 is supposed to be 101.
13	Now, we can all change them, although
14	it is kind of a pain in the neck because they're
15	very thick, or we can simply make note of this in
16	our respective exhibit lists.
17	JUDGE BARRETT: I would prefer to do
18	the latter as it's a two and a half inch
19	document. So
20	MR. BOYDSTON: That makes sense to me.
21	JUDGE BARRETT: So what is currently
22	marked as 101 should be changed to be 106?

1	
1	MR. BOYDSTON: Correct.
2	JUDGE BARRETT: Is that correct?
3	MR. BOYDSTON: And what is marked as
4	106 should be changed to be 101.
5	JUDGE STRICKLER: When you ask the
6	witness to look at 106, which 106 are you asking
7	him to look at? The newly marked 106?
8	MR. BOYDSTON: I think that would be
9	best.
10	JUDGE STRICKLER: I would think so,
11	too, yes.
12	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
13	Q So I will ask you to look at what's
14	101, which let's put it this is also one
15	easy way to tell this, if I may. If we look at
16	what's marked in the book as 101, the first page
17	says Item 10. If you look at the first page of
18	what's in the book as 106, it says Item 4.
19	JUDGE STRICKLER: Maybe we can also do
20	it by Bates the first Bates Number. That
21	might be a good way to handle.
22	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. That makes sense

1	to me.
2	MS. PLOVNICK: Which one is it that we
3	should be looking at?
4	MR. BOYDSTON: That's I'll make it
5	clear right now.
6	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
7	Q Please take a look at what is now
8	marked 101, which the face page says IPG
9	Discovery Responses to Item 4, and has a Bates
10	Stamp Number of IPG 3000. All right? Tell me if
11	you recognize this exhibit.
12	A Yes, I do.
13	Q And, obviously, it's a fair number of
14	documents. How would you characterize this
15	exhibit and these documents?
16	MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I'm sorry.
17	I don't have an objection. However, I'm not sure
18	I'm on the right exhibit.
19	MR. BOYDSTON: May I approach?
20	JUDGE BARRETT: You may.
21	MR. BOYDSTON: It should say Item 4 on
22	it.

1	MR. MacLEAN: Okay. Is this one
2	okay. This is I think this is what is now
3	marked 106, isn't it? Didn't we agree to change
4	the table of contents?
5	MR. BOYDSTON: This should have been
6	101.
7	MR. MacLEAN: Okay.
8	MR. BOYDSTON: It's a mistake. It
9	says 106 there. It should say 101. This should
10	have been where the tab for 101 is.
11	MR. MacLEAN: Okay.
12	MR. BOYDSTON: And what's in the tab
13	for 101 should have been here.
14	MR. MacLEAN: So I thought we were
15	changing the table of contents to reflect the
16	JUDGE BARRETT: We're just changing
17	the tabs.
18	MR. MacLEAN: Oh, we're just reversing
19	the tabs?
20	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
21	MR. MacLEAN: I'm sorry.
22	MS. PLOVNICK: So this document is

1	now Item 4 is labeled now 101.
2	JUDGE BARRETT: Correct.
3	MS. PLOVNICK: Is that right?
4	JUDGE BARRETT: That is correct.
5	MS. PLOVNICK: Okay. Thank you.
6	MR. MacLEAN: That was my fault, and
7	I will stipulate that it be taken out of my time.
8	JUDGE BARRETT: We'll write it down in
9	the great big book of injustices.
10	MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry, Lucy. What
11	did you say, Item
12	MS. PLOVNICK: What exhibit number and
13	what item go together?
14	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. Okay.
15	Exhibit 101 goes with Item 10.
16	MS. PLOVNICK: 101 goes with Item 10?
17	JUDGE BARRETT: No.
18	JUDGE STRICKLER: No, that's
19	incorrect.
20	MR. BOYDSTON: No. I'm looking at the
21	list. No. 101 goes with Item 4.
22	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.

1	MR. BOYDSTON: 106 goes with Item 10,
2	which is what it says in the list. They were
3	flip-flopped accidentally.
4	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
5	MS. PLOVNICK: So the list would be
6	accurate.
7	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, the list is
8	accurate.
9	MS. PLOVNICK: Okay.
LO	MR. BOYDSTON: So in each tab where it
11	says 101, you should change that to 106. Where
L2	it says 106, it should be 101.
L3	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
L4	Q So looking at Exhibit 101, which is
L5	Item 4 on its first page, can you tell us what
L6	these documents are?
L7	A These documents are various
L8	representation agreements between Independent
.9	Producers Groups and entities that are
20	represented in this proceeding.
21	Q And did you prepare this exhibit?
22	A Yes, I did.

And where did you obtain the documents 1 that are in it? 2 They are documents that are within the 3 files of IPG and taken from the business records 4 They were also produced in that we compile. 5 discovery as indicated by the Bates Stamp Number. 6 Q Thank you. 7 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to 8 move to admit Exhibit 101. 9 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I have an 10 Exhibit 101 contains some documents objection. 11 that were not produced to MPAA in accordance with 12 13 the July 30th discovery order. The Judges' July 30th order ordered IPG to produce all 14 documents relating to its representation of any 15 16 claim it made in IPG Exhibit 1, and that's on --17 at page 14, and to do it by August 11, 2014, which is at page 25 of that order. 18 On November 30th of 2014, IPG gave us, 19 for the first time, IPG Bates Stamp Numbers --20 5200, which included through IPG IPG 5157 21 representation agreements. There's 22 numerous

pages worth of IPG representation 44 1 agreements for several entities. And I've got a 2 I don't know if the Judges would like 3 that, but these are the handwritten Bates Stamp 4 Numbers at the end of this document. 5 so we object to this exhibit And 6 7 because it has incorporated, as a part of it, items that were not produced in a timely fashion 8 9 in discovery. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, that is all 10 We made a mistake in production. When we 11 -- as soon as we realized it, we sent it off to 12 counsel electronically as soon as we could. 13 There is thousands of pages of documents, as you 14 know, and we simply made a mistake. And they did 15 16 get it more than a week in advance of this, and 17 don't know that there has SO 18 prejudice. JUDGE BARRETT: So the date 19 you supplemented with these additional pages 20 what? 21

MR. BOYDSTON:

November 30th.

1	MS. PLOVNICK: Sunday night of
2	Thanksgiving weekend, I think, or maybe it was
3	November 30, 2014.
4	MR. BOYDSTON: That is correct.
5	MR. MacLEAN: And it wasn't produced
6	to us.
7	JUDGE BARRETT: I'm just going to
8	let me consult with the other Judges on this.
9	And it's a little early, but let's go ahead and
10	take our afternoon recess while we do this
11	consult.
12	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
13	went off the record at 2:20 p.m. and resumed at
14	2:48 p.m.)
15	JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated.
15 16	JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I just
16	MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I just
16 17	MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I just wanted to clarify, because I wasn't sure whether
16 17 18	MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I just wanted to clarify, because I wasn't sure whether it was clear or not before, I was responding to
16 17 18 19	MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I just wanted to clarify, because I wasn't sure whether it was clear or not before, I was responding to MPAA's objection on the basis of not of no

not the conclusion of my objection to this exhibit. I would like to state before you rule that if MPAA's discovery objection is overruled, I would like the opportunity to voir direct on this exhibit.

JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. MacLean. MPAA has objected to the additional pages, which are admittedly -- were admittedly added to this exhibit a week or two ago -- a week ago, on the basis of timeliness, which is a legitimate basis for objection.

IPG has responded basically in the vernacular of the younger generation, "My bad," which is neither an explanation nor an excuse nor an expression of regret. Nonetheless, MPAA has not stated prejudice or harm based upon the late production of these documents. It is possible there might be, and we are not foreclosing that possibility, nor foreclosing are we possibility with respect to the Settling Devotional Claimants.

We will admit these pages with the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1.0

proviso that both opposing parties will have an opportunity to do whatever additional inquiry they think is necessary and appropriate, and that would include recalling Mr. Galaz at any time prior to the end of this proceeding. It would include, if necessary, us reconvening this proceeding at some time after the holidays to conclude.

Obviously, our preference would be to conclude this as expeditiously as we can, because we have put ourselves on a deadline for ruling, and you're on a deadline for presenting the final material on distribution.

Nonetheless, given the fact that there are so many pages here, and that there is -- I didn't count exactly. I suspect it's between 10 and 12 additional claimants, maybe more, or additional representations, we will allow both parties the opportunity that they require to do further investigation and followup.

MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, may I conduct a voir dire on the foundation of this

1	incident?
2	JUDGE BARRETT: Well, we're going to
3	admit them, so you can the foundation for
4	their admission, do you mean, or the foundation -
5	_
6	MR. MacLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.
7	JUDGE BARRETT: No. No.
8	Ms. Plovnick?
9	MS. PLOVNICK: I just wanted to I
10	had not previously but on page 25 in the
11	discovery where the reason we made our
12	objection, there was the language that no party
13	may offer any undisclosed document at any further
14	hearing in this proceeding. And these are in
15	fact exactly the same the kind of documents
16	contemplated by that. So that is why we made the
17	objection.
18	JUDGE BARRETT: Understood.
19	MS. PLOVNICK: And so, you know, it is
20	what it is.
21	JUDGE BARRETT: It is what it is.
22	Okay. Mr. Boydston?

1	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
3	Q Mr. Galaz
4	JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, excuse me. To the
5	extent either of the other parties have
6	difficulty making contacts or concluding
7	additional discovery or inquiry, you will let us
8	know, so we know how to proceed on Monday or
9	Tuesday.
10	Go ahead, Mr. Boydston.
11	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
13	Q Mr. Galaz, please take a look at what
14	has been marked as Exhibit 102 and tell me if you
15	recognize that document.
16	A Yes, I do.
17	Q And what are those documents
18	generally?
19	A 102 is a series and I think someone
20	counted at some point 40-some-odd
21	acknowledgements of representation that IPG had
22	solicited and had executed by represented

1	claimants following the 2000 to 2003 cable
2	proceedings.
3	Q And did you put this document
4	together?
5	A Yes, I did. And I was also involved,
6	as was Denise Vernon, in soliciting the
7	documents.
8	Q And were all of these documents did
9	you obtain all of these documents from IPG's
10	business records?
11	A Yes.
12	JUDGE BARRETT: So the record is
13	clear, by "Denise," do you mean Ms. Vernon?
14	THE WITNESS: I thought I said Denise
15	Vernon, but
16	JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, okay.
17	THE WITNESS: maybe I said
18	sorry.
19	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
20	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
21	move to admit Exhibit 102.
22	MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.

1	MR. MacLEAN: No objection, Your
2	Honor.
3	JUDGE BARRETT: 102 is admitted.
4	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
5	document was received into evidence as
6	IPG Exhibit No. 102.)
7	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
8	Q Please take a look at what has been
9	marked as Exhibit 104 and tell me what that is.
10	A 104 or 103?
11	Q I'm sorry. 103.
12	A We just did 102.
13	Q 103.
14	A All right.
15	Q And please tell us what these
16	documents are, what these pages are.
17	A I want to be certain that I have this
18	correct. These were documents that were
19	submitted, I believe well, I made a notation
20	somewhere else, but I think that these were
21	documents that were submitted by various IPG-
22	represented claimants. I know that much. But I

1	think that they were all submitted in connection
2	with when we were having parties execute their
3	contracts and provide us information regarding
4	their programming.
5	Q So these are documents that were
6	that came from IPG
7	A Represented claimants.
8	Q To IPG.
9	A To IPG, correct.
10	Q And where did you obtain these
11	documents from?
12	A From our business records. These
13	were, I will specifically say, they were produced
14	in these proceedings, but they were previously
15	produced in the 2000 to 2003 cable proceedings as
16	well. And I can't remember, maybe it was Item 7
17	or not, but they were all produced in the prior
18	cable proceedings as well.
19	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
20	move to admit Exhibit 103.
21	MR. MacLEAN: Objection.
22	MS. PLOVNICK: Go ahead.

1	MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, Exhibit 103,
2	unlike the last two exhibits that we just looked
3	at, contain various kinds of correspondence and
4	various formats. It's just it's just
5	basically a data dump, and it's not presented in
6	a way that is useful for the parties or the
7	tribunal.
8	There is no foundation for these
9	documents. There is no basis for relevance of
10	these documents. It is just a collection of
11	various kinds of correspondence, not a proper
12	exhibit, and I object on that basis.
13	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I
14	respond to that?
15	JUDGE BARRETT: You may.
16	MR. BOYDSTON: They are various types
17	of documents, and they are communications from
18	IPG's claimants to IPG, and they have been
19	maintained in the normal course of business.
20	The other thing I'd like to point out
21	is that in terms of their use and their utility,
22	the Exhibit 115 that I told you about in the

opening statement, which is a chart which very --1 in a very convenient fashion represents Bates 2 Stamp Numbers in relation to specific companies 3 and entities at issue here, makes use of these so 4 that it's not just a data dump. 5 And when I get to Exhibit 115, which 6 will be pretty soon here, you can see there is a 7 column that follows under the title Exhibit IPG 8 9 103, and certain discrete Bates Stamp numbered documents are referenced there to cross-index 10 it's not just a bunch of 11 with this. So Exhibit 115 ties specifically to 12 qobbledyqook. the important documents which are this group of 13 14 documents. 15 They were produced in one unit like And as we know from past proceedings, it 16 this. is always best to produce it -- you know, things 17 in a group rather than cherrypick, because then 18 people say, "Oh, you're cherrypicking." 19 20 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Ms. Plovnick? 21 I'm just trying to 22 MS. PLOVNICK:

1	determine what the right exhibit is. This is
2	is the summary that you which one?
3	MR. BOYDSTON: 103 is not a summary.
4	115 is a summary.
5	MS. PLOVNICK: I'm looking at so
6	you're on 103 right now.
7	MR. BOYDSTON: I'm on 103.
8	MS. PLOVNICK: Not at
9	MR. BOYDSTON: Excuse me. Yes, I'm at
10	103. I was just referencing 115, which is not
LI	MS. PLOVNICK: I'm sorry. Okay. I
L2	got confused by the reference. So I we have
L3	an objection to 103, and it's it is that there
L4	are documents included in here that we found
L5	objectionable in the last proceeding, and we
L6	still find objectionable, because they reference
L7	email attachments and exhibits that weren't
L8	produced.
L9	And I will direct you specifically to
20	Bates Stamp Number IPG 3834, which makes
21	reference to a termination letter that I don't
22	believe was ever produced in discovery and isn't

attached here. It's the document regarding BRB 1 Entertainment, and that's just one example, and I 2 suspect there may be others, if Ι 3 carefully go through. 4 So I raise that objection. 5 MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. Can I just have 6 7 a second to look at that? (Pause) 8 Your Honor, the document -- the email 9 that Ms. Plovnick refers to is not one that we 10 are directing anyone's attention to anyhow, but 11 it was part of this production and that's why 12 it's included here. I also don't believe that it 13 is regarding an entity that is at issue herein. 14 So I don't think -- I think that's 15 16 probably why something wasn't -something further wasn't produced. I don't believe that 17 there was any issue on this in this proceeding. 18 MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, this 19 precisely my objection. It's a data dump of 20 things that have -- that if it's not being 21

referred to in this proceeding, then we are being

asked basically to look for needles in a haystack 1 here, and that's not a proper way to --2 MS. PLOVNICK: So relevance within the 3 4 MR. BOYDSTON: Again, Exhibit 115 cuts 5 through that by directing the reader to the pages 6 that we are citing that are relevant in here. 7 could have cherrypicked it, but we've gotten in 8 9 trouble for that before. JUDGE STRICKLER: Are you saying that 10 these documents are the documents that support a 11 12 summary document, and the summary document is document -- Exhibit 115? 13 Yes. This and others. MR. BOYDSTON: 14 Document 115 ties all these documents together on 15 a Bates Stamp by Bates Stamp basis to make it 16 very simple and very easy to walk through all 17 these things. 18 19 JUDGE STRICKLER: So are you saying that some, but not all, of the documents or the 20 pages that are within 103 serve as the background 21 22 for a summary exhibit?

1	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes.
2	JUDGE STRICKLER: Can you identify
3	which ones are the documents that support the
4	summary exhibits, so counsel has some idea
5	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes.
6	JUDGE STRICKLER: of which
7	documents to be looking at?
8	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. It's in
9	Exhibit 115. Go to 115 now. That's the chart
10	I've been talking about since the beginning. I
11	can describe it fairly quickly I think.
12	JUDGE STRICKLER: And perhaps you can
13	have the witness
14	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes.
15	JUDGE STRICKLER: identify which
16	documents within 103 support the summary document
17	and then counsel will have the opportunity to
18	object on with or to accept specific
19	documents within 103 as either representing the
20	underlying documents for the summary document or
21	not.
22	JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 103 is

admitted. It might be worthless, but it's 1 And it's worthless, I will tell you, admitted. 2 if we cannot interpret it. 3 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 4 document was received into evidence as 5 IPG Exhibit No. 103.) 6 MR. BOYDSTON: Understood, Your Honor. 7 Thank you. 8 To that point, I'm going to skip that 9 10 -- thank you for the admission. I'm now going to skip ahead, just for the moment, to Exhibit 115. 11 Maybe I should have done that one first to kind 12 of set a foundation, if you will, for the -- how 13 14 the rest of these work. And I may not even admit it until we 15 16 have admitted the underlying documents. But if 1.7 we look at it and then have the witness testify about 115, I think this hopefully will be clearer 18 19 to everyone. BY MR. BOYDSTON: 20 So, Mr. Galaz, can you take a look at 21 Q 22 what is marked as Exhibit 115.

1	A Yes.
2	Q And did you create Exhibit 115?
3	A Yes, I did.
4	Q And that is a chart, correct?
5	A It is a chart and it was prepared
6	it doesn't address the devotionals area. It only
7	addresses the program suppliers category, and
8	specifically in the response to the MPAA rebuttal
9	challenging claims of IPG.
10	Q Okay. Now, in the far left column,
11	the title is IPG-represented claimants, program
12	suppliers for the category.
13	A Right. These are not all the program
14	supplier claimants. These are merely the ones
15	that are being challenged by the MPAA
16	specifically. So I went
17	Q Understood. So the first item on the
18	in the first column is the name of the
19	particular entity, correct?
20	A Correct.
21	Q So the first one is ACME
22	Communications, also known as

1	A Mojo Brands Media, correct.
2	Q Now, the first column, at the very
3	top, very, very top, in small letters, it says
4	IPG P-101.
5	A Correct.
6	Q What is that a reference to?
7	A That's a reference to the exhibit
8	numbering that is being used in this particular
9	proceeding.
10	Q Right. So it's Exhibit 101, and then
11	it has the title of it, which is Item 4,
12	representation agreements, correct?
13	A And that was the title that it was
14	used in the course of discovery.
15	Q Right. And then at the for ACME
16	Communications, it's blank indicating that there
17	is nothing in that exhibit regarding that
18	company, correct?
19	A Correct. It means that there was no
20	executed representation agreement that was
21	produced for that.
22	Q And then, if we move to the right,

1	under IPG P-102, entitled Item 5, confirmations
2	of engagement, there is a four-digit number.
3	That, I presume, is a Bates Stamp Number for a
4	document that is in Exhibit 102. Is that
5	correct?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q And so on and so forth. Let me move
8	along two more columns and it's entitled IPG P-
9	103, correct?
10	A Correct.
11	Q And that would be referencing the
12	exhibit that was just admitted, and underneath it
13	says Item 6, representative programs identified
14	within contracting oh, excuse me, that's the
15	wrong one.
16	Item 7, IPG-represented programs
17	previously provided to IPG. It says provided to
18	IPG. Is that correct?
19	A Yes. That is correct.
20	Q Okay.
21	A These were all circumstances and I
22	know it's confusing because, for instance, you

look at the very first document in there, and 1 there is no cover letter, there is no nothing, 2 and it just so happens I remember that particular 3 document we received in the mail from an entity 4 abroad, just a spreadsheet of all their programs 5 with no cover letter, no -- no nothing. 6 So whereas some of it may be difficult 7 each circumstance interpret, it in 8 to documentation having to do with a catalog that 9 was provided to IPG sometime in the course of 10 IPG's relationship with that entity. 11 them are emails. Some of them are faxes. Some 12 13 of them are just mailed correspondence. Well, going down the column 14 0 Okay. entitled IPG P-103, the first time there is a 15 16 Bates Stamp reference is for the entity Atlantic Film Partners, Beckmann International. 17 correct? 18 19 Ά Correct. if we look at the Bates Stamp 20 0 documents in IPG 103, 3796 to 3805, presumably 21

that is the document -- a document that

1 | relevant thereto?

A That's correct. But 103 will also have additional documents that don't relate to these proceedings, and there is actually a sort of simple explanation for that.

O And what is that?

A Quite frankly, for the last several weeks, I have had physical back issues, and for that reason over the -- literally kept putting it off, putting it off to the point where I was ultimately doing this at the last minute over Thanksgiving, pulling this stuff together.

And as much as I wanted to cull down these exhibits to just those that were particularly having to do with parties that were being challenged, it was just physically too demanding on me to where if something was 100 pages or less, then it just made more sense to include the entirety of the particular exhibit.

It also, frankly, was of concern to me that I might accidentally exclude something that

needed to be referenced ultimately. But, for 1 example, Item 4, which is IPG-101 P-101, that was 2 originally probably two and a half, three times 3 the size of what ultimately got produced. 4 it was a question of me going 5 through and finding just those that related to 6 7 claimants that were being challenged in these There is a certain amount, with proceedings. 8 some of the smaller of these exhibits, extra 9 10 documents that don't relate, for instance, the one for BRB Entertainment that you had pointed 11 But for the most part all of these exhibits 12 that are referenced here are culled down to just 13 those that are being challenged --14 15 0 Okay. -- are being challenged. 16 17 So is it safe to say that documents in Exhibit 103 that are relevant here 18 to these proceedings are listed in this column in 19 Exhibit 115 under IPG P-103? 20 Α And cited, correct. 21 22 And cited --0

1	A By the
2	Q specifically.
3	A number.
4	Q Thank you.
5	MR. BOYDSTON: Since I haven't
6	introduced all the other exhibits, it is probably
7	premature to introduce 115, so I'll hold off on
8	that.
9	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
10	Q Let me have you take a look at what
11	has been marked as Exhibit 104. And can you tell
12	us what these documents are?
13	A IPG 104 is a series of account
14	accountings that we had received from two
15	different entities that Ms. Saunders indicated
16	she is affiliated with where they have accounted
17	to IPG for particular IPG-represented claimants
18	and independently determined that they are the
19	appropriate claimant for those particular
20	programs.
21	Q And did you put this document
22	together?

1	A Yes, I did. And this was also
2	produced in the 2000 to 2003 cable proceedings.
3	Q And was it produced in this
4	proceeding?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And where did these documents come
7	from?
8	A Business records kept in the normal
9	course of business of IPG.
10	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
11	move to admit Exhibit 104.
12	MS. PLOVNICK: Objection to relevance.
13	This is related to international royalties as
14	opposed to U.S. royalties.
15	MR. BOYDSTON: May I respond, please?
16	JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.
17	MR. BOYDSTON: Relevance is that we
18	would be making argument that to the extent that
19	these two rights entities distributed funds to
20	IPG with regard to these specific claimants,
21	those entities made their own independent
22	determination that IPG was properly representing

the entities involved. 1 And so, once again, we have identified 2 the pages within this exhibit that applied to the 3 for which there specific IPG entities 4 challenges in that Exhibit 115 chart. 5 other words, there will be ABC Company being 6 7 challenged bу t.he MPAA. 8 One of our pieces of evidence that in 9 fact we do represent them may be a documentation 10 from AGICOA saying, "Here are the" -- you know, 11 representing that "Here are the distributions 12 You represent this entity, 13 from AGICOA. represent this entity, you 14 believe you 15 increase this money." 16 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I'm just I don't see -- I 17 looking at the AGICOA report. see the entity, the name of the entity, but I 18 19 don't see a link with IPG. 20 THE WITNESS: Well, I'm testifying that --21 That's for 22 MR. BOYDSTON: us to

demonstrate. I believe we can. 1 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. I'm looking at 2 Exhibit 104, correct? 3 Yes, Your Honor. MR. BOYDSTON: 4 JUDGE BARRETT: Item Number 8. 5 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor. Your 6 Honor, may I question the witness further to try 7 to establish what we're getting at here, if need 8 9 be? Definitely need be JUDGE BARRETT: 10 because Ms. Plovnick's objection is that this has 11 to do with international programming. 12 Well, it does. 13 MR. BOYDSTON: not making a claim for international programming 14 What we're saying is, to the extent that 15 rights 16 international that international societies have paid money to our client on these 17 exact claims for the -- on claims for these exact 18 same entities, we are trying to put this into 19 evidence, so that we can make the argument that, 20 to the extent that AGICOA thinks that, yes, 21

indeed, IPG represents a particular company, that

1	is evidence that IPG does in fact represent that
2	particular company.
3	Now, that's an argument to be made,
4	but the basis for the argument is this evidence
5	that in fact these international entities have
6	already come to their own conclusion that IPG's
7	claim is valid.
8	JUDGE BARRETT: I'm having difficulty
9	connecting the dots, Mr. Boydston.
10	Ms. Plovnick, can you?
11	MS. PLOVNICK: Well, I don't I
12	mean, these documents say that they're from
13	AGICOA. AGICOA is an international CMO, as Ms.
14	Saunders testified. I don't see any link to IPG
15	at all, so I'm I don't understand the
16	relevance.
17	MR. BOYDSTON: If I may, can I direct
18	the witness to specific pages?
19	JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, if you would,
20	please.
21	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you.
22	BY MR. BOYDSTON:

Q Looking at Exhibit 115, under the column IPG P-104, which is this exhibit, the first reference to documentation is for the entity American Film Institute. And there's a reference to two pages, 3887 and 3921. So first turning, if you would, Mr. Galaz, turn to page 3887 in Exhibit 4.

And I see on that document, on its upper right-hand corner, it says American Film Institute. Is American Film Institute an IPG claimant?

A American Film Institute was an IPG-represented claimant. This particular document, 3887, was an accounting that we received from the Copyright Collective of Canada wherein they independently determined that in fact AFI had entitlement to the program AFI's 100 Years 100 Laughs.

Everything that appears in this document, IPG P-104, are documents that came -- accountings that came from either AGICOA or the Copyright Collective of Canada after they had

1.5

each independently confirmed that the programs that are being accounted for were to be accounted to the particular party that is identified on these documents.

Each of these documents was received by IPG, along with presumably a payment for royalties that had been collected, and then accounted to the IPG-represented claimant in connection with that.

The simple point is that -- and I acknowledge that even though this has to do with foreign, these are all entities that are making claim worldwide. They are not simply making claim for Europe. They are not simply making claim for Canada. They are making worldwide claim. And to that extent, there is absolutely no issue with their ownership as determined given by the foreign collectives.

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I submit that that's the purpose for which we are admitting these. It is evidence that you will weigh and maybe you'll think, "Nah, I'm not

convinced" or maybe you'll think you 1 convinced, but there is no reason not to admit 2 These are records received by IPG, kept in 3 the normal course of business, and we 4 testimony explaining what they're for. 5 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, if I may, 6 7 the domestic and international rights, there has been no evidence here to show that they would be 8 You know, they may have different 9 the same. 10 ownership or right or -- you know, domestic thing, and international 11 royalties are one 12 royalties are another. And just because AFI got royalties or, 13 14 you know, internationally doesn't necessarily 15 mean that these shows are -- that they advise the right party to get royalties domestically for 16 17 these shows. And I don't see any link with IPG at all. I still maintain the relevance 18 So objection. 19 Boydston, JUDGE BARRETT: ${\tt Mr.}$ I'm 20 looking at IPG 3887, all right, on Exhibit 104. 21 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. 22

JUDGE BARRETT: I see nothing on here 1 that references either Worldwide Subsidy Group or 2 IPG or anybody involved in this proceeding. 3 It represents -- it MR. BOYDSTON: 4 references American Film Institute, which is an 5 IPG has this piece of paper IPG claimant. 6 because it received it in the mail, and that's 7 the testimony of Mr. Galaz, that all of these 8 documents it received. 9 STRICKLER: When you JUDGE say 10 American Film Institute is a claimant represented 11 by IPG, is there any other document that you are 12 going to be introducing that demonstrates that 13 connection? 14 It does -- there are, MR. BOYDSTON: 15 Specifically, for -- the handiest 16 Your Honor. looking answer that is 17 for me to way And looking at Exhibit 115, under 18 Exhibit 115. American -- I'm having trouble finding it now --19 American Film Institute, which is the one, two, 20 three, four -- fifth entity down on the first 21 page of 115, there is a representation agreement 22

referenced in Exhibit 101 at pages 3032 to 3036,
which is an executed representation agreement in
which American Film Institute retained -- or

signed the contract with IPG.

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

Then, in addition to that, in Exhibit 122, which has not yet been identified -- or been admitted yet, because I haven't gotten to it, there is a document which is a -- it's a correspondence in which American Film Institute, pursuant to its contract with IPG, is identifying its programming so that IPG can make claim for it.

Then, moving along, on American Film Institute, there is this document that just came up as well as a second document also in this Exhibit 104. And then in Exhibit 105, which I haven't gotten to yet, there is a two-page document referenced which is items found by IPG when IPG did research to -- further to try to American Film ties for determine program And that would be it for American Film Institute.

But, yes, to answer your question. 1 It's those multiple things. This is one indicia, 2 one piece of evidence if you will. 3 And, again, it -- I'm -- all we're 4 doing here is admitting it. And if we -- if it's 5 admitted but we don't do a good enough job of 6 7 explaining why it's relevant, or you just don't -- you don't think you're that convinced by it, 8 then, fair enough, it goes to weight, but that's 9 no reason why it shouldn't be admitted. 10 It's a document that IPG received, 11 there is testimony confirming that, and that's 12 why it's being offered into evidence. 13 PLOVNICK: know 115 isn't MS. I 14 offered yet, but we are going to object to 15 admission of this on several bases, including 16 that IPG was ordered to produce its records in a 17 more organized fashion by the Judges. 18 have been great to have something like this, yet 19 20 they didn't give it to us until we got the exhibit binder. So --21

MR. BOYDSTON: We didn't create it

1	until we made the exhibit binder.
2	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. All right.
3	Okay.
4	(Pause)
5	Well, let's just take the American
6	Film Institute representation agreement for
7	starters.
8	MR. BOYDSTON: You're on Exhibit 101?
9	JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. Page 3032, Bates
10	IPG 3032.
11	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.
12	JUDGE BARRETT: Which appears to
13	authorize IPG to receive monies from Copyright
14	Collection Society
15	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes.
16	JUDGE BARRETT: for programs and
17	other rights listed on its for the rights
18	listed on Exhibit A, the programs they've listed
19	on Exhibit B, which are essentially illegible,
20	and I don't know what all the abbreviations are
21	across the top of the table on Exhibit B.
22	And then there is a declaration

1	confirming the agreement that Worldwide Subsidy
2	Group is authorized to register claims, resolve
3	disputes, et cetera, with respect to all matters
4	pertaining to Canadian retransmission copyright
5	royalties.
6	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.
7	JUDGE BARRETT: Still, I'm having
8	trouble connecting the dots.
9	MR. BOYDSTON: Well, the
10	representation agreement itself is for
11	number 1, authorization, it says, "To collect any
12	and all monies distributed by Audio-Visual
13	Copyright Collection Societies throughout the
14	world." So it was worldwide. The
15	JUDGE BARRETT: Well, are you
16	suggesting, then, that the Copyright Office is a
17	Copyright Collection Society?
18	MR. BOYDSTON: That was the term that
19	was used in the agreement, and neither party to
20	the agreement had any confusion on whether that
21	meant exactly that. And there's
22	THE WITNESS: Ask me, please.

1	MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry. I testified
2	and I shouldn't, so maybe I should I mean,
3	maybe Your Honors should go ahead and question it
4	by Mr. Galaz, but I'm happy to answer if you want
5	me to answer.
6	MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I would
7	just add that, you know, this document here on
8	with the Bates Stamp Number IPG Exhibit 3036,
9	this made it look like that the understanding is
10	that the was the Canadian collective. So
11	MR. BOYDSTON: Wait a minute. Can I
12	no. What happened there was Canada
13	specifically asked for something about a Canada -
14	- that's why that says Canada. The agreement
15	itself says throughout the world, and I can have
16	Mr. Galaz I can ask Mr. Galaz
17	THE WITNESS: It's two different
18	documents.
19	MR. BOYDSTON: if need be.
20	THE WITNESS: It's two separate
21	documents. They are not they are not being
22	intended to be purported to be a single

document. It was simply submitted as evidence 1 that IPG had in fact been engaged by American 2 Film Institute. The agreement ends at Exhibit B. 3 And even though it is a bad copy, you can see 4 across the top of it that it's making reference 5 to American Film Institute's -- AFI's 100 Years 6 They have a series of programs. 7 programs. The simple point here was that not 8 only is it a standard representation agreement 9 with IPG which refers to, if you look at the 10 Exhibit A, the rights that are being collected, 11 including cable and satellite retransmission 12 royalties, as one of the rights being collected. 13 bridge the 2000-2003 14 We crossed this in but also identifies specific proceedings, 15 Not all IPG agreements identified the 16 programs. because they were intended to 17 programs, updated as we went. 18 19 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Galaz, what does in the column headings on Exhibit B --20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 21 JUDGE BARRETT: -- at IPG 3035, what 22

1	does CCT stand for?
2	THE WITNESS: Coloration, color, black
3	and white. I think CCT is a designation that's
4	utilized by certain of the frankly, I don't
5	recall completely clearly, but it some of the
6	applications you make for the foreign collectives
7	ask you to identify whether or not it's a
8	colorized work or in color or black and white.
9	JUDGE BARRETT: That's enough. Thank
10	you. What does 4SE or 4ISE, what does that say
11	in the one, two, three, four fifth column, the
12	header? What is that?
13	THE WITNESS: I think my
14	recollection is that SE stands for Series or
15	Series Episode.
16	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
17	THE WITNESS: Sometimes they require
18	you to identify the episode title.
19	JUDGE BARRETT: All right. And what
20	does YFR stand for?
21	THE WITNESS: Year First Release.
22	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. And NAT?

1	THE WITNESS: Nationality.
2	JUDGE BARRETT: And duration is not
3	filled in.
4	THE WITNESS: Duration, language,
5	director, actors, writers.
6	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
7	THE WITNESS: And this is all
8	information that was provided as by American
9	Film Institute as part of our contract with them.
10	JUDGE BARRETT: But there is nothing
11	on that table that indicates that any of these
12	shows were retransmitted by cable or satellite in
13	the U.S.
14	THE WITNESS: No, because our contract
15	is for the collection of that. That's part of
16	our responsibility is to collect that data and
17	make the application for that, specifically
18	because it wouldn't make sense for any particular
19	producer to, you might say, invest in whatever
20	data was necessary for them to figure out I
21	mean, a lot of them generally don't even know
]

necessarily where they have been broadcast until

we give it to them. And we've given it to some 1 actually do 2 of just they them SO can doublechecking on things. 3 But even beyond that, to discern which 4 ones -- which particular broadcasts were even 5 distantly retransmitted, they would have to make 6 7 the same investment that we make or anybody else in this room makes. 8 9 BY MR. BOYDSTON: And how -- but that's a very expensive 10 Q investment. 11 12 Α Hundreds of thousands of dollars annually. 13 And so part of the service that IPG 14 15 provides is it makes that investment, so that the individual entity like American Film Institute 16 17 doesn't have to spend \$100,000 getting data to 18 collect \$100,000 in royalties. They don't necessarily know whether or 19 20 been distantly their programs have not retransmitted, and one year they may be and the 21 22 next year they may not. We have --

1	JUDGE BARRETT: So IPG spends \$100,000
2	in collecting data to get its 10 percent
3	commission or
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	JUDGE BARRETT: eight or 12 or
6	whatever.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	MR. BOYDSTON: More than that, but
9	yes.
10	JUDGE BARRETT: I'm no economist, but
11	that doesn't seem to me like a winning
12	proposition.
13	MR. BOYDSTON: Well, that's why they
14	represent more than just one entity. It wouldn't
15	it would be a losing proposition if you only
16	represented one entity, but IPG doesn't. It
17	represents hundreds of entities.
18	JUDGE BARRETT: Colleagues, could we
19	concur for a moment, please?
20	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
21	went off the record at 3:28 p.m. and resumed at
22	3:38 p.m.)

1	JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. The
2	Judges can see that IPG is by this exhibit
3	attempting to make a connection between IPG and
4	these other entities. There is some relevance to
5	the documents that are being offered. The Judges
6	will admit Exhibit 104.
7	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
8	document was received into evidence as
9	IPG Exhibit 104.)
10	And we'll weigh it along with other
11	evidence that either supports or opposes the
12	information therein. So it is admitted and we
13	make no representation about what weight we'll
14	give it until we have the entire record before
15	us.
16	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	May I have a seat?
18	JUDGE BARRETT: You may.
19	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
20	Q Mr. Galaz, take a look at what's been
21	marked as Exhibit 105.
22	A All right.

2

O And let us know what that is?

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

of is the product Exhibit 105 Α that IPG did at different independent research times in order to corroborate the programs that particular IPG represented owned by The bulk of it contains printouts claimants. from IMDB which is a pretty trusted database for least with -- maybe not with regard distribution rights, but with regard production rights, who the producer Also mixed in with IPG particular program was. 105 are copyright registrations that were taken from the U.S. Copyright Office and I think I believe that there is also something there from Infomercial Monitoring Service which is an independent entity that is sort of the entity in the infomercial market that has kept tabs on what infomercials are released. And they have a variety of data.

We gave them information on particular IPG represented claimants just for them to then cross reference with their records to see which

1	infomercials had come out, one of the problems
2	being the way infomercials appear in the data
3	that's produced by TV Data. Sometimes it will be
4	a Tae Bo 1 versus Tae Bo 2 versus Tae Bo 3 versus
5	Tae Bo spelled different ways. And each of those
6	have a lot more significance to them, that
7	industry, than it does to me. So we also engaged
8	Infomercial Monitoring Service to give us some
9	information on that particular programming.
LO	Q I believe this references Exhibit 115
L1	like the previous exhibits. Is that correct?
L2	A That is correct.
L3	Q And looking at Exhibit 115, the first
L4	claimant listed therein, ACME Communications,
L5	there's a reference to Document No. 3959 in this
L6	Exhibit 105. Why don't you take a look at that
L7	Exhibit 105, page 3959. It appears to be a
L8	printout from IMDb. Why don't you tell the
L9	Judges what IMDb is in case they're not familiar
20	with it or please testify as to
21	A I think it's Internet Movie Database
22	and it's generally been, at least from our
- 1	ł ·

experience, the most trustworthy database as far 1 are the producers of а particular 2 as who audiovisual work, who are the distributors of it. 3 Distribution rights, as I said, are a little bit 4 more touchy because those will change, whereas 5 the initial producer will not ever change. 6 it gives background information, everything from 7 the actors to the directors and what have you. 8 You can clink on links to come up with all the 9 programming of a particular entity. 10 So for instance, if we had typed in 11 3959, 12 this right IPG ACME one here on Communications, if we had come at it from a 13 different angle and clicked on a particular 14 program that was owned by them, we then could 15 have clicked on ACME Communications and it would 16 have brought up all their programming. 17 FEDER: JUDGE 18 How did they obtain this information? Is 19 this like Wikipedia where users provide it? 20 THE WITNESS: It is. And that's, I 21 would say, the downside of it. 22 But truth be

1	told, we had never had any significant issue with
2	it. We've literally seen very, very few
3	circumstances where we've actually seen something
4	and said you know, that doesn't look quite right.
5	It may be outdated and it's typically with regard
6	to distribution information and not production
7	information.
8	JUDGE FEDER: Do you have page 3959 in
9	front of you?
10	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11	JUDGE FEDER: And look under past
12	television titles. What does the next line say?
13	THE WITNESS: My copy is very, very
14	poor.
15	JUDGE FEDER: So is mine, that's why
16	I asked.
17	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. On my copy
18	it's just I know it was clearer when it got
19	scanned in, but
20	JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Plovnick, is your
21	copy legible?
22	MS. PLOVNICK: No, Your Honor, it's

1	not. And many of these documents are illegible
2	and were illegible when they were originally
3	produced to us. They continue to be illegible.
4	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Mr.
5	MacLean, is your copy legible?
6	MR. MacLEAN: Well, the line Judge
7	Feder just referenced is not. Much of it is not.
8	JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, what we
9	have before us is a large stack of illegible
10	documents. You will have an opportunity, should
11	you choose to do so or should you be able to do
12	so, to provide all of us with legible copies of
13	each and every one of these pages, otherwise we
14	will deal with what we have.
15	• MR. BOYDSTON: Understood.
16	JUDGE BARRETT: Are you offering then,
17	Exhibit are you done with the preliminaries on
18	105?
19	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE BARRETT: Other than legibility.
21	MS. PLOVNICK: I want to state an
22	objection to the record that the document is

1	illegible on IPG's, at least my copy.
2	MR. BOYDSTON: I will stipulate that
3	if one can't read it, one doesn't get my point.
4	(?) 3:44:55)
5	JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean?
6	MR. MacLEAN: No additional objection,
7	Your Honor.
8	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. 105 is admitted
9	as is. And with leave for IPG to provide legible
10	copies of everything for every party and each
11	Judge.
12	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
12	(M220200E) 0110 0110 0110 0110 0110
13	document was received into evidence as
13	document was received into evidence as
13	document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit 105.)
13 14 15	document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit 105.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
13 14 15 16	document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit 105.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. BOYDSTON:
13 14 15 16 17	document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit 105.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q Mr. Galaz, take a look at what's been
13 14 15 16 17	document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit 105.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q Mr. Galaz, take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 106. And I just remind
13 14 15 16 17 18	document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit 105.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q Mr. Galaz, take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 106. And I just remind everyone this is one of the two that was flip-

is one of the documents in this exhibit? 1 And I just want to clarify, on IPG Α 2 105, the description is correspondence between 3 various parties in IPG regarding claimed program 4 titles. To be more exact, this is correspondence 5 between IPG and its represented claimants with 6 regard to the claimed programs that are being 7 8 requested by the represented claimants for IPG to make claim for. 9 I'm sorry, Mr. Galaz, 10 JUDGE BARRETT: there was no question pending, so I have no idea 11 12 what you were just talking about. 13 Mr. Boydston? MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 15 MR. MacLEAN: Objection, no question 16 pending. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. Granted. 17 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 18 Before we get into Exhibit 115, again, 19 record, describe what 20 just for the 21 documents are? documents include that 22 Α These are

1	correspondence that was provided to IPG by IPG
2	represented claimants when IPG solicited them to
3	give for the purposes of these proceedings the
4	list of programs that were being claimed by those
5	claimants for these programs.
6	JUDGE BARRETT: Wait a minute, please.
7	I'm sorry. We're talking about again 115?
8	MR. BOYDSTON: No, we're talking about
9	106.
10	JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, all right. Go
11	ahead.
12	MR. BOYDSTON: And I'm going to have
13	him describe that first before we put that before
14	you.
15	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, thank you.
16	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
17	Q Mr. Galaz, you were about to give an
18	example. Could you continue?
19	A So, for instance, if you were to look
20	at 115 and
21	Q Let's not start at 115 right now.
22	Just explain what these documents are, and if you

could give an example?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

This is an example where in the course contacted proceedings of these we representative claimant and said here's a list of up to, one case, 64,000 titles that generated a retransmission royalty. Please go through this and identify which belong to you. And if there's limitations, if there's territorial limitations, please identify them. These are the responses that came from the IPG represented claimants to IPG.

Q And what did IPG do with this information?

A We typed it into a database indicating that, for instance, ACME Communications, or whoever it might be, is making claim for this particular year for this particular program.

Q And you could say this particular program because you had ACME Communications sending a document in here back to you saying these are our programs?

A That is correct.

Ì	
1	MR. MacLEAN: Objection, leading.
2	JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.
3	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
4	Q Where did these documents come from?
5	A They came from the normal business
6	records kept in our files.
7	Q And did you assemble this document,
8	Exhibit 106?
9	A Yes, I did.
10	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
11	move to admit Exhibit 106.
12	MS. PLOVNICK: I have an objection.
13	My objection is essentially it's very similar
14	to the one I made in the 2000-2003 proceedings
15	regarding correspondence in that it's incomplete
16	and it's not authenticated. He's purporting that
17	these things as attachments, they came from
18	claimant. We often have emails that have, missing
19	pages, some pages may appear later, some may not.
20	We got some electronic records, but they don't
21	have the same names and the same file sizes as
22	the ones that are referenced in these documents.

So we have authenticity issues. 1 objection on Ι also I have an 2 relevance because some of these are related to 3 2000-2003 cables specifically, and not 4 royalty funds at issue in this proceeding. 5 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, we did not 6 want to get burned -- excuse me, we did get the 7 result from the prior proceeding. We 8 attached everything, everything in here has -- is 9 10 complete and has its attachments with it. Unlike last time, we did not attach to 11 anything like this a big giant electronic file 12 whether it would be 5,000 pages that we couldn't 13 produce in a proceeding like this, like we did 1.4 back in 2000-2003. So we didn't do that. 15 16 there's something incomplete, I'd like to know where it is because we made a very strong effort 17 to avoid that and that's why this thing is such a 18 19 behemoth. 20 MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I'd like to reiterate Ms. Plovnick's objection. As to where 21 22 they are, if you take a look at the very first

1	page of this exhibit, at the top right hand
2	corner of the first page, I'm talking about the
3	first page after the title page, Item 10. Top
4	right hand corner of the first page, page 1 of 2,
5	turn the page. Nothing is here, but the
6	attachments. Turn that page, the very next page,
7	page 1 of 5. Turn the page, nothing but an
8	attachment. Next one, page 1 of 3, turn the
9	page, page 1 of 1. These are all incomplete
10	emails. And I haven't gone through the entire
11	thing like this, but the first five documents I
12	just looked at are all incomplete emails. We
13	object on the rule of completeness to the Board
14	receiving this exhibit in his state.
15	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, we have an
16	explanation. May I question the witness?
17	JUDGE BARRETT: If you would, please.
18	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
19	Q Mr. Galaz, you heard the statement by
20	counsel, with regard to the first two pages,
21	first several pages of Exhibit 106, is it
22	complete? And if so, explain why.

the 2000-2003 Α All right, In 1 proceedings, there was an objection that, for 2 instance, it would say page 1 of 2 even though 3 were weren't offering for what was in the second 4 We went back and we reproduced it then 5 where we gave both pages. So for instance, if 6 you would get -- and I have to refer to Exhibit 7 at first 8 115, look the very one. Communications, item 10, 4160 to 4160 -- excuse 9 me, 4160 to 4161 and you'll see the first one 10 says page 1 of 2. The second page is a printout 11 of the first page of the attachment which, if 12 13 printed out, would be whatever, 10,000 pages. look to the continuing 14 You then numbers 4759 through 4764 and it's the complete 15 version so that you actually see what's on page 2 16 and any other attachment. And you see from there 17 that it's irrelevant, the entirety has been now 18 produced. 19 So even though somewhat unartfully, 20

everything got produced, every page, even if it

be comprehensively certain that

21

22

to

was an irrelevant page 2, is that in the 2000-2003 proceeding and in this -- I think in the 2000-2003 proceeding, we then went back and attached emails, even if it said page 1 of 2, we gave both pages and if the second was irrelevant and produced that. All of that is in this document.

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, if I may add in addition to that, the fact of the matter is and the Federal Rules of Evidence say this, that if a document is incomplete, it's only a consequence if it's incompleteness affects its value. So number one, we gave everything, and number two, this entire inquiry is inappropriate anyway unless someone can show some prejudice or some reason why the evidence is compromised by backing a document.

And may I point out, too, let's not forget all of the documents that were admitted with of portions for the MPAA scores agreements are redacted. How relevant is that? Galaz, JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. page

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	IPG4160.
2	THE WITNESS: Okay.
3	JUDGE BARRETT: It says Adler Media.
4	THE WITNESS: Yes.
5	JUDGE BARRETT: At the top.
6	THE WITNESS: Okay.
7	JUDGE BARRETT: Page 1 of 2.
8	THE WITNESS: Okay.
9	JUDGE BARRETT: The second page is a
10	table of sorts, the title of which is Royalty
11	Generating Titles. And at the bottom it says
12	page 1.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.
14	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, then page 4162,
15	Agency for
16	THE WITNESS: Instructional
17	. Technology.
18	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you,
19	Instructional Technology, page 1 of 5.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	JUDGE BARRETT: The following page,

THE WITNESS: All right. 1 Royalty Entitled BARRETT: JUDGE 2 It is exactly in the Generating Titles, page 1. 3 same format as the page 1 royalty Generating 4 Titles table on 4161. 5 THE WITNESS: Can I explain? 6 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, that's what I was 7 going to ask you to do. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Certainly, when we were 10 contacting the parties that we represent, started off by giving them a list from our 11 12 analysis and from our data of the titles that had been broadcast and generated a royalty. If we 13 had done broader than that, then obviously it 14 would have been everything in the United States 15 and that would be including a lot of irrelevant 16 titles because not everything is distantly 17 retransmitted. 18 So first we have a list which is big 19 enough in this particular proceeding in excess of 20 64,000 titles that we gave to the IPG represented 21 claimants and we said to them these are all the

royalty-generating titles. Go through them and 1 figure out which are yours. They then responded with this where they came back in an Excel spreadsheet and identified just those that are 4 It gets placed at the top of the Excel 5 theirs. spreadsheet. If you were to print out this Excel 6 spreadsheet, it would be something like 10,000 pages, any one of them.

So all we would do is for purposes of production. although wе gave it to them electronically, nonetheless, the response, would only print out for purposes of the hard claimant had CODY those pages that the specifically identified this is my programming. And we asked them to do it by putting them name in the second column.

So you'll see, like in that example, on the left, the first column are program titles. And the one for Adler Media, Africa's Champaign Trains, Cosmonaut Coverup, Adler Media, by its appearance in that second column, had put its name there and then responded to us by this email

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	that's 40160 and attached the Excel spreadsheet
2	identifying which programs are theirs. So
3	they'll all be the exact same format from every
4	single IPG represented claimant unless the
5	claimant, some of whom didn't follow instructions
6	and instead they would just say oh, I only have
7	Africa's Champaign Trails or something like that.
8	JUDGE BARRETT: So with respect to
9	page 4161, the lower half of that chart does not
10	say Adler Media in the second
11	THE WITNESS: So it's not being
12	claimed.
13	JUDGE BARRETT: So it's not part of
14	the Adler Media claim.
15	THE WITNESS: Precisely.
16	JUDGE BARRETT: And there's no page 2
17	of 2?
18	THE WITNESS: We could give page
19	through 10,000.
20	JUDGE BARRETT: No, I'm talking about
21	the email, 4150
22	THE WITNESS: Oh, oh, oh. Yes, there

1	is. And that's what I was saying. On 4759, if
2	you look forward to 4759, because the way this
3	all came about was an objection that these were
4	incomplete because the subpage 1 of 2 and page 2
5	wasn't there. So if you looked at 47
6	actually, that's wrong.
7	JUDGE BARRETT: Actually, I did and
8	that was
9	THE WITNESS: That's an ACME. So
10	that's a mistake.
11	MR. BOYDSTON: It's in the column for
12	ACME. It's ACME, not Adler.
13	THE WITNESS: Well, then
14	MR. BOYDSTON: 4770, I think.
15	THE WITNESS: If you look at 4770, but
16	that's something different. Hm. I'm trying to
17	explain that one.
18	MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, the issue
19	that we would raise in addition was that he filed
20	they produced electronic files wouldn't match
21	the name as you see it on this Adler Media 1 IPG
22	4160. It would have a particular name,

1.0

1.8

attachments, titles, royalty generation for Adler Media Excel X and there would be a particular file size there.

When we attempted to cross reference those, the documents they produced that were electronic documents we were not able to make that connection so that we could say okay, this particular email matches the title, matches the file size, so therefore this is, in fact, the attachment which they are saying was -- so this was the issue for 2000 to 2003 that persists.

JUDGE BARRETT: Could you not have done the Excel search to put Adler at the top?

MS. PLOVNICK: The document would have Adler at the top. It just wasn't clear to us who made those annotations in the document. Was it IPG? Was it the claimant? The authenticity issue was the one that we raised and objected to. We could not make that authenticity link because the way the documents were produced the file had a different name, a different file size, and could have been made by anyone. So as this is

produced, this worksheet could have been completely made by IPG. We don't know if this claimant made this as they are purporting or if IPG made this. And that was our objection on the authenticity grounds.

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may the witness respond?

JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.

THE WITNESS: When we sent this out, the list of -- for instance, 64,000 titles, when a claimant responds as we've directed them to return the Excel spreadsheet with notations of their particular programs identified in the second column, we sent out literally an identical file to let's say, for example, 100 different IPG represented claimants. When they respond, the title of that document is the same for every 100 of them.

So the only way that you could discern as you put together would be literally to say okay, well, I got this one for Adler Media, but it still says 64,000 title list or something like

that. So we would change the title to Adler
Media. That's exactly how we produced it.

As far as what Ms. Plovnick is saying, as far as the difference in the size of it, I can't explain it other than it would minutiae perhaps to change from it going from Excel to Excel X or something like that, but it was never a circumstance where there was any manipulation by us other, I will say, than when we would get a list of 64,000, some of them would literally put it -- their name in the second column right next to a title, but then not organize it so it could all be put up at the very top.

We would do the resort just so all their titles was just up at the top. That's the only manipulation. We never added a single one that wasn't put there by the claimant.

MS. PLOVNICK: I was just going to add this particular file, for example, on Adler Media, the title of the file referenced in the email actually has Dinner 84 Adler Media in it, so if it had simply been saved as it was, it

1.0

1.3

1	would have been clear that it belonged to Adler
2	Media. It just wasn't. And I think, I suspect,
3	that many of these will have the title of the
4	claimant in the document when they come back.
5	They may not all have looked at every page of
6	this thousand page
7	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may the
8	witness respond to that?
9	JUDGE BARRETT: Where does it say
LO	"generating for Adler Media"?
11	MS. PLOVNICK: I'm looking on IPG
L2	Exhibit
L3	JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, I see.
L4	MS. PLOVNICK: The Bates stamp number
L5	is 4160 under attachment and it has the name of
L6	the file and this file's size. And so because
L7	they didn't produce it in the same form with an
L8	attachment included in more than an electronic
L9	file, we tried to go through and see okay, well,
20	can we find that file that's referenced right
21	here in paper so we can connect the dots there

and make sure that this is, in fact, what the

claimant was trying to send back, but we could 1 not make that connection. 2 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, but that says we 3 have 16 increased for Adler Media. Okay, the 4 following one doesn't have any -- I'm looking at 5 4162, has no claimant name. 6 7 MS. PLOVNICK: You're talking about the attachment on the next page. 8 JUDGE BARRETT: Right. 9 10 MS. PLOVNICK: No. Ι was the electronic file that they produced, we couldn't 11 confirm that the paper that's attached on the 12 13 next page, IPG 4161, was the the same as electronic. 14 JUDGE BARRETT: I understand, but with 15 16 that one at least you can tell that it's 16 17 entries and that there are 16 entries. MS. PLOVNICK: For Adler Media. 18 The next email which 19 JUDGE BARRETT: is 4162, the respondent did not change the name 20 of the electronic file, so there would be no way 21 that IPG could have provided you anything other

than to give you the whole darn thing and have 1 you do the sort for Agency for Instructional 2 Technology. 3 Right, but for that 4 MS. PLOVNICK: particular claimant, that is true, but if you 5 flip to 4165 for Beyond International, the file 6 name is different and the file size is different. 7 It's 2,407 kilobytes. 8 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, all right, thank 9 Mr. Boydston? 10 you. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, we'd like 11 to move to admit Exhibit 106. I think that's 12 13 where we started. Let me also, if I may, just point out, 14 we're admitting this for a very limited purpose. 15 They're saying that people like Adler Media 16 17 terminated with us, don't want anything to do with us, think we're scum. This is being offered 18 just simply to show they're communicating with 19 20 us. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, all right. 106 21 is admitted. 22

1	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
2	document was received into evidence as
3	IPG Exhibit 106.)
4	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
5	Q Mr. Galaz, please take a look at
6	Exhibit 107.
7	JUDGE BARRETT: On my exhibit list,
8	107 is marked as restricted. Are you going to be
9	
10	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE BARRETT: Are you going to be
12	asking for testimony on the contents of that
13	exhibit?
14	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor. I
15	think we are.
16	JUDGE BARRETT: If there is anyone in
17	the courtroom who is not a signor of a
18	confidentiality agreement regarding restricted
19	materials in this proceeding, please wait. And
20	there is a closed session sign, we'll just peel
21	and stick. Well, it's magnetic, but
22	JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston?

1	MR. BOYDSTON: Thanks, Your Honor. I
2	got confused with the back and forth.
3	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
4	Q Mr. Galaz, do you recognize Exhibit
5	107?
6	A Yes, I do.
7	Q And did you prepare Exhibit 107?
8	A Yes, I did.
9	Q And describe for us generally what
10	Exhibit 107 is?
11	A Exhibit 107 are various Notices of
12	Termination that were received by IPG that I had
13	a termination notice filed.
14	Q And released, produced in discovery?
15	A Yes.
16	Q How were these documents maintained?
17	I think we've already covered it. I think you
18	already answered before I asked
19	A With regard to all of these they're
20	all maintained in the normal course of business
21	within the files of IPG.
22	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd move to

admit Exhibit 107. 1 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. 2 MR. MacLEAN: No objection. 3 107 is admitted. JUDGE BARRETT: 4 5 (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as 6 IPG Exhibit 107.) 7 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 8 9 Galaz, please take a look at Exhibit 108. 10 All right. Α 11 And tell us what that is. 12 13 Well, following reports, the Judge's Α order of July 30, 2014, there was an inquiry as 14 15 to the correspondence involved with confirmations of engagement that IPG had secured from certain 16 parties and we were required to produce that. 17 I think the allegation that was being made is 18 that we had threatened people, or something along 19 20 those lines. So just belt and suspenders, gave copies of the confirmations of engagement and all 21

the associated correspondence.

1	Q Thank you.
2	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
3	move to admit Exhibit 108.
4	MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.
5	MR. MacLEAN: No objection.
6	JUDGE BARRETT: 108 is admitted.
7	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
8	document was received into evidence as
9	IPG Exhibit 108.)
10	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE BARRETT: Does that conclude the
12	restrictive exhibits at this time?
13	MR. BOYDSTON: It does.
14	JUDGE BARRETT: We can invite our
15	friends back in.
16	MR. BOYDSTON: Just for everyone's
17	convenience, the next one will be 115.
18	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
19	MR. BOYDSTON: May I proceed?
20	JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.
21	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you.
22	BY MR. BOYDSTON:

1	Q Please take a look at Exhibit 115.
2	We've talked about this some so far today, but
3	just want to confirm that Exhibit 115 also
4	incorporates IPG Exhibit 105, 106, 107, and 108,
5	correct?
6	A Yes, it does.
7	Q And underneath those columns at Bates
8	stamp numbers for particular documents in those
9	exhibits that are relevant to that particular
10	entity, is that correct?
11	A That's correct.
12	Q And I think I asked this before, but
13	did you generate this document based upon those
14	other exhibits?
15	A Yes, I did. I went through and found
16	the Bates stamp numbers that were associated with
17	the particular claimants who one or more claims
18	were being challenged by MPAA.
19	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
20	move to admit Exhibit 115.
21	MS. PLOVNICK: For the record, Your
22	Honor, I have an objection. This spreadsheet

includes the documents that were produced to us on November 30, 2014 as IPG handwritten Bates stamp numbers 5157 through 5200. So we object that that production violates the July 30th order for the record.

We also object that this worksheet,

1.0

We also object that this worksheet, you know, it would be very convenient if they produced it to us earlier, but we did not get it until December 2nd and we feel that the Judges required them to produce things in a complete fashion, organized fashion and as you can see, this is a dump of documents. This is IPG discovery. We had to go through all of this. If we had a worksheet like that, that really would have made our task easier. So we object that it wasn't produced in a timely fashion.

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, this is work --

MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, we maintain the objections that we made to the underlying documents, but we don't object to the admission of this exhibit as a summary.

1	JUDGE BARRETT: 115 is admitted as a
2	compilation or a summary, rather, of the
3	underlying documents.
4	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
5	document was received into evidence as
6	IPG Exhibit 115.)
7	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
9	Q Mr. Galaz, let me ask you about are
10	you familiar with the entity Tracee Productions?
11	A Yes, I am.
12	Q And is it not true that Tracee
13	Productions was an entity that you used in part
14	in criminal activity?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q Was Tracee Productions also engaged in
17	legitimate activity?
18	A Yes, it was.
19	Q And what was that?
20	A It had as an entity secured rights for
21	cable and satellite retransmission royalties from
22	third parties.

1	Q And in some of your criminal
2	paperwork, there's reference to a name, Francisco
3	Diaz, and your charging information I believe it
4	is stated that that is an alias. Is there a real
5	person named Francisco Diaz?
6	A There is, who I had known for several
7	years, who I could tell you the law firms that he
8	worked at. He was someone who was intimately
9	familiar with my crime and was not charged.
10	Q Based on your understanding of the
11	investigation that was made and to you, and those
12	matters, do you know whether or not Tracee
13	Productions or Mr. Diaz was ever charged by a
14	governmental or law enforcement agency?
15	A I know Mr. Diaz wasn't because that
16	was actually part of my arrangement, part of the
17	arrangement that I made was that he wouldn't be
18	because I was taking responsibility for the act.
19	JUDGE STRICKLER: Excuse me, counsel.
20	You said you would be able to tell us the law
21	firm that Mr. Diaz had worked in?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, he worked at two

1	different law firms that I personally know. He
2	was a legal secretary at some point. Actually,
3	two law firms I worked at but at different times.
4	JUDGE STRICKLER: What are the names
5	of those law firms?
6	THE WITNESS: Loeb and Loeb LLP in Los
7	Angeles and Kaye Scholer, I don't know the
8	remaining names, Kaye Scholer in Los Angeles.
9	And I can describe him and I know for a fact that
10	he relocated to the Phoenix area. This is an
11	individual.
12	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
13	Q Now Tracee Productions, I believe, was
L4	the claimants for Tracee Productions were
L5	included let me ask a nonleading question.
L6	Did IPG include claimants for Tracee Productions
L7	at any time in transmitting to the Copyright
L8	Office?
L9	A I believe in the 19 I know in the
20	1999 filings. I'm not sure if it was 1998 as
21	well.
22	Q Did IPG ever submit any claims on

1	behalf of Tracee Productions after 1999?
2	A No.
3	Q In the intent to participate that was
4	prepared by IPG in this case, was Tracee
5	Productions listed?
6	A No.
7	Q Are you familiar with the entity
8	British Broadcasting Company?
9	A Corporation. Yes.
lo	Q And specifically British Broadcasting
11	Company Worldwide America?
12	A British Broadcasting Corporation and
13	BBC Worldwide America, that's correct.
14	Q And was that a claimant with IPG?
15	A Yes. We had our standard long-form
16	agreement with BBC. BBC Worldwide America that
17	did business as BBC Worldwide as reflected on
18	their letterhead. And in any event, they had
L9	always represented to us that they had exclusive
20	North American rights to all of the programming
21	of BBC Worldwide based in the U.K. and we
22	corresponded regularly with the U.K., the

1	personnel in the U.K. office.
2	Q And did IPG enter into a contract with
3	BBC America?
4	A Yes, we did. BBC Worldwide America.
5	Q Excuse me, Worldwide America. Noting
6	here on Exhibit 115, there's a reference to
7	documents, Bates stamp number 3047 to 3049 in
8	Exhibit 101. Based on your familiarity with
9	these documents, does that appear to be the
10	agreement that was entered with British
11	Broadcasting Company?
L2	A That's correct.
L3	Q I keep messing up the name. Your
L4	Honor, I apologize. I'm just going to call it
L5	BBC. Everyone else can call it what they want.
L6	JUDGE BARRETT: Well, that would be
L7	problematic, Mr. Boydston, inasmuch as there are
L8	many iterations of BBC. And Worldwide America,
L9	as I understand it, is a subsidiary or some
20	affiliate of the grandfather or great
21	grandfather.
22	MR. BOYDSTON: Why don't I ask the

witness a few questions and maybe we can get that 1 2 straightened that. Thank you. JUDGE BARRETT: 3 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 4 Mr. Galaz, who did IPG contract with? 5 0 Technically, BBC Worldwide America. 6 Α 7 And what was your understanding as to 0 what the entity BBC Worldwide was compared to or 8 in relationship to BBC Worldwide America? 9 10 Α BBC Worldwide is the, you might say, the mother entity based in the United Kingdom. 11 BBC Worldwide America, as I had understood, was 1.2 13 the North American subsidiary. Nonetheless, BBC Worldwide America did business and held itself 14 out as BBC Worldwide and that's reflected even on 15 their letterhead. 16 17 can tell, There is, far as I as complete lack of any borders between the two 18 entities because we actually would get referred 19 20 to personnel in the BBC Worldwide -- excuse me, the BBC Limited offices in the U.K. 21 Thank you. Let me ask you to take a 22 Q

1-291 look -- well, actually before I do that, did IPG 1 send out correspondence to BBC Worldwide America 2 in connection with these proceedings? 3 Yes, we did. Α 4 And what was the purpose of that? 5 0 Well, we did it also in the 2000-2003 6 7 proceedings and in both proceedings cooperated in response to the final decision that 8 9 was issued in the 2000-2003 cable proceedings. There was an issue between BBC in the U.K. and 10 BBC Worldwide America in the United States. 11 12 didn't feel that it had been adequately addressed 13 and there was some confusion, so for that very contacted them, 14 amongst other reason we

Consistent with our agreement with them and their termination that was effective through June 30, 2008, they executed an acknowledgement of representation indicating that

indicating for what time frame we were engaged.

several other parties that there were potential

and

representation from

confusion

issues

of

acknowledgement of

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

solicited

an

1	IPG represents BBC Worldwide America in the cable
2	and satellite retransmission proceedings for all
3	broadcasts through and including June 30, 2008.
4	Q And I believe that Exhibit 115
5	references a confirmation of engagement in the
6	second column from BBC Worldwide America, Inc.,
7	Exhibit 115. Is that correct?
8	A Correct, so it would be found in
9	Exhibit 102 at page 3551.
10	Q Let's turn to that. Is this the
11	document you were just referring to?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Pardon me?
14	A Yes, this is the document I was
15	referring to. It was signed by the General
16	Counsel, Vernon Chu. And as you indicate, as I
17	indicated in 1999 through June 30, 2008.
18	Q It appears that Mr. Chu dated it
19	3/14/14?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q And IPG received this from Mr. Chu
22	and from the BBC Worldwide America?

1	A Approximate to that date. That's
2	about the time that we were sending out our
3	solicitations for to certain parties for the
4	acknowledgments.
5	Q Now you referred to a termination by
6	BBC Worldwide America. Explain how that came
7	about.
8	A It's within the if you look at
9	Exhibit 115, then you'll find under terminations
10	of agreement for BBC Worldwide, it appears that
11	page 5129, I really had little to do with that
12	other than knowing that BBC at some point had
13	sent a termination letter to us and indicated
14	that prospectively, starting some date, we
15	couldn't make claim for what we could make claim
16	for broadcasts occurring after a certain date.
17	Q And did IPG try to confirm with that?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Let me ask you to take a look at what
2.0	has been marked as Exhibit 116 and it's a letter
21	take a look at it.
22	A All right.

And tell me if you are familiar with 0 1 2 that letter? Yes, this is a letter that we recently 3 Α received from counsel for BBC in which they are 4 indicating that they are terminating the rights 5 with us -- I think it's somewhat confusing, as I 6 They signed conflicting agreements 7 understand. with the Motion Picture Association of America. 8 They're saying that our agreements for cable 9 apply through June 30, 2008, but our agreements 10 satellite only apply 2005 11 through 12 something like there. They're effectively saying they're 13 partially revoking parts of the authority that 14 15 was granted under the agreement, even though the agreement has a post-term perpetual collection 16 17 clause. 18 Q Do you have any reason to understand like this 19 why they issued a letter counsel? 20 21 Α week earlier than this we had received an email from -- or a letter from Mr. 22

1	Chu who had indicated that he raised some very,
2	very technical arguments and demanded that we
3	produce certain documents or petitions to
4	participate the July claims that we had filed on
5	behalf of BBC. It was clear that they were
6	responding to communication from Motion Picture
7	Association of America who was asserting that
8	they were going to challenge all of BBC's claims
9	on the grounds that the petitions to participate,
10	I suppose just for the satellite proceedings, had
11	not said the full name BBC Worldwide America, but
12	instead said BBC Worldwide.
13	Q Let me ask you about Your Honor, I
14	would like to move to admit Exhibit 116.
15	MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.
16	MR. MacLEAN: No objection.
17	JUDGE BARRETT: 116 is admitted.
18	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
19	document was received into evidence as
20	IPG Exhibit 116.)
21	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	BY MR. BOYDSTON:

1	Q Are you familiar with an entity known
2	as Beyond Distribution?
3	A Yes, I am.
4	Q And did Beyond Distribution have an
5	agreement with IPG?
6	A Yes, it did.
7	Q Have you there's been a declaration
8	by a representative of Beyond Distribution named
9	Mikael Borglund produced in this matter. Have
10	you reviewed that?
11	A Yes, I have.
12	Q And what was your understanding of it
13	from reviewing it?
14	A Well, first of all, we've never had
15	any communication with Mr. Borglund before. Our
16	communication was with other individuals at
17	Beyond Distribution. They cooperated with us in
18	connection for 2000-2003 proceedings. And mind
19	you, I think we only represented them for one
20	year in this proceeding, 2000, if I recall.
21	With regard to the more recent
22	proceedings, they came back and said 64,000

titles, that's going to take forever to 1 through and we never ultimately got a response 2 We've never received any response 3 from them. 4 from them indicating that we were not engaged. There's been no termination letter that I'm aware 5 of or denial of our representation of them in 6 Ι 7 these particular proceedings. And as understand from Mr. Borglund, the defense to his 8 asserted response that they are 9 revoking, unilaterally revoking the 10 agreement with WSG, is that the individual that signed the 11 contract didn't have the authority to do so. 12 I went back and found, opened up the 13 file and found and found other correspondence 14

I went back and found, opened up the file and found and found other correspondence from this individual whose prior correspondence simply referred to him as Legal and Business Affairs Executive. That was the individual that signed the contract with us. He forwarded the correspondence to us under that heading and by all understanding of us, he had authority to act on behalf of Beyond.

Q Please take a look at what's been

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	marked as Exhibit 117. Do you recognize that?
2	A Yes. This is actually the
3	correspondence I found.
4	Q And it appears to be from a man named
5	Jerry Dohnal?
6	A Yes.
7	Q That's the individual who you were
8	referring to?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And is that the individual who
11	executed the agreement?
12	A Yes.
13	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
14	move Exhibit 117 into evidence.
15	MS. PLOVNICK: I have an objection and
16	this is renewing my objection to this was not
17	produced pursuant to the July 30th order and we
18	believe it was dated in 1999 and should have been
19	produced pursuant to that discovery order and the
20	first time we got it was December 2, 2014.
21	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, we didn't
22	even know it was relevant until we saw MPAA's

rebuttal statement because there's no issue ever 1 brought up not Beyond Entertainment until then 2 when we found this. looked at and then we 3 There's no reason for us to think that it was 4 responsive to anything because we didn't know 5 there was any issue with Beyond Entertainment. 6 7 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, the July 30th order said to produce all documents related 8 9 authority to represent everyone IPG's 10 Exhibit IPG 1. Beyond International is Exhibit IPG 1 and this document is a document 11 related to their authority. 12 MR. Your Honor, you'll 13 BOYDSTON: recall that the Judges also ruled that IPG did 14 15 have to produce every single piece correspondence it ever had with any client, only 16 those which were at issue. This is exactly what 17 we did. 18 JUDGE BARRETT: Okav, Beyond 19 International Limited is what's on Exhibit 115. 20 This correspondence that you're seeing to admit 21 point, Exhibit 117, is Beyond 22 at this

1	Distribution.
2	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor
3	JUDGE BARRETT: With a different name.
4	I don't
5	MR. BOYDSTON: May I question the
6	witness on that?
7	JUDGE BARRETT: I think you probably
8	should.
9	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
10	Q Mr. Galaz, what's your understanding
11	of the name of Beyond Distribution?
12	A Well, Beyond Distribution is if you
13	would look at the declaration that's recently
14	been submitted as part of the MPAA case, it
15	indicates that they are related entities. I
16	actually think, but I don't have it at my
17	fingertips, that our agreement is with Beyond
18	Distribution.
19	The simple point that we were making
20	by providing IPG 117 is to point out that the
21	title that the individual who signed the contract
22	with us indicated was his position. This is the

1	individual that signed the contract with us that
2	Beyond is now indicating didn't have the
3	authority to enter into the contract, even though
4	he seems to have apparent authority because he
5	represents himself as the Legal and Business
6	Affairs Executive.
7	Q I believe the agreement is 3062 in
8	Exhibit 101, if we turn to that. It seems to say
9	the following shall set forth agreement between
10	Worldwide Subsidy Group WSG and Beyond
11	Distribution PTY Limited. And there's a
12	signature thereon.
13	JUDGE STRICKLER: What's the Bates
14	number on that, counsel?
15	MR. BOYDSTON: 3062.
16	JUDGE STRICKLER: 101?
17	MR. BOYDSTON: Correct, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE BARRETT: Could you just give us
19	a moment, please?
20	MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.
21	Your Honor, if I may, bottom righthand corner of
22	the fax cover sheet has the same name, Beyond

1	Distribution PTY Limited.
2	JUDGE BARRETT: I realize that.
3	That's why I'm questioning whether they're the
4	same entity. Beyond International is the claim
5	that MPAA is the claimant that MPAA says or
6	that they're challenging. Correct? And Beyond
7	International is what you have listed on your
8	Exhibit 115 and none of the documents that you've
9	showed us so far has said Beyond International.
10	It has said they've all said Beyond
11	Distribution.
12	MR. BOYDSTON: May I ask the witness
13	if he has any clarification? Maybe he does,
L4	maybe he doesn't.
L5	JUDGE BARRETT: I thought that's what
L6	you asked the last time. I didn't hear any
L7	clarification, but you can ask again.
L8	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
L9	Q Mr. Galaz, do you have any explanation
20	as to the difference in these names?
21	A Well, that's the challenge that's
22	being made. I'm not sure, without going back and

1	checking for the documents, how we listed it in
2	our claims. However, as pointed out, even in the
3	declaration of the executive, the managing
4	director of Beyond Distribution, that's one of
5	the MPAA's exhibits, the three are part of a
6	common entity.
7	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'm just
8	checking the MPAA exhibit on that to see what it
9	says.
10	MS. PLOVNICK: It's MPAA Exhibit 326.
11	JUDGE BARRETT: So Mr. Plovnick
12	MR. BOYDSTON: 326.
13	JUDGE BARRETT: was there any way
14	for IPG to know that MPAA was challenging a
15	Beyond Distribution/Beyond International claim
16	prior to when did you first notify them?
17	MS. PLOVNICK: Our motion to compel
18	was to give us all of their documents related to
19	representation and these were fully complete rep.
20	agreements and all of the documents. And so we
21	would consider it covered by that but the first
22	time that we filed a formal written objection

1	would have been October 15th when we did it in
2	our written rebuttal statement as to claims.
3	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. And then at
4	what time, Mr. Boydston, did you provide this
5	information?
6	MR. BOYDSTON: We found it and put it
7	in these exhibits for this, this matter.
8	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
9	MS. PLOVNICK: We received it on
10	December 2nd.
11	MR. BOYDSTON: There was no briefing.
12	You know, had we been told to file a responsive
13	brief
14	JUDGE BARRETT: Excuse me.
15	MR. BOYDSTON: Sorry.
16	JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is
17	
1	overruled. What's the exhibit number?
18	overruled. What's the exhibit number? MR. BOYDSTON: 117.
18 19	
	MR. BOYDSTON: 117.
19	MR. BOYDSTON: 117. JUDGE BARRETT: 117 is admitted.

1	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
3	Q Mr. Galaz, take a look at what's been
4	marked as Exhibit 118. Strike that. Let me ask
5	you a question. Are you familiar with Devillier
6	Donegan Enterprises?
7	A Devillier Donegan Enterprises. I'll
8	just say DDE.
9	Q And was that an IPG claimant?
10	A Yes, it was.
11	Q I believe they're referenced on
12	Exhibit 115 as having executed an agreement?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q At some point in time did IPG collect
15	money and distribute money to that entity?
L6	A We've collected significant monies for
L7	DDE and distributed to them.
L8	Q At some point did that entity serve
L9	you a notice of termination?
20	A Yes, it did.
21	Q Do you recall when that was?
22	A My recollection was that that was in

August, early August 2011 and up until that point 1 I had been receiving royalties for DDE through 2 noncommercial broadcasting and we 3 accounted to DDE, specifically Mr. Devillier, and 4 thought we had a good relationship with him. 5 nonetheless indicated that they had closed their 6 doors and were no longer licensing and we said 7 we understand and he then forwarded a termination 8 9 letter.

We then immediately responded saying just clarify, this is what termination means because we have a closed term collection right. We never received any indication from him that he took any objection to that and we even accounted to him for royalties even after that without objection from him.

Q Please take a look at Exhibit 118. It appears to be an email from you to Mr. Devillier attaching the mandate agreement and letter of extension that was signed. Is that correct?

A That's correct. When I first spoke with Mr. Devillier, he didn't recall having

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

executed any agreements with IPG, so he asked that I forward him copies of the agreements. He indicated at that time that they did that. had already destroyed all their records because I don't know at what point they actually stopped conducting business, but he didn't have any of his records any longer. Did IPG ever threaten Mr. Devillier or his company with legal action? Not at that point. Ultimately, we did Α because of something that we discovered that we found to be very distasteful, very ugly. And what was that? 0 Α

Well, we found out as part of these titles that we had spent hundreds of thousands of dollars on and a lot of time putting together notice of under that would send we represented confidentiality to all the IPG claimants with -- in fact, we would even caution them that it was proprietary and you shouldn't release it because it could hurt the claims of a lot of other producers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

We got an email from Mr. Olaniran 1 upset about something that we had said in one of 2 the emails that attached this attachment and he 3 neglected to omit the email string that revealed 4 that we had sent it to Devillier Donegan, 5 believe, one evening. He had passed it on to his 6 7 attorney by that evening. And I think it was by the next morning that we were receiving contact 8 from Mr. Olaniran. 9 1.0

of this to have happened overnight, was that there was already an on-going relationship between the two and he was taking our proprietary information and sharing it with Mr. Olaniran.

Q What was IPG's response to that to Mr.
Devillier?

A We wrote a stern letter indicating that we were very disappointed in his actions and from that point forward, the only communications he received were the communications that were received by all other parties which were specifically saying we require this of you. You

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

need to provide it to us. 1 The only threat against Mr. Devillier 2 came after he stopped communicating with us and 3 refused to communicate and we said look, it's 4 your choice. However, we've been engaged. We've 5 done what we were supposed to do and we expect 6 7 you to abide by the contract. And that was the extent of any threat against Mr. Devillier. 8 9 JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, my goodness, 10 we're all having so much fun I didn't realize 11 it's passed 4:30. We're going to be at recess 12 for the remainder of this day. We will reconvene 1.3 9:00 o'clock in the morning. at Sorry to 14 interrupt, but let's break here. 15 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 17 went off the record at 4:33 p.m.) 18 19 20 21

\$100,000 251:17,18 252:1 A-L-A-Z 202:4 A&E 3:17 27:5,11 137:18,21 142:6,7,9 142:17,18 143:2,4,8 143:11,22 145:2 147:17,19 148:16 151:1 a.m 1:19 5:2 7:5,11,13 8:2 41:13,14 abbreviations 245:20 ABC 159:11 168:9,18 169:9,18 186:14 236:6 abide 309:7 ability 46:10 able 8:2 36:12,22 46:12 70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
A-L-A-Z 202:4 A&E 3:17 27:5,11 137:18,21 142:6,7,9 142:17,18 143:2,4,8 143:11,22 145:2 147:17,19 148:16 151:1 a.m 1:19 5:2 7:5,11,13 8:2 41:13,14 abbreviations 245:20 ABC 159:11 168:9,18 169:9,18 186:14 236:6 abide 309:7 ability 46:10 able 8:2 36:12,22 46:12 70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
A&E 3:17 27:5,11 137:18,21 142:6,7,9 142:17,18 143:2,4,8 143:11,22 145:2 147:17,19 148:16 151:1 a.m 1:19 5:2 7:5,11,13 8:2 41:13,14 abbreviations 245:20 ABC 159:11 168:9,18 169:9,18 186:14 236:6 abide 309:7 ability 46:10 able 8:2 36:12,22 46:12 70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
137:18,21 142:6,7,9 142:17,18 143:2,4,8 143:11,22 145:2 147:17,19 148:16 151:1 a.m 1:19 5:2 7:5,11,13 8:2 41:13,14 abbreviations 245:20 ABC 159:11 168:9,18 169:9,18 186:14 236:6 abide 309:7 ability 46:10 able 8:2 36:12,22 46:12 70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
143:11,22 145:2 147:17,19 148:16 151:1 a.m 1:19 5:2 7:5,11,13 8:2 41:13,14 abbreviations 245:20 ABC 159:11 168:9,18 169:9,18 186:14 236:6 abide 309:7 ability 46:10 able 8:2 36:12,22 46:12 70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
147:17,19 148:16 151:1 a.m 1:19 5:2 7:5,11,13 8:2 41:13,14 abbreviations 245:20 ABC 159:11 168:9,18 169:9,18 186:14 236:6 abide 309:7 ability 46:10 able 8:2 36:12,22 46:12 70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
151:1 a.m 1:19 5:2 7:5,11,13 8:2 41:13,14 abbreviations 245:20 ABC 159:11 168:9,18 169:9,18 186:14 236:6 abide 309:7 ability 46:10 able 8:2 36:12,22 46:12 70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
a.m 1:19 5:2 7:5,11,13 8:2 41:13,14 abbreviations 245:20 ABC 159:11 168:9,18 169:9,18 186:14 236:6 abide 309:7 ability 46:10 able 8:2 36:12,22 46:12 70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
abbreviations 245:20 ABC 159:11 168:9,18 169:9,18 186:14 236:6 abide 309:7 ability 46:10 able 8:2 36:12,22 46:12 70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
ABC 159:11 168:9,18 169:9,18 186:14 236:6 abide 309:7 ability 46:10 able 8:2 36:12,22 46:12 70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
169:9,18 186:14 236:6 abide 309:7 ability 46:10 able 8:2 36:12,22 46:12 70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
236:6 abide 309:7 ability 46:10 able 8:2 36:12,22 46:12 70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
ability 46:10 able 8:2 36:12,22 46:12 70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
able 8:2 36:12,22 46:12 70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
70:7 77:13 165:6 258:11 273:6 286:20 above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
above-entitled 1:18 136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
136:14 213:12 252:20 309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
309:16 above-referred 110:20 121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
121:5 122:12 127:2 134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
134:4 148:9,19 150:5 151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
151:10 153:3 182:15 188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
188:19 219:4 227:4 253:7 259:12 279:1
281:5 282:7 285:4 295:18 304:20
abroad 231:5
absence 12:4 57:3
absolutely 64:18 66:5
80:7,21 88:13 128:7 197:15 240:16
abstract 169:8
abundance 11:13
accent 57:3 accept 14:15 21:14
37:8 226:18
accepted 8:5 15:14
accessible 11:11
accidentally 210:3 232:22
accommodate 12:15
accommodation 23:11
accommodations 23:12
account 234:13
accounted 234:16
240:2,2,8 306:4,14 accounting 163:7
239:14
accountings 234:14

239:21 accurate 50:12 70:16 73:12 152:10 174:1 210:6.8 accused 128:8 acknowledge 23:1 240:11 acknowledgement 291:17,22 acknowledgements 217:21 Acknowledgment 4:7 acknowledgments 293.4 ACME 228:21 229:15 255:14 256:12,16 262:15,19 266:8 272:9,12,12 acquired 140:22 act 111:20 185:13 286:18 297:20 Acting 127:22 128:10 action 135:10 173:17 307:9 actions 135:17 308:18 active 199:17 activities 203:4 activity 196:5 285:14,17 actors 250:5 256:8 acts 53:14 actual 168:4 add 21:2 80:19 102:20 213:22 247:7 267:9 275:18 added 214:9 275:16 addition 55:14 96:11 129:6 164:5 243:5 267:9 272:19 additional 15:9 196:20 212:20 214:7 215:2 215:17,18 217:7 232:3 259:6 address 17:2 24:22 25:6,15,16,19,22 46:16 102:6 142:12 201:19 228:6 addressed 18:1 161:14 192:13 291:12 addresses 18:3 29:15 228:7 addressing 91:11 201:18 adequately 291:12 adjustments 163:18

Adler 33:13 268:3

270:19,20 271:10,14

272:12,21 273:1,13 273:15 274:21 275:1 275:19,21 276:1,10 277:4,18 278:16 admissibility 127:1 admissible 20:12 30:8 admission 15:15 19:22 98:21 100:3,19 145:15 216:4 227:10 244:16 284:21 admit 20:13 60:19 110:13 120:21 122:8 125:5 133:5 142:2 145:10 146:7,12 151:3 182:5 187:13 187:19 188:15 202:8 211:9 214:22 216:3 218:21 220:20 227:15 235:11 241:2 253:6 263:11 278:12 281:1 282:3 283:20 295:14 299:21 admitted 3:18 16:8 20:12,22 21:2 61:4 101:11 103:6 107:5,6 110:19 121:4 122:11 126:20 134:3 144:21 145:2,3 146:1,3 147:10 148:7,8,16,18 149:9,11 151:9 152:21,22 153:2 170:11,13 172:15 182:9,12,14 187:8 188:17 219:3 227:1,2 227:16 230:12 243:7 244:6,10 253:12 259:8 267:19 278:22 281:4 282:6 285:1 295:17 304:19 admittedly 214:8,8 admitting 32:7 240:21 244:5 278:15 adopt 75:12,16 adopted 90:17 adorable 88:11 advance 174:11 212:16 Adventure 69:6 advertent 38:10 advise 241:15 advises 38:22 advising 120:14 Advisory 58:2 advocating 52:11 63:21 75.4 Affairs 140:9 297:17

310 affidavit 3:17 137:18 138:4,15 139:1,11,14 140:7,15 141:4 143:2 172:12 204:7 affidavits 3:19 26:21 135:20 136:3 137:9 140:2 141:17 affiliate 289:20 affiliated 234:16 AFI 239:16 241:13 **AFI's** 239:17 248:6 afraid 91:21 Africa's 270:19 271:7 afterlife 93:13 afternoon 7:6.9 194:9 213:10 agency 268:15 278:2 286:14 agenda 67:21 68:3 agent 116:17 127:9,11 138:11,19 166:18 167:8 171:22 agents 40:12 114:14,15 128:1,2,10,11 177:14 184:18 194:22 **AGICOA** 105:16 172:22 236:11,13,17 237:21 238:13,13 239:21 **ago** 19:2 27:8,9 34:15 117:15 214:9,10 agree 50:12 102:12,16 102:16 146:18 208:3 agreed 25:11 agreement 3:16,20 4:14 115:6,7,18 124:19 127:18 129:18 130:13 130:17,21 131:11,19 132:5,12,16,17 171:9 173:3 174:9,10 186:3 197:7 229:20 242:22 243:2 245:6 246:1,10 246:19,20 247:14 248:3,9 279:18 288:16 289:10 291:19 293:10 294:15,16 296:5 297:10 298:11 300:17 301:7,9 305:12 306:19 agreements 3:17 33:8 36:22 122:17 124:2,6 131:3,7,15 132:1 134:10,20 135:3,6 171:15 174:20 194:21

301:6

195:3.6.8.11.15.19

210:18 211:22 212:2 229:12 248:16 267:21

294:7,9,10 303:20 307:1.2 Ah 120:8 ahead 32:6 51:21 65:20 113:12 129:11 175:7 202:6 204:16 213:9 217:10 220:22 227:11 247:3 261:11 aid 33:4 aimed 203:17 air 84:7 95:11 aired 84:14 Alan 163:6 Alex 112:21 alias 286:4 allegation 30:7 281:18 allegations 30:2,10 172:17 alleged 37:16 allow 40:8 118:16 146:22 215:18 allowed 113:12 126:2 allusions 68:14 Alpert 137:20 Alternative 140:22 alternatives 47:8 altogether 82:20 ambiguous 133:16 amend 144:2 amended 11:2,4 12:22 13:22 14:3 25:12 39:22 amends 102:11 America 2:17 23:20 48:7,8 104:16 288:11 288:13,16 289:3,4,5 289:18 290:6,9,12,14 291:2,11 292:1,6,22 293:6 294:8 295:7,11 America's 92:2 American 46:2 139:18 239:4,9,10,12 242:5 242:11,19,20 243:3,9 243:13,20,21 245:5 248:2,6 250:8 251:16 288:20 290:13 Americas 130:14 138:6 138:7 amount 30:1 87:5 233:8 Anaconda 168:11,12 analysis 60:4 64:5 70:9 76:14 89:5 269:12 analyze 46:10 analyzed 65:15 analyzing 87:15 **Andre** 188:6

Andros 93:11 angel 96:16 97:2,4,16 97:17 Angela 168:11,11 Angeles 2:5 287:7,8 angelic 46:2 angels 64:12 68:10,10 97:19,22 angle 256:14 animal 82:20 99:8 112:22 animals 67:16 Anne 88:10 annotations 167:17 273:16 annually 251:13 answer 54:17,20 94:10 160:19.19 167:3 173:16 175:18 191:12 242:17 244:1 247:4,5 answered 280:18 answers 87:4 anticipate 8:5,7 anybody 101:17 173:9 173:10 242:3 251:7 anymore 179:14 anyone's 224:11 anyway 35:16 101:3 267:15 apologize 40:22 51:18 128:7 151:18 177:21 185:18 201:20 289:14 Apostle 53:15 apparent 71:6 301:4 apparently 52:4 appear 40:10,13 178:11 178:12,18 255:2 263:19 289:9 appearance 270:21 appearances 2:1 5:16 appearing 74:13 115:8 appears 75:3 162:14 181:5 182:20 186:15 239:19 245:12 255:17 292:18 293:10 298:4 306:18 appendices 109:16 110:3 117:7 Appendix 109:19 156:3 157:9.10 159:1.1.16

159:21 163:13 177:9

Applicable 127:22

application 250:17

applications 249:6

178:7

128:9

applied 89:7 236:3 applies 13:18 apply 10:19 28:7 79:14 103:8 294:10,11 appreciate 54:17 81:7 186:12 204:11 approach 59:8 63:4 81:18 90:16 108:5 150:8 207:19 approaching 152:19 appropriate 40:12 92:22 147:10 156:14 215:3 234:19 Approximate 293:1 approximately 114:16 114:18 area 228:6 287:10 areas 92:21 argue 9:16,18 12:21 argument 9:14,17,17 31:11 36:12 39:16 40:14 235:18 237:20 238:3,4 argumentation 32:9 arguments 13:17 21:19 34:17 295:2 arises 184:3 arising 129:7 Arnie 6:13 ARNOLD 2:12 arrangement 286:16,17 arrangements 146:20 art 46:9 78:5 articles 42:17,17 arts 50:3,15,21 as-filed 156:21 as-needed 172:6 ascertain 46:19 61:13 aside 25:8 asked 8:15 46:16,19 51:4 56:1,13 57:8 61:12,13 78:1 87:5 94:14 163:9,10,12 174:2 178:5 196:18 225:1 247:13 257:16 270:15 280:18 283:12 302:16 307:1 asking 52:22 160:17,20 167:2 169:1,3 170:4 175:3,13 176:10 181:11 182:5 184:8 192:14 206:6 279:12 aspect 70:15,15 79:7 97:22 101:14,18 aspects 70:9 71:18 assemble 263:7

Assembly 172:22 asserted 297:9 asserting 295:7 assets 140:22 assign 103:8 assigned 141:12,14 assignment 61:19 assignments 172:3 assist 122:5 associate 107:12 associated 201:14 281:22 283:16 Association 2:17 5:20 6:2 23:20,22 104:15 294:8 295:7 assume 77:7 164:16 187:3 assumed 198:20 assuming 60:12 176:10 assure 116:8 asterisk 145:11 Atlantic 231:16 attach 13:5 89:9 162:20 264:11 attached 31:16 98:22 100:4,8 116:20 132:18 135:20 137:12 143:1 150:19,21 162:6 224:1 264:9 267:4 271:1 277:12 308:3 attaching 306:19 attachment 265:8 266:12,17 273:10 276:15,18 277:8 308:3 attachments 100:11 147:14 223:17 263:17 264:10 265:6 273:1 attack 34:20 35:11 attacks 32:14 34:3,4,13 attempt 90:10 attempted 55:5 185:21 273:4 attempting 253:3 attended 50:18 attention 108:12 120:2 121:20 123:19 135:22 137:4 224:11 attorney 40:9 48:21 107:11,12 308:7 attornevs 135:17 attributable 159:10 audience 79:11 80:13 83:16

audiences 79:12

Audio 183:3 Audio-Visual 124:21 176:17 246:12 audiovisual 256:3 August 202:15,19 211:17 306:1,1 Australia 124:22 162:9 172:21 authenticated 263:16 authenticity 264:1 273:17,19 274:5 author 77:20 78:15 85:21 authored 43:4 47:4 authorities 25:18 authority 12:4 26:15,22 37:14 40:11 133:20 141:10,11 184:17 294:14 297:12,20 299:9,12 301:3,4 authorization 11:20 246:11 authorize 115:7 245:13 authorized 40:6 115:19 117:5 140:11 169:2 190:10 246:2 authorizes 129:18 Authors 48:6 authorship 78:2 85:15 85:20,22 available 75:15 Avatar 45:21 Avenue 1:17 2:4 avoid 264:18 aware 10:7 25:3 122:18 123:1,3 168:11,14,15 169:18 173:20 174:5 196:19 297:5

В

B 109:21 111:10 117:7 156:7 157:9,21 159:1 159:1,5,6,16,21 163:13 177:9 178:7 245:19,21 248:3,20 B1 13:17 **B2** 13:17 **B3** 13:18,18 **BA** 107:2 back 9:5 12:6 31:18 41:13 47:11 53:11 63:9,14 68:21 84:5 85:12 86:13 87:16 88:15 94:2 108:14 148:7 159:15 160:9 171:5,7 173:22

175:21 177:8,14 179:15 183:20 184:16 185:17 191:19 193:11 193:21,22 232:8 262:20 264:15 266:5 267:3 270:3 276:4 277:1 280:2 282:15 296:22 297:13 302:22 backed 30:3 background 80:6 106:22 107:1 225:21 256:7 **backing** 267:17 backup 9:20 bad 186:9 214:13 248:4 balance 40:13 ball 179:13 ban 82:10 banded 82:6 bankruptcy 107:12 banner 67:22 Bar 102:9 107:6 **BARNETT** 1:20 110:18 **BARRETT** 5:3,6,9 6:3,9 6:15 8:1 10:5 12:20 14:1,6,9,11,13,18 15:3,5,18,22 16:11,15 16:22 17:4,8 18:7,12 18:15 19:16 20:1,10 20:18 21:4,15,21 22:3 22:7 23:7,14,16 30:19 37:2 39:17 40:15 41:1 41:6,15 42:1 45:2 48:15 51:16,21 53:3 55:22 56:8,12 57:18 58:17 59:10 60:13,17 61:3 62:4,7 69:21 75:21 84:6,11 85:8,10 86:19,22 98:9,12 100:16 101:6,17 102:7,15 103:1,3 104:1 108:6 113:11 121:4 122:11 125:15 125:19 126:10,19 128:22 129:11,15 133:21 134:2 136:10 136:16 142:13 144:1 144:6,10,13,20 146:15,21 148:5 149:6,10,13,15 150:10 151:8,15,22 152:11,16 153:1,9 161:2 169:5 170:4,20 171:3 175:16,18 177:19 178:1,4,7 180:20 181:2,20

182:8,13 184:14 185:6 187:5,16 188:11 189:6,9,13,17 191:11 192:20 193:13 193:19 194:3 196:14 197:22 198:6,9,11,22 200:5 201:20 202:2 202:10 204:6,11,18 204:21 205:2,17,21 206:2 207:20 208:16 208:20 209:2,4,8,17 209:22 210:4 212:19 213:7,15 214:6 216:2 216:7,18,21 217:4 218:12,16,19 219:3 221:15 222:20 226:22 235:16 237:2,5,10 238:8,19 241:20 242:1 245:2,9,12,16 246:7,15 248:19,22 249:9,16,19,22 250:2 250:6,10 252:1,5,10 252:18 253:1,18 257:20 258:4,8,16,20 259:5,8 260:10,17 261:6,10,15 263:2 265:17 267:22 268:3 268:5,7,9,14,18,21 269:2,7 271:8,13,16 271:20 272:7 273:12 274:8 276:9,13 277:3 277:9,15,19 278:9,21 279:7,11,16,22 281:4 282:6,11,14,18,20 285:1 289:16 290:3 295:17 299:19 300:3 300:7 301:18 302:2 302:15 303:11,13 304:3,8,14,16,19 309:9 Bars 107:5 based 18:18 20:7 38:16 48:9 66:19 135:18 190:14 214:16 283:13 286:10 288:21 289:8 290:11 bases 55:15 203:9 244:16 basically 63:13 69:3 119:20 214:12 221:5 225:1 basis 28:10,12 29:21 33:10 52:5,17,18 53:6 54:22 55:15 101:10 102:3 163:16 172:6

213:19 214:10,11 221:9,12 225:16 238:4 Bates 33:12,19 100:13 126:6 176:5 179:22 180:11,13 181:14 206:20,20 207:9 211:6,20 212:4 222:2 222:9 223:20 225:16 225:16 230:3 231:16 231:20 245:9 247:8 276:14 283:7,16 284:2 289:7 301:13 **battle** 67:14 Battlestar 46:3 Baxter's 88:10 BBC 4:16 130:14 138:5 138:7 288:13,16,16 288:17,21 289:3,4,15 289:18 290:6,8,9,10 290:12,13,15,20,21 291:2,10,11 292:1,6 292:22 293:6,10,12 294:4 295:5,11,12 BBC's 295:8 bear 58:12 Beast 169:17,19 186:18 186:19 beating 50:6 Beckmann 192:1 231:17 befuddlement 86:7 beg 149:6 171:5 200:3 began 160:13 beginning 67:4 72:20 105:1 118:14 137:7 191:5 226:10 begins 181:7,7 behalf 2:2,7,17 6:6 129:9 132:7 137:18 138:16 142:8 145:2 165:20 288:1 295:5 297:21 behemoth 264:19 belief 31:5 96:6 believe 17:13 18:6 27:4 28:15 35:17 36:6 58:9 88:7 92:3 137:6 138:9 143:15 144:4 146:12 150:15 158:11 161:12 166:2 171:10 172:6 172:12,14 178:11 179:11 187:19 192:9

202:10.11 203:1,21

194:13 195:12 200:22

219:19 223:22 224:13

224:17 236:14 237:1

254:14 255:10 286:3 287:13.19 292:4 298:18 301:7 305:11 308:6 believed 90:12 190:12 believing 88:6 bell 197:4 **belong** 262:7 belonged 276:1 belonging 48:6 belongs 51:6 64:7 belt 281:20 Ben 2:13 6:14 Ben-Hur 47:16 53:13 78:13 80:15 87:7 93:7 beneath 186:18 benefits 90:12 best 201:6 206:9 222:17 better 78:17 81:4 118:15 163:20 beyond 54:18 102:5 106:12 138:17 167:3 203:3 251:4 278:6 296:2,4,8,17 297:21 299:2,6,10,19,22 300:11,12,17 301:2 301:10,22 302:4,6,9 302:10 303:4,15 Bible 44:22 56:19,22 Biblical 44:3 79:1 big 209:9 264:12 269:19 Billy 35:14 binder 11:4,5 15:11,19 108:14,15 121:21 159:3 189:2 200:17 200:17 244:21 245:1 binders 33:11 59:6 108:8,16 158:9 199:20 200:1,14,15 205:9 birth 67:10 Bishop 82:12 bit 21:7 32:20 36:17 40:22 42:19 63:15 106:21 151:19 165:7 171:7 200:16 256:4 black 249:2,8 blank 229:16 blend 67:15 blood 80:17 Blue 90:9 91:9 92:12 Bo 255:4,4,4,5 Board 38:5 101:20 102:20 106:6 120:15

265:13 Board's 122:6 Bob 37:20 body 40:10 73:8 107:16 bold 183:22 book 43:12 44:7 56:17 67:10 77:21 206:16 206:18 209:9 books 43:2,4,8,13,15 44:12 92:19 205:11 Boots 68:12 **borders** 290:18 **Borglund** 138:16 296:9 296:15 297:8 bottom 72:20 95:4,4 183:21 186:15,16 268:11 301:21 box 92:11 **Boydston** 2:3,4 3:4,6,8 6:5,6 7:18,22 16:1,12 16:20 18:16,17 20:4 22:17 30:20,21 39:15 48:13,17,20 51:17,22 52:16 53:4 55:18 56:1 56:3,10 57:7,14 61:1 69:22 70:1,3 75:20 76:10 86:22 87:1,3 89:16 94:6 98:5 99:6 100:16,18 101:12 102:16 110:17 120:14 120:22 122:9 125:6 126:11,12 127:6 133:8 142:4,14 143:7 144:1,4,7,11,18 145:8 149:3,12 151:5,16,17 153:12,13,15,18,19 160:4,22 161:3 162:4 167:5,20 169:1,11,15 171:4 175:13,17,20 177:19,21 178:2,6,8 178:14 179:20 180:2 180:8 181:4 182:3,11 182:18 184:15 185:7 187:3,7,12,17 188:8 188:12 189:3,8,15,18 189:19 191:8,18 192:18,22 193:5,18 193:20 196:18 197:18 198:13,15 199:6,8,18 199:21 200:7,9 202:6 202:7 204:6,9,14,19 204:20 205:1,4,20 206:1,3,8,12,22 207:4 207:6,19,21 208:5,8 208:12 209:10,14,20 210:1,7,10,13 211:8

212:10,22 213:4 216:22 217:1,2,10,11 217:12 218:20 219:7 220:19 221:13,16 223:3,7,9 224:6 225:5 225:14 226:1,5,8,14 227:7,20 234:5,9 235:10,15,17 236:22 237:4,6,13 238:9,17 238:21,22 240:19 241:20,22 242:4,15 244:22 245:8,11,15 246:6,9,18 247:1,11 247:19 251:9 252:8 252:13 253:16,19 258:8,15,19 259:2,15 259:16 260:13,14,18 261:8,12,16 263:3,10 264:6 265:15,18 267:8 272:11,14 274:6 276:7 278:10 278:11 279:4,10,14 279:22 280:1,3,22 281:8 282:2,10,13,16 282:19,21,22 283:19 284:17 285:7,8 287:12 289:17,22 290:4 295:21,22 298:13,21 299:13 300:2,5,9 301:15,17 301:20 302:12,18 303:7,12 304:4,6,11 304:15,18 305:1,2 309:15 Boydston's 58:5 171:1 brand 96:8 **Brands** 229:1 BRB 224:1 233:11 breached 143:15 break 83:20 84:1 136:22 309:14 breaks 84:8,9 Brian 2:3 6:6 48:20 120:14 **bridge** 248:14 brief 26:4,9 31:8 118:4 304:13 briefed 26:2 briefing 31:1,3 32:10 304:11 briefly 36:5 109:17 125:21 196:17 201:8 201:8 briefs 26:7

bring 58:11 86:14 87:22

95:20 184:10 199:19

bringing 80:12 189:4 brings 87:10 British 288:8,10,12 289:10 broad 71:12 96:8 broadcast 77:9,12,15 163:17 250:22 269:13 broadcasting 3:17 112:11 130:18,22 141:15,15 142:7 288:8,10,12 289:11 306:3 broadcasts 251:5 292:3 293:16 broader 163:11 269:14 broken 114:1 brother 96:17 brought 7:16 54:9 201:13 256:17 299:2 Brown 62:2,15 96:1,2 Brown's 96:6 **Bruce 131:16** Brussels 155:14 Buck 176:19 178:10,17 179:5 180:19 183:17 Buddha 66:9 budget 11:20 **building** 1:16 7:12 bulk 254:6 bunch 31:22 176:6 222:11 burden 38:12 burned 264:7 business 124:9 139:17 140:9,21 154:16,18 195:4 199:12 211:4 218:10 220:12 221:19 235:8,9 241:4 263:5 280:20 288:17 290:14 297:16 301:5 307:6

С

buy 95:2

BV 131:8

CA 2:5
cable 1:6 3:13 5:11 13:8
13:12,14,19 17:12
21:1 28:14 34:16 62:9
105:18 106:4 109:6
111:4,19 112:5 116:4
117:16 123:4 141:10
142:18,20 156:2
157:22 159:17,22
177:10 178:3 201:17
218:1 220:15,18
235:2 248:12 250:12

285:21 291:9 292:1 294:9 cable-only 112:8,11 cables 264:4 calendar 9:8 158:13 161:9,20 176:1 181:5 181:18 183:3 California 154:19 call 12:12.13 40:16 49:14 54:18 97:10 98:20 105:10 175:11 194:1 198:1.5.13 289:14.15 called 10:6 41:20 45:10 103:20 127:21 186:18 198:19 calling 168:22 calls 40:18 41:1 103:16 170:3 198:16 Canada 105:6 154:8 155:3 239:15,22 240:15 247:12,13,14 Canadian 112:11 130:18 153:21 246:4 247:10 capacity 93:3 107:22 114:12 116:15,18 154:3 162:22 172:17 care 7:1 29:13 153:10 career 107:13 carefully 143:10 224:4 Carol 68:13 carols 68:15 carry 12:3 81:12 **carve** 23:9 case 10:10 19:21 36:14 36:18 37:11 135:12 171:22 191:5 192:7 193:22 255:19 262:5 288:4 300:14 cases 27:4 45:14,20,22 46:5 83:22 147:5 catalog 231:9 categories 39:2 46:21 categorization 25:7,10 89:19 92:9 categorize 39:1 51:5 76:21 77:13 81:21 categorized 40:6 76:15 categorizing 57:9 90:5 category 20:6 24:4,6,8 24:13,16,19 29:7 38:19 40:7 51:6,11 57:11 61:14,15 62:18 63:5 66:4 74:5 75:5 76:16,17 89:6 117:17

139:10 228:7.12 Catholic 82:4 Catholicism 92:1 cause 99:20 100:2 101:2 103:7,8 causes 95:7 caution 11:13 307:19 CBS 35:5 130:22 141:12,14,15 CCC 154:9 155:4 **CCT** 249:1,3 **CD** 1:6 Cecil 83:14 celebrating 73:3 century 47:17 50:4 53:11 CEO 139:5 140:4 certain 13:21 27:2,22 28:13 46:20 50:13 61:14 71:18 88:17,19 122:20 123:6,16 219:17 222:9 233:8 249:4 266:21 281:16 293:3,16 295:3 certainly 21:12,13 24:6 58:22 66:12 80:10 85:18 102:7 188:11 189:5 269:9 certificate 179:16 181:6 certification 3:14,20 116:10,14 117:2,8,12 118:6 119:22 157:1,4 158:15,17 160:8 161:6,9,19 162:1,2,20 164:12 167:11 181:17 183:2 186:14 187:19 certifications 116:21 117:19 119:14 120:18 156:11 167:14 179:10 181:12 182:22 187:21 certified 169:9,13 195:8 certify 116:11 158:5 162:21 163:2 cetera 48:8 70:19 85:4 85:4 95:8 96:14,21 109:6 177:14 178:13 246:3 CFO 140:16 chairs 5:7 challenge 13:3,7,11,19 295:8 302:21 **challenged** 39:4 228:15 232:17 233:7,14,16 236:7 283:18

challenges 12:19 30:16

39:22 123:8,9 201:12

236:5 challenging 228:9 302:6 303:14 Champaign 270:19 change 28:17 38:5.11 84:11 171:6 192:20 205:13 208:3 210:11 256:5,6 275:1,6 277:20 changed 205:22 206:4 changing 208:15.16 character 97:15 characterization 126:18 characterize 134:13 207:14 characters 44:9 98:4 charge 79:21 118:5 charged 90:13 152:5,8 286:9,13 charging 286:3 chariot 78:16 Charles 54:8 Charlton 44:2,20,21 47:14 **chart** 33:6,7 176:6 222:1 226:9 228:4,5 236:5 271:9 chase 32:21,21 34:1,6 36:18 **checking** 303:1,8 cherrypick 222:18 cherrypicked 225:8 cherrypicking 222:19 child 80:2 children 18:4,9 19:18 20:7 67:9 95:7 Chinatown 50:20 Chinese 71:18 chisel 23:9 choice 102:1 309:5 choose 75:16 258:11 chooses 102:21 Christ 53:14 68:21 78:14 80:15 93:12 Christian 84:17,18 85:1 85:1 **Christmas** 67:8 68:12 68:13,17,18,19,21 69:2,10 73:2 74:18 Christus 53:20 Chu 138:4 292:16,18,21 295:1 Church 69:14 Churches 82:7

circumstance 231:8 275:8 circumstances 23:11 184:22 185:1,8 230:21 257:3 cite 94:1 cited 93:22 233:21,22 **citing** 225:7 City 68:16 69:9 civil 145:16 claim 4:6 13:5,9,12,14 13:19.20 20:6 25:17 28:16 29:2.9 40:6 111:14 114:3 115:15 115:16 116:1,12,19 127:13,15,16 129:9 129:10,19 142:8,11 143:4,8,16,18 144:8 144:12,19,19 145:1 158:4 163:2 172:18 173:6 176:13 184:19 185:11 211:16 237:14 238:7 240:13,14,15 240:16 243:11 260:9 262:16 271:14 293:15 293:15 302:4 303:15 claimable 162:10 claimant 18:3 24:7 40:5 110:3 112:12 114:6 115:13,15 116:15 117:1 124:18,20 129:8 130:12,16,21 131:2,6,10,14,18,22 132:4,11,15 139:9 159:10 162:19,21 166:22 169:9 174:10 176:13 185:11,18,20 192:3 196:21 197:5 202:18 234:19 239:11 239:13 240:8 242:6 242:11 255:14 262:4 263:18 270:13 271:4 271:5 273:17 274:3 274:11 275:17 276:4 277:1,6 278:5 288:14 302:5 305:9 claimant's 39:22 173:6 185:19 claimant-by-claimant 33:10 claimants 2:7 6:12 9:22 10:2 24:3,5,11,17 26:11 27:2,14,15,16 27:22 28:4,11,13,15 28:18,21,22 29:4,9 32:15 33:6 36:10

•
37:16 38:6 40:2 76:7
109:20 111:9,14,17
112:8,8,9 113:1,22
112.0,0,9 113.1,22
114:3,11,16,19,21
115:2,3,4,6 116:8,11
118:6,8,18 122:18,20
123:6,12,18 124:2
123.0,12,10 124.2
127:19,22 128:2,10
128:12 132:19 133:20
134:21 135:8,9,12,14
135:19 156:4,11,21
157:5,10,14,16,17,18
158:2 160:7 164:8
173:4 177:5,14
191:21 194:11,21,22
195:9,13 214:21
215:17 218:1 219:22
215.17 210.1 219.22
220:7 221:18 228:11
220:7 221:18 228:11 228:14 233:7 234:17
235:20 254:6,21
260:6,8 261:2,5
200.0,0 201.2,0
262:11 269:22 274:16 283:17 287:14,16
283:17 287:14,16
307:19
claimed 4:10 39:2 65:7
109:22 111:17 115:21 116:22 156:8,10,11
177:13 195:9 260:4,7
177.13 195.9 200.4,7
261:4 271:12
claiming 27:19 105:17
claiming 27:19 105:17 163:1 167:15
claims 4:8,9,12 11:15
12:22 13:4,8,21 25:16
26:1 27:1,10,18 29:14
29:16 30:15,17 32:19
34:10 35:15 36:10,16
37:7,14,14,15 38:3
39:5,14,20 106:3
112:13 114:8,10,12
4444445445
114:14,15 115:9
118:8 127:20 133:12
134:22 142:17 144:15
156:20,21,22 174:6
177:13 184:1 190:13
190:18 193:4 195:13
201:12 228:9 237:18 237:18 246:2 283:17
237:18 246:2 283:17
287:22 295:4,8 303:2
304:2 307:21
clarification 22:18
102:17 143:8 145:21
146:8 152:20 177:8
302:13,17
302:13,17 clarify 135:14,15
302:13,17 clarify 135:14,15
302:13,17

```
class 50:13 51:1
classes 50:17,22
Classic 113:8
clause 294:17
clear 35:9 36:21 60:14
  72:22 82:22 142:9
  144:8 170:18 182:6
  192:12 207:5 213:18
 218:13 273:15 276:1
clearer 227:18 257:18
clearly 28:9 58:6 67:16
  97:21 161:10 191:16
 249:5 308:10
cleray 74:10 90:14
Clerk 8:11 189:7
clicked 256:14,16
clicking 83:15
client 19:19 188:10
  237:17 299:16
clients 38:22
Cliff 6:13
CLIFFORD 2:9
clink 256:9
Clinton 45:9
clock 21:22 22:4,8
close 49:7
closed 279:20 306:6,12
closely 106:7
closing 9:13,16,17
  40:14
Club's 69:5
CMO 106:1 172:20
 238:13
CMOs 105:10,14 106:6
  106:10 155:11
co-counsel 147:20
coast-to-coast 90:18
coauthored 43:5
coauthors 43:7
codified 92:5,7
coined 38:20
collaborate 154:13
colleagues 5:21 102:8
  151:19 252:18
collect 35:1,16 36:9
  141:10,11,13 246:11
 250:16 251:18 305:14
collected 240:7 248:11
 248:13 305:16
collecting 252:2
collection 34:9 123:22
  131:8 221:10 245:14
 246:13,17 250:15
 294:16 306:12
Collections 131:4,20
```

140:6 collective 105:11 106:8 153:21 154:8 239:15 239:22 247:10 collectives 240:18 249:6 college 49:5,11 107:3 color 249:2,8 Coloration 249:2 colorized 249:8 Columbus 91:20 column 179:3 222:8 228:10,18 229:2 231:14 233:19 239:2 248:20 249:11 270:16 270:18,21 272:11 274:14 275:11 292:6 columns 166:17,21 230:8 283:7 combating 92:3 come 7:18 11:4 16:16 19:13 91:13 102:6,20 112:2 158:22 159:4 162:13 163:10 182:21 185:14 193:11,21,22 195:3 235:6 238:6 255:1 256:9,13 263:4 276:4 comedies 44:16 comes 16:17 20:19 21:8 23:2 56:14 80:22 96:17 97:3,4 101:21 comfort 89:2 comfortable 199:4 comic 43:13 44:7 coming 36:14 84:5 85:12 187:3 Commandments 45:1 47:19 53:21 78:18,20 79:8,13,22 80:10,20 81:2 83:3,15 87:8,21 88:2 comment 94:7 95:15 125:21 commentary 64:2 comments 5:5,8 10:4 20:3 102:22 commercial 82:15 83:8 83:9 84:1,8,15 commercialism 91:8 commercially 77:3,4 commercials 83:2 commission 252:3 Committee 58:2 132:13 common 84:17 303:6 communicate 309:4

communicates 52:11 communicating 278:19 309:3 communication 120:12 160:8 295:6 296:15 296:16 communications 221:17 228:22 229:16 255:14 256:13,16 262:15,19 266:9 308:19,20 Compact 131:4 140:6 140:12 companies 23:21 222:3 company 165:22 186:1 199:17 229:18 236:6 237:22 238:2 288:8 288:11 289:11 307:9 comparable 64:12 compare 46:10 compared 81:10 290:8 compel 27:15 125:22 303:17 compelling 39:7 compendium 119:20 compensability 111:19 compensable 112:5 114:6 competent 145:17 compilation 285:2 compile 211:5 complement 71:13 complete 125:11 264:10 265:22 266:15 284:10 290:18 303:19 completely 86:12 125:12 249:5 274:2 completeness 191:10 265:13 complex 22:20 complying 38:18 component 46:2 components 64:6 comprehensive 46:9 comprehensively 266:21 compromised 267:16 compulsory 107:14 computers 14:15 conceded 25:9 conceding 147:17 conceit 82:11 concern 81:6 232:21 conclude 30:17 197:6 215:8.10 282:11 concluding 217:6

conclusion 39:19 66:22 175:12 214:1 238:6 conclusions 47:1 61:17 203:8 Concordance 56:19 concur 252:19 condition 74:4 conduct 215:22 conducting 152:6,9 confer 172:18 188:10 Confidential 126:15 confidentiality 279:18 confirm 14:22 277:12 283:3 293:17 confirmation 29:5 292:5 confirmations 4:14 33:9 230:1 281:15.21 confirmed 12:11 240:1 confirming 244:12 246:1 conflicting 4:6 133:12 184:1 190:13,18 294:7 conform 82:8 confused 86:12 99:15 191:6 223:12 280:2 confusing 191:1 230:22 294:6 confusion 246:20 291:13,16 Congress 1:16 11:19 11:22 conjunction 32:10 74:15 connect 276:21 connected 140:19 connecting 157:9 238:9 246:8 connection 50:15 61:18 66:21 122:20 124:13 155:3 220:1 240:9 242:14 253:3 273:7 277:2 291:3 296:18 connotation 64:15 consequence 267:12 consider 25:10 49:22 53:12 82:14 83:8 94:9 303:21 considered 71:22 84:12 considering 101:19 consist 23:21 consistent 161:17 190:16 200:17 291:19

consolidated 142:21 constituted 172:20 consult 213:8.11 consultant 44:15 165:18 contact 50:7 135:14 190:11 308:8 contacted 135:19 262:3 291:14 contacting 269:10 contacts 217:6 contain 68:22 109:18 109:19 134:20 147:13 177:16 201:10 221:3 contained 44:2 containing 110:3 120:17 contains 211:11 254:6 Conte 2:4 contemplated 216:16 contemplative 31:10 Contemporary 85:3 content 37:6 60:1 74:9 77:19 78:22 95:15 96:7,10,12,15 126:14 contents 3:1 60:2 184:9 201:5 208:4,15 279:12 context 17:5 20:20 21:8 47:16 57:6 62:19 64:10 73:8 74:3 159:15 continue 118:16 258:3 261:18 **CONTINUED** 59:4 continues 174:14 continuing 12:1 38:15 136:17,19 266:14 contract 6:19 105:10 143:15 169:21 243:4 243:10 250:9,14 289:2 290:5 297:12 297:18 300:21 301:1 301:3 309:7 contract-holders 39:13 contracting 230:14 contracts 220:3 contractual 171:21 175:15 contrast 52:10 convenience 282:17 convenient 222:2 284:7 conversations 44:3 47:7 157:13

convinced 241:1,2

244:8

Cook 140:3 cooperated 291:8 296:17 copied 190:21 191:2,9 191:16 192:6 copies 8:17 99:4 100:14 124:1 125:22 126:3 167:13 258:12 259:10 281:21 307:2 copy 8:13 10:9,10 13:1 14:2,7,12,16 95:20 116:1 118:7 143:20 147:21 149:21 156:21 167:10 181:1 189:1,5 189:22 248:4 257:13 257:17,21 258:5 259:1 270:13 copyright 1:1,22 38:4 45:19 107:18,20 111:20 113:15,17 115:16 116:20 119:11 124:21 127:10 128:1 128:11 147:11 153:21 154:8 156:15,15,20 163:3 176:17 183:4 239:15,22 245:13 246:4,13,16,17 254:12,13 287:17 copyrights 37:17 156:15 core 105:8 112:19,19 corner 239:9 265:2,4 301:21 Corporation 112:11 130:18 139:17,19 288:9.12 correct 14:4 15:3 21:13 51:8,12 60:7,10 62:19 65:7,8,21 72:3,6 73:17 74:22 75:2,7 76:11,18 77:10,22 89:10,11 91:7 92:13 94:16 95:13.17 96:21 97:9 98:1 99:4 100:14 110:10 126:10 156:5 156:9,18,19 157:5,10 158:20 160:10,11,15 161:11,22 164:9,13 165:13 166:15 171:10 172:3 176:20,21 177:4,17 178:18,19 179:10 181:3,8 185:17 188:3 196:3 197:10 200:20,21 201:2,5 206:1,2 209:2 209:4 213:4 219:18

220:9 228:4,19,20 229:1,5,12,18,19 230:5,6,9,10,18,19 231:18.19 232:2 233:21 237:3 255:11 255:12 262:22 283:5 283:10.11 285:15 288:13 289:12 292:7 292:8.20 301:17 302:6 305:13 306:20 306:21 corrections 110:6 correctly 91:3 correspond 13:16 corresponded 288:22 correspondence 4:10 33:9 202:19 221:3,11 231:13 243:9 260:3,5 261:1 263:15 281:15 281:22 291:2 297:14 297:15,19 298:3 299:16,21 corresponds 168:2 corroborate 254:4 corrupt 81:1 Cosmonaut 270:20 costumes 47:20 79:4 Coughlin 54:9 82:4 90:17 Council 82:7 counsel 9:14 41:20 99:9 103:20 104:6 119:19 120:13,13 121:11 124:15 135:13 137:20 138:5 144:22 145:6 149:1 152:19 166:4 167:9 168:16 168:17 184:8 190:10 190:10,16 196:18 198:19 212:13 226:4 226:17 265:20 286:19 292:16 294:4,20 301:14 counsel's 6:12 count 215:16 counted 217:20 counting 43:3 countries 155:14 country 106:11 couple 12:19 89:18 194:16 course 16:9 43:17 54:11 56:18 63:7 87:18 96:15 111:18 116:2 118:15 134:16 187:20 192:15 221:19

229:14 231:10 235:9 241:4 262:2 280:20 courses 192:21 court 40:8 45:11 81:15 128:4 153:10 201:22 Court's 100:1 Courter 6:16 courtesies 8:8 courtroom 279:17 courtrooms 145:16 cover 77:21 132:16 158:16 162:2,4 231:2 231:6 301:22 covered 43:15,19 119:8 280:17 303:21 covers 127:18 Coverup 270:20 CRB 1:6,11 13:1 129:9 149:22 174:16 create 118:12 119:1,12 119:19 228:2 244:22 created 44:7 47:17 121:11 122:4 creating 119:4 creation 86:11 creationism 91:18 creative 93:3 creator 63:13 71:8 credibility 17:20 143:1 credible 30:13 credibly 29:10 crime 286:9 criminal 285:14 286:1 critical 147:3 cross 3:2 53:1,21 152:6 254:22 273:4 cross-burnings 90:21 cross-claimed 61:22 65:14 cross-examination 70:2 76:3 147:3 152:8 152:9 153:14 194:7 cross-index 222:10 cross-section 112:18 crossed 176:8,12,20 177:3,16 178:19 186:17 248:14 **CRT** 107:18 cull 232:14 **culled 233:13** cultural 86:3 culture 43:16 53:10 curing 80:16 **curious** 171:13 currently 104:12 137:21 138:8 139:7

144:22 205:21 cusp 79:16 cut 86:6 94:11 cuts 225:5 cvber 14:14 166:9

D D-E-V-I 139:4 D-O-M-I-N-G-U-E-Z 140:8 D-U-M-I-C-I-C 188:7 D.C 1:2 2:22 107:7 154:20 d/b/a 2:2 daily 154:4 Danish 106:6 darn 278:1 Dartmouth 107:2 data 163:15 165:17 221:5 222:5 224:20 250:16,20 251:17 252:2 254:19 255:2,3 269:12 database 117:18 118:12 119:2,4,8 164:18 254:7 255:21 256:1 262:14 date 109:10 183:9 191:4 212:19 293:1 293:14,16 dated 59:21 183:9 292:18 298:18 dates 183:14 David 1:21 69:12 day 8:2 35:13 37:18 54:13 153:11 191:15 309:12 day-to-day 163:16 days 9:8 11:16 15:1 53:15 93:8 DC 1:17 2:11,15 DDE 305:8.17 306:2.4 deadline 202:15 215:11 215:12 deal 16:18 21:9 161:6 258:14 dealing 29:19 47:8 142:5 155:11 193:6 deals 133:11 142:6 dealt 17:18 debate 151:20 December 1:14 284:9 298:20 304:10 deception 39:10 40:9 decided 12:19 118:11

decidedly 66:13

deciding 64:7 decision 18:3,21 28:17 31:15 143:13 291:8 decisions 154:10.11.12 declaration 3:12 48:22 98:22 99:3.9 100:3.6 100:8 145:17 146:15 148:2.16 162:5.6 168:18 200:19 245:22 296:7 300:13 303:3 declarations 34:11 145:14,22 146:7,13 148:6 declare 60:9 110:9 **Decode** 168:12 decry 91:1 deemed 147:10 deeply 90:8 defense 193:12 297:8 define 51:5,11 70:6 defined 49:16 81:16 Definitely 237:10 definition 62:22 63:8 75:4 89:1 146:16 167:16 degree 107:3 degrees 49:4,4,5,8 deity 64:3 deity-based 52:9 deleted 19:6 deliberations 30:12 deliver 88:19 delivered 165:12 delivering 75:18 delve 21:6 demand 23:11 demanded 295:2 demanding 232:18 demarcation 73:1,20 DeMille 83:14 DeMille's 47:18 demonstrate 26:8 237:1 demonstrated 28:9 40:5 demonstrates 242:13 demonstratively 39:12 denial 297:6 denied 41:2 Denise 6:8 218:6.13.14 denominational 67:21 82:9 denounce 90:20 depending 114:4 depends 79:17,18 87:9

Depression 93:5 **Deputy 137:20** derive 126:21 describe 45:13 59:22 109:17 226:11 260:20 261:13 280:9 287:9 described 31:7 117:13 162:4 163:16 164:5 describing 158:12 description 31:8 73:22 126:16 260:3 designation 249:3 desk 198:7 despite 67:9 68:5 74:12 133:15 destroyed 307:4 detail 16:14 32:8 detailed 201:11 details 201:14 detectives 69:6.7 determination 63:5 66:15 85:7 235:22 determine 56:4 88:17 95:16 223:1 243:20 determined 234:18 239:16 240:17 Detroit 90:20 **Deuteronomy** 79:5 devil 44:4 47:7,9 Devillier 139:2,6,7 202:21 305:5,7 306:4 306:18,22 307:8 308:5,16 309:2,8 devoid 126:14 devotional 2:7 6:12 9:22 10:1 20:6 24:17 40:7 46:20 47:11 51:6 51:11 52:14 53:12,18 61:14 62:17,18,22 63:12 64:17,20 66:3 67:3,12 68:7,11 69:1 69:11 73:10 74:5,14 74:19 75:5 76:7.16 78:11,11,21 79:7,20 80:3,4,18,21 81:10,11 81:22 82:2,6 83:11 84:13,20 87:21 88:18 88:20 89:6,19 95:12 95:16 163:21 194:11 214:21 devotionally-themed 82:17 devotionals 228:6

87:22

Diane 139:15

dictate 129:16

Diaz 286:3,5,13,15,21

didactic 87:14 difference 112:1.3 275:4 302:20 differences 111:4,7,11 different 43:14 57:4 67:16 70:18 71:5,17 81:18 82:20 85:18,19 92:19 111:14,16,18 114:3,4 160:22 170:8 183:9,14,15 190:11 201:18 234:15 241:9 247:17 254:3 255:5 256:14 272:16 273:21 273:21 274:15 278:7 278:7 287:1,3 300:3 differently 85:19 difficult 231:7 difficulty 7:19 217:6 238:8 Dinner 275:21 dire 22:13 48:14.16 51:15 55:21 215:22 direct 3:2 37:22 41:4 42:3 55:8 57:19 59:4 104:2 108:11 109:1.7 115:1 120:2 121:19 123:19 135:22 136:19 144:11 147:18 152:3 152:4,6 156:1 199:7 214:4 223:19 238:17 directed 118:22 119:19 135:13 137:4 176:16 190:10 274:11 directing 224:11 225:6 direction 124:12 190:16 directions 135:18 directly 19:20 73:3,10 74:1,20 114:21 director 138:17 154:1,7 250:5 303:4 directors 256:8 dis-proven 37:15 disagreement 96:2 disappointed 308:18 disavow 3:18,19 137:10 disavowed 28:19 discamping 95:22 discern 251:4 274:19 discovered 205:9 307:11 discovery 27:21 39:7 99:5 100:6,17 119:17 124:13 126:1,5 137:13 143:3,17 147:15 202:13 207:9 211:6,13 212:9

213:20 214:3 216:11 217:7 223:22 229:14 280:14 284:13 298:19 discrete 222:9 discretion 147:1 172:7 discussed 85:14 172:14 192:13 discussing 18:5 141:18 192:17 discussion 95:22 dismayed 173:2 dismiss 39:20 dismissal 28:11 40:4 dismissed 28:14 29:8 disposition 67:6 dispute 184:3 disputes 246:3 disqualification 40:1 disqualify 40:12 disruption 39:10 distantly 251:6,20 269:17 distasteful 307:12 distinction 52:13 53:7 78:3 91:5 distinctions 54:4 distinguish 56:20 92:15 92:17.18 distribute 305:15 distributed 235:19 246:12 305:17 **distribution** 1:5.10 3:12 3:13 5:10,14 62:9 105:6 109:5,14 150:16,17 154:13 174:17 175:9 188:6 215:13 254:9 256:4 257:6 296:2,4,8,17 300:1,11,12,18 301:11 302:1,11 303:4 Distribution/Beyond 303:15 distributions 236:12 **distributors** 24:1 256:3 diverse 113:18 divide 80:21 164:7 divine 90:13 divinity 49:5 Docket 1:5,9 149:22 docketed 174:17 175:9 doctor 49:5 document 3:9,15 4:2 19:10 34:2 36:9 59:11 61:6 98:16 103:12

120:9 121:6 122:13

125:2,11 127:3 136:8 137:17 138:3,14,22 139:13 140:14 141:3 142:22 148:10 149:20 150:6 151:11 153:4 158:11 160:8 167:15 176:5 177:1 182:1,16 188:20 205:19 208:22 212:5 216:13 217:15 218:3 219:5 224:1,9 225:12,12,13,15 226:16,20 227:5 230:4 231:1,4,22,22 234:21 239:8,13,20 242:12 243:8,14,15 243:18 244:11 247:7 248:1 253:8 255:15 258:22 259:13,22 262:20 263:7 267:7 267:11,17 273:14,16 274:17 276:4 279:2 281:6 282:8 283:13 285:5 292:11,14 295:19 299:11,11 304:21 documentaries 44:16 documentary 30:4 documentation 32:18 148:14 157:4 172:2 196:20 231:9 236:10 239:3 documents 10:8,14 15:13 20:14 26:20,21 26:22 27:21 29:1,3 30:6,7 31:4,17 32:14 32:22 33:19 34:8,11 36:1 99:5 100:4,12,15 101:9,21 110:21 123:7 124:8,12 126:1 126:4 130:6 133:16 134:5 135:21 136:2,6 137:7,12 140:1 143:1 147:13 148:20 157:1 157:3 164:13 170:10 170:13 182:4 183:15 202:14,17 207:14,15 210:16,17 211:1,3,11 211:15 212:14 214:17 216:15 217:17 218:7 218:8,9 219:16,18,21 220:5,11 221:9,10,17 222:10,13,14 223:14 225:11,11,15,20 226:3,7,16,19,20 227:16 231:21 232:3 233:10,18 234:12

235:6 238:12 239:20 240:4,5 242:9 247:18 247:21 253:5 258:1 258:10 260:1,21,22 261:22 263:4,22 265:11 267:19 273:5 273:6,20 280:16 283:8 284:1,12,21 285:3 289:7,9 295:3 299:8 302:8 303:1,18 303:20 Dohnal 4:17 298:5 doing 17:6 21:17 22:13 31:6,7 36:3 70:21 75:9 139:17 140:21 157:7,7 164:15 196:7 196:8 199:11 232:11 244:5 dojos 50:18 dollars 251:12 307:16 domestic 241:7,10 domestically 241:16 Dominguez 140:8 Donegan 139:6,7 202:21 305:6,7 308:5 doors 306:7 dots 238:9 246:8 276:21 double 139:4 doublechecking 251:3 doubtful 37:15 downside 256:22 **Dr** 62:1,14 72:11 76:10 77:2,13 96:1,6 draw 46:12 47:1 drawn 52:12 dream 196:7 drive 166:3,3,8 drop 12:6 dubious 186:1 dubiousness 173:5 duly 41:21 103:21 198:20 Dumicic 188:7 dump 221:5 222:5 224:20 284:12 duration 250:2,4 duties 154:5 155:4 duty 156:17 188:2,4,5 **DVD** 72:11,14 77:4,5 DVDs 62:1 65:1,13,17 66:2 72:2 89:9

E-S-K-E-N-A-Z-I 139:15 **e.g** 73:2 74:11

Earl 46:1 earlier 24:14 34:16 122:17 135:8 155:10 165:3 166:13 190:9 194:14 284:8 294:21 early 54:13 82:13 213:9 306:1 earth 44:5 96:17 easier 17:4 284:15 Easter 67:20 69:5 easy 206:15 225:17 ecclesiastical 67:21 economist 252:10 education 50:11 55:2 57:21 58:21,22 68:1 educational 107:1 Edward 54:8 132:17 140:15 effective 291:20 effectively 157:21 203:14 294:13 efficient 204:10 effort 102:14 264:17 efforts 38:17 **EGEDA** 105:21 Egyptians 88:8 eight 65:14,16,21 66:20 66:22 67:2 72:6 252:5 either 57:10 76:15 93:21 114:12 143:3 168:16 174:9 217:5 226:19 239:21 242:2 253:11 electronic 10:8,13,14 14:12 117:18 118:1 118:12 119:2,12,20 121:10 122:4 167:10 263:20 264:12 272:20 273:6 276:18 277:11 277:14.21 electronically 14:3 165:11 212:13 270:11 element 50:22 elements 66:8 68:6,22 email 4:6 137:12 188:14 190:15,21 191:2,9 192:6 223:17 224:9 270:22 271:21 273:8 275:21 277:19 294:22 306:18 308:1,4 emails 29:4 191:15 202:21 231:12 263:18 265:10,12 267:4 308:3 embark 119:1 embrace 73:4,11 74:1

74:21 **Emory 107:3** employed 104:12 199:10 employer 104:14 encourage 73:4,11 75:6 encourages 74:1 encouraging 74:21 Encyclopedia 43:12 ends 248:3 endure 54:5.5 endured 87:17 enforcement 286:14 engaged 248:2 255:7 285:16 291:18 297:4 309:5 engagement 29:5 230:2 281:16,21 292:5 engages 38:19 enormous 165:5,5 enter 186:3 289:2 301:3 entered 19:5 158:8 289:10 Enterprises 139:6 305:6,7 Entertainment 131:12 140:4,5 168:13 169:20,20 224:2 233:11 299:2,6 entire 65:9 133:11 253:14 265:10 267:14 entirely 79:15 entirety 54:15 232:20 266:18 entities 35:13 115:8 123:16 190:12 210:19 212:2 222:4 234:15 235:19,21 236:1,4 237:19 238:5 240:12 252:17 253:4 290:19 300:15 entitled 24:11 26:11 28:4 36:6,7,13 116:9 158:4 166:18 204:2 230:1,8 231:15 269:2 entitlement 29:11 116:11,21 123:5 161:6,9,20 162:2,20 179:16 181:17 183:2 239:17 entitlements 181:6 entity 95:2 132:7 138:18 165:10 168:4 186:2 197:2 199:15

224:14 228:19 231:4

231:11,16 236:13,14

236:18.18 239:4 242:21 251:16 252:14 252:16 254:16.16 256:10 283:10 285:10 285:13,20 288:7 290:8,11 296:1 302:4 303:6 305:15.18 entries 277:17,17 environment 93:17 **epics** 44:3 episode 66:12 249:15 249:18 era 53:18 error 183:13 185:12 Eskenazi 139:15 espoused 93:2 ESQ 2:3,8,9,9,12,13,18 2:19,19,20 essence 69:15 **essentially** 19:6 31:9 34:17 68:13 73:7 102:1 106:11 126:14 133:11 171:21 245:19 263:13 establish 148:15 237:8 establishing 34:9 estimate 9:12 41:2 estimated 9:6 et 48:8 70:19 85:4,4 95:8 96:13,21 109:5 177:14 178:13 246:3 EU 106:12 Europe 155:12 240:14 evaluate 65:9 evaluated 65:21 67:1 evaluation 63:12 66:19 69:17 evangelicals 82:8 evangelistic 63:11,17 64:3,19 97:8,9 evening 308:6,7 event 11:22 25:11 288:18 eventually 187:4 everybody's 37:5 everyman 93:13 everyone's 8:14 282:16 evidence 9:15,18 15:15 19:22 20:17 25:16 26:7,18 27:3,5,12,20 28:7,16,18 30:4,13 31:8,22 33:11 34:6,22 35:1,7,8 37:11 61:9 99:18 102:2,2 103:15 110:21 120:21 121:6 122:8,13 125:5 127:3

133:7 134:5 142:3 144:14,18 145:17 148:11,20 151:4,11 153:4 170:6,17,18 171:2 182:2,5,16 187:1 219:5 227:5 236:9 237:20 238:1,4 240:21 241:8 244:3 244:13 248:1 253:8 253:11 259:13 267:10 267:16 279:2 281:6 282:8 285:5 295:19 298:14 304:21 evidentiary 26:9 evolution 91:19 exact 237:18,18 260:5 271:3 exactly 33:19 57:5 96:22 200:17 215:16 216:15 246:21 269:3 275:2 299:17 examination 41:20 42:3 48:16 53:2 55:9 59:4 103:20 104:2 152:3.5 152:6,7 196:15 199:7 **examine** 184:18 examined 41:22 103:22 198:21 example 27:6 56:14,15 64:11 66:8 71:2,3 78:17 80:15 81:19 84:22 91:9 93:10 112:10 113:6 161:1 163:21 186:9 195:22 224:2 233:2 261:18 262:1.2 270:17 274:15 275:19 Excel 33:6 120:17.17 165:8,9 270:3,5,6 271:1 273:2.13 274:12 275:6.7 exception 147:17 199:16 excerpt 167:12 excess 269:20 exchanges 137:12 **exclude** 232:22 excluded 133:17 exclusive 288:19 excuse 20:2 79:6 84:6 172:13 177:13 200:3 214:14 217:4 223:9 230:14 264:7 266:9 286:19 289:5 290:20 304:14

excused 98:10,13,15

198:12 execute 220:2 executed 200:22 217:22 229:20 243:2 291:21 298:11 305:12 307:1 execution 154:4 executive 153:22 154:7 297:17 301:6 303:3 exemplar 166:13 exemplars 39:6,8 exercise 40:11 185:9 exercised 172:10 exhibit 3:9 4:2 8:14 9:4 13:5 15:11 18:13 33:5 33:18 59:12,16,16 60:1,5,10,14,19 61:7 67:5 98:17.21 103:6 103:13 108:8 109:3 109:12.17.21 110:2 120:3,7,21 121:7,9,21 122:2,8,14 123:21 124:4,17 125:5,18 126:19 127:4,9 128:14 130:7,11,16 130:19,20 131:2,6,10 131:14,18,22 132:4 132:11,15 133:9 136:7 137:5,16 138:3 138:14,21 139:13 140:7,14 141:3 148:7 148:11 150:3,6,12,20 150:21 151:1,3,8,12 152:20 153:5 156:1,7 157:20,21,22 158:9 159:2,5,6,15 160:9 161:5 162:7 166:12 167:18 171:7,8 175:22 177:9 179:15 180:6 182:17 186:13 188:16,17,20 189:2 198:7 200:1 202:8,18 205:2,6,9,10,16 207:11,15,18 209:12 209:15 210:14,21 211:9,11,16 212:6 214:2,5,9 217:14 218:21 219:6,9 220:20 221:1,12,22 222:6,8,12 223:1 225:5,13,22 226:9,22 227:6,11,22 228:2 229:7,10,17 230:4,12 232:20 233:18,20 234:11 235:11 236:3 236:5 237:3 239:1,2,7

241:21 242:18,18 243:1,6,16,16 244:21 245:1,8,18,19,21 247:8 248:3,11,20 253:2,6,9,21 254:2 255:10,13,16,17 258:17 259:14,18 260:1,19 263:8,11 265:1,14,21 266:7 276:12 278:12 279:3 279:6,7,13 280:4,7,10 280:11 281:1,7,10 282:3,9 283:1,3,4,20 284:22 285:6 289:6,8 292:4,7,9 293:9,20 295:14.20 298:1.14 299:10.11.20.22 301:8 302:8 303:8,10 304:17,22 305:4,12 306:17 exhibits 8:12,16,16,18 9:1 12:18 15:10 20:15 31:17 32:5 33:5 99:1 99:19 108:13,19,21 110:7,10,14,22 111:10 117:7 123:20 130:4 133:6,14,21 134:6,10 136:1,11 137:6,8 139:22 141:19 142:2 144:17 145:9 147:6 148:18 148:21 157:21 170:12 194:17 221:2 223:17 226:4 232:15 233:9 233:12 234:6 255:11 282:12 283:9.14 303:5 304:7 existence 133:15 exists 85:20 119:4 174:9 exorcism 47:8 expect 309:6 expeditiously 215:10 expense 38:10 122:5 expensive 251:10 experience 44:10,18 45:7 46:12,22 48:10 52:2 58:3,12,21 59:1 67:12 83:21 87:13 106:22 118:20 256:1 expert 45:11,14 48:11 49:17 55:16 57:9,16 57:22 58:2,6,10,16 expertise 52:5,18,22

56:6

expiration 174:11 expires 11:21 explain 76:20 117:21 205:7 261:22 265:22 269:6 272:17 275:5 293:6 explaining 241:5 244:7 explanation 18:18 214:14 232:5 265:16 302:19 explicate 87:11 **explore** 159:14 expressed 103:9 expression 214:15 expressly 38:22 extend 8:8 extended 81:1 extension 306:20 extent 125:9 147:10 148:3 160:18,19 217:5 235:18 237:15 237:21 240:16 309:8 extra 233:9 extract 122:3 extraordinary 38:17 extreme 27:4 extrinsic 46:11

fabricate 195:17 face 39:7 77:9 207:8 fact 19:1 20:12 35:12 67:9 77:3 83:5,14 89:3 91:12 95:10 97:16,21 116:19 143:12 147:4 157:18 162:5 163:1 173:2 175:11 197:5 203:17 204:15 215:14 216:15 236:10 238:1,5 239:16 248:2 267:9 273:9 276:22 287:9 307:19 facts 43:22 44:1 171:2 failed 13:5,9 29:9 199:19 fails 39:6 fair 45:17 51:2,3 75:12 75:18 87:5 88:21 97:16 145:21 146:4 155:7 171:17 172:8 183:20 207:13 244:9 fairly 35:19 226:11 faith 79:22 fall 51:8 67:22 falls 188:5

false 39:12 144:15,19 185:11,13 familiar 34:19 166:7 171:14,18 174:12 191:2 200:2 255:19 285:10 286:9 288:7 294:1 296:1 305:5 familiarity 169:21 186:19 289:8 • family 68:17 140:4,5 159:11,12 168:9,18 169:10.18 186:14 famous 47:20 far 24:5.6 168:1.17 173:8,20 174:20 177:1 184:20 185:14 185:15,16 228:10 256:1 275:3,4 283:2 290:17 302:9 fascinating 43:22 44:1 88:3 fashion 29:10 33:7 212:8 222:2 244:18 284:11,11,16 faster 9:10 128:5 fastidious 21:12 Father 54:8 82:3 90:17 fault 209:6 favor 25:5 favorite 112:21 fax 301:22 faxes 231:12 fear 92:4 featuring 68:17 Feder 1:21 14:8,10,12 14:13,17 256:18 257:8,11,15 258:7 Federal 18:21 82:7 267:10 Feed 18:4,9 19:18 20:7 95:7 feel 187:14 199:3 284:9 291:12 feels 199:2.2 fell 46:20 61:14 felt 69:10 90:10 173:5 185:22 fiction 42:16 47:4 48:7 fictitious 38:2,8,16 field 48:10.11 58:6 105:18 145:22 fields 52:3 fifth 168:4,9 242:21 249:11 **fifties** 54:13 fighting 70:20,21

figure 21:7 64:12 149:19 250:20 270:2 file 26:22 27:1 29:9 112:13 114:8,11,13 114:14,15 116:16 119:12 120:16 121:11 121:16 122:4 127:13 156:20,21 263:21 264:12 273:3,9,20,21 274:15 275:19.20 276:16,19,20 277:11 277:21 278:6.7 297:14 304:12 file's 276:16 filed 13:6 25:3 99:1 109:10.13.15 115:15 116:1.19 123:7 129:8 141:20 144:9 163:2 272:19 280:13 295:4 303:22 files 114:10 120:16,17 124:13 165:8,9,21 211:4 263:6 272:20 280:21 filing 10:13 13:21 37:13 116:18 144:15 filings 10:21,21 123:11 143:6,9 156:14 287:20 filled 250:3 film 47:18 53:17 54:2 78:20 139:18 231:17 239:4,9,10,12 242:5 242:11,20 243:3,9,13 243:20,22 245:6 248:3,6 250:9 251:16 films 44:1 45:21 47:14 53:18,20 91:13 final 11:17 20:21 215:12 291:8 finally 27:10 29:13 69:5 165:6 **Finance** 139:16 find 16:9 28:4 30:12 32:21 36:15 71:4,5 78:21 81:19 89:2 190:17 195:5 196:1 223:16 276:20 293:9 finding 233:6 242:19 finds 80:3 fingertips 300:17 finish 160:3 finished 188:9 Fintage 131:8 138:19 fire 196:8 firm 6:18 163:7 286:21

foremost 26:10 firms 286:7 287:1,3,5 first 7:15 12:10 17:14 18:18 21:21 22:8 24:17 26:10 40:16 44:4 51:10 63:7.19 67:8 82:12 90:18.20 108:2.3 123:2 142:4.5 158:17 159:17.21 161:5.21 168:3 174:2 176:1,4 179:3 183:9 184:2 188:15 190:20 194:13 198:20 202:12 206:16.17.20 210:15 211:20 227:12 228:17 228:18.21 229:2 231:1,15 239:3,5 242:21 249:21 255:13 261:13 264:22 265:2 265:3,4,11,20,21 266:8,10,12 269:19 270:18 296:14 297:9 298:20 303:16,21 306:21 fit 75:5 five 60:15 161:8 167:22 265:11 flashback 81:1 flatly 30:11 flesh 204:8 flip 150:19 259:19 278:6 flip-flopped 210:3 flipping 124:3 Floor 2:21 flopped 259:20 flouts 38:9.15 flowing 80:17 focus 72:19 focus-in 54:15 follow 24:21 271:5 followed 24:17 25:15 following 13:15 158:19 159:21 174:7 218:1 268:21 277:5 281:13 301:9 follows 24:19 41:22 69:12 103:22 198:21 222:8 **followup** 215:20 fool 88:11 foot 91:22 forced 38:5 foreclosing 214:18,19 foregoing 41:12

forever 297:1 forget 267:19 forgetting 97:6 forgot 68:16 69:9 185:18 form 10:15 26:21 29:10 55:15 82:7 125:13 196:4 276:17 formal 49:12,14,14 50:1 50:13,17 57:21 303:22 format 165:12 269:4 271:3 formats 221:4 forms 13:6.9 forth 22:10 230:7 280:2 301:9 forties 54:12 fortify 26:6 forty 43:3 forward 8:3 183:5 272:2 307:2 308:19 forwarded 297:18 306:8 found 65:14,16 78:6 101:20 108:18 118:15 195:7,10,14 199:15 200:18 223:14 243:18 283:15 292:8 297:13 297:14,14 298:3 299:3 304:6 307:12 307:14 foundation 171:2 215:22 216:3,4 221:8 227:13 four 15:1 78:15 167:22 242:21 249:11 four-digit 230:2 Fox 131:12 159:11 frame 51:18 291:18 framed 52:21 Francisco 286:2,5 frankly 16:2 31:20 99:12 100:20 125:11 232:7,21 249:4 fraternal 91:22 fraud 37:22 40:9 185:13 196:11 fraudulent 37:21 38:2 Fred 141:5 free 16:9 199:3 French 106:17 fresh 37:21 Freud 56:15 Friday 7:17 15:2

friends 282:15 front 59:7,15 108:15 149:16 257:9 frustrating 33:1 Fu 50:4 51:1 52:4 fulfill 79:15 full 3:18 78:5 152:22 153:2 295:11 fully 26:2 37:6 303:19 Fulton 82:12 fun 309:10 fund 111:15 fundraisers 82:19 83:1 94:8.10 95:1 funds 1:6,11 5:11,14 109:6 111:20 150:17 150:18 235:19 264:5 further 18:16 57:15 75:20,22 86:17 98:5 151:14 169:4 192:19 196:12 197:16,18,20 215:20 216:13 224:17 237:7 243:19 furthest 7:18

G 202:3 Galactica 46:3 **Galaz** 3:8 6:8 37:12 198:16,18 199:9,22 201:21 202:3 204:7 205:5 215:4 217:3,13 227:21 239:6 242:8 247:4,16,16 248:19 253:20 259:17 260:10 261:17 265:19 267:22 279:5 280:4 281:9 285:9 290:5 300:10 302:19 305:3 Galaz's 30:1 games 43:17 geared 157:17 gears 171:7 Gee 88:3 general 10:18 16:5 46:15 52:7 53:19 70:7 78:14 115:11 137:20 138:5 171:14 172:22 172:22 201:9 292:15 generally 36:2 52:10 154:2 184:5 217:18 250:21 255:22 280:9 generate 97:20 163:22 283:13 generated 262:5 269:13

foreign 106:13 240:12

240:18 249:6

generating 268:11

269:3.4 276:10 generation 214:13 273:1 Geneva 155:13 genre 48:12 93:10 genres 43:14,18 gentlemen 198:22 genuine 39:13 Georgia 107:7 German 106:8,19,20 getting 7:20 31:7 33:22 54:19 74:16 88:15 237:8 251:17 giant 108:16 264:12 gifted 44:22 gist 190:8 give 21:16 31:1,14 73:21 113:6 126:16 171:6 186:10 189:5,6 244:20 251:1 253:14 255:8 261:3,17 262:1 271:18 278:1 301:18 303:18 given 9:7 31:4 72:2 118:17 147:21 156:12 156:13 161:16 163:12 167:8 215:14 240:17 251:1 **gives** 256:7 giving 31:8,9 184:17 269:11 **Global** 197:3,8,13 go 14:22 16:3,9,13 24:19 31:9,18 33:12 35:9 49:10 50:13 51:21 95:21 98:4 113:12 118:9 128:5 128:20,22 129:11 130:10 136:5 137:14 155:1 159:15,19,20 161:15 164:6 167:11 171:7,8,12,21 175:7 175:21 177:8 179:15 181:10 191:13 202:6 209:13 213:9 217:10 220:22 224:4 226:9 247:3 261:10 262:6 270:1 276:19 284:13 297:1 goal 31:5 36:8 gobbledygook 222:12 God 68:14 69:8 73:2 74:9 88:7,7,7 170:20 goes 9:10 86:13 126:22 142:22 176:2 209:15 209:16,21 210:1

244:9 going 8:2 10:1 11:8 13:3,7,11 23:1 30:22 32:3,7 33:3 35:20 36:3,4 41:9 53:10 56:2,3 70:18 73:13 81:18 86:2 87:16,18 95:21 103:8 108:11 120:5.20 122:7 125:4 128:20 136:11 138:10 142:1 143:14 145:4 146:9 149:20 161:15 167:11 169:16 170:10 170:17 175:10 181:13 182:7 183:21 184:16 186:22 189:10 190:17 191:6,19 193:7 203:11 213:7 216:2 227:9,10 231:14 233:5 242:13 244:15 261:12 269:8 275:6 275:18 279:8,11 289:14 295:8 297:1 302:22 308:10 309:11 Golden 74:12 GoldenFilms 139:16,17 Golgotha 80:17 Goliath 69:13 good 5:3,7,18 6:5,10,21 12:8 23:18 30:21 36:14 41:15 42:5 48:18,19 49:20,20 75:12,14 76:5,8 99:20 100:2 101:2 103:6,8 104:4 194:9 206:21 244:6 306:5 Goodman 131:16.16 goodness 139:18 166:9 309:9 goodwill 79:10 80:11 86:14 gospel 71:15,17 84:22 gotten 94:11 225:8 243:7.17 Government's 10:12 governmental 286:14 grab 95:21 **Graham** 35:14 grandfather 289:20,21 granted 260:17 294:15 great 47:10 50:7 53:11 53:12 73:2 78:7,10 155:2 209:9 244:19 289:20

Greatest 46:2

Greek 71:20

Greg 5:19 23:19 120:13 Gregory 2:18 98:22 grind 12:2 **Gross** 6:18 ground 13:4,8,13 146:10 **grounds** 13:20 21:5 38:12 145:10 171:1 274:5 295:9 group 2:2.2 6:7 24:5 48:21 103:4 131:12 131:16 199:11,12 201:13 222:13,18 242:2 246:2 301:10 grouping 159:17 **Groups** 210:19 growing 86:10 guess 120:16 150:8 156:2 173:21 189:11 guidance 21:18 100:21 Guide 97:12 **guidelines** 10:8 82:1 87:17 89:6 **Guild 48:7** gum 86:4,7 habits 118:19

half 50:4 186:16 205:18 233:3 271:9 half-an-hour 41:5 Hammerman 132:18 hand 10:3 36:11 265:1 handiest 242:16 handkerchiefs 83:19 handle 105:7 206:21 handsome 45:2 handwritten 212:4 hang 189:13 happen 25:20 happened 20:8 25:1 247:12 308:11 happens 12:6 22:9 happy 14:15 17:3,6 94:2 102:22 152:16 hard 14:6,16 118:7 166:3,3,8 180:15 hard-pressed 78:21 harm 214:16

halt 12:2

140:18

265:4

284:2

231:3

247:4

270:12

Harrington 2:9 6:14 hashed 73:15 haystack 225:1 he'll 40:21 head 123:18 header 249:12 heading 297:19 headings 248:20 healed 81:4 hear 34:6 181:21 204:22 302:16 heard 14:21 18:19 22:20 83:15 265:19 hearing 1:19 5:10 7:1,4 7:18 8:20 9:7 11:15 11:18 16:18 20:22 39:19 201:16 203:16 216:14 hearings 19:1 hearsay 145:11,18 146:5,7,13,17 147:9 147:11 204:3 heart 70:10 heaven 97:3,5 heavily 125:7 133:10,14 Hebrew 57:1,2 heirs 54:8 held 290:14 help 95:7 96:6 151:20 helped 50:9 199:15 helpful 22:5 33:21,22 helps 96:17 henchman 86:6 Hero 46:3 Heston 44:2.20.21 47:15 56:17 67:13 Hev 191:22 hide 179:13 highlight 26:5 highly 30:7 historic 67:11 history 37:13 40:9 43:16 44:13 48:8,11 54:2,3,10 58:9 67:15 68:1 69:3 86:5 Hm 272:16 Hochberg 132:6 hoi 81:10 hold 48:5 133:1 194:18 234:7 hole 180:15 181:1 holes 11:9 holidays 215:7 holistic 53:9 **HOLMES** 2:19 home 155:18

homiletic 52:7 63:11,16 64:1,2,19 69:17 96:13 96:21 97:6 homily 90:15 honest 101:7 honestly 191:14 Honor 5:19 6:6,11 12:9 14:5,17,21 15:4,6,21 16:1 17:1 18:10,14,17 19:21 21:10,11 22:15 22:17 23:19 37:4 39:15,18 40:22 45:4 48:13 51:15,17 52:20 56:3 57:14,20 58:14 59:3,9 60:16,20,22 61:2 69:19 76:2 80:7 81:15 84:10.16 86:21 87:1 91:10 94:5 98:6 98:8,11,19 99:6,17 101:19 102:12,19 103:11 110:16 111:1 121:1,3 125:6,17,20 127:5,6 128:8 133:8 133:18 136:18 142:4 142:16 143:7,19 144:14 145:8 146:11 146:19 149:3,14,18 151:17,18 152:13,18 153:6,13 168:22 170:2,22 177:22 181:22 184:7 188:8 188:14,22 189:10,16 189:18 190:19 192:19 194:6 196:13 197:19 197:21 198:15 199:6 199:19 200:7 202:7,9 203:22 204:10 205:1 207:16 211:8,10 212:10 213:16 215:21 216:6 217:1,11 218:20 219:2 220:19 221:1,13 224:9,19 227:7 235:10 236:16 237:4,6,7 240:19 241:6 242:16 245:11 246:6 247:6 253:16 257:22 258:19 259:7 259:15 260:14 263:10 264:6,20 265:15 267:8 272:18 274:6 276:7 278:11 279:10 279:14 280:1,22 282:2,10 283:19,22 284:17,19 285:7 289:14 295:13,21 298:13,21 299:7,13

300:2 301:17,20,21 303:7 305:1 309:15 **HONORABLE** 1:20.21 1:21 Honors 24:14 25:3 61:20 98:14 247:3 hoodwink 204:15 hope 28:2 30:11 33:21 55:4 102:7 hopefully 227:18 hoping 151:20 host 106:3,10 hour 7:9 9:12 78:16 82:4,5 95:2 hours 9:7 95:3 193:15 housekeeping 6:22 15:16 hundred 43:3 hundreds 251:12 252:17 307:15 hunt 33:16 Hurricane 95:8 hurt 307:21

I-E-R 139:4 I-N 42:9 i.e 156:8 icons 86:3 ld 3:9 4:2 idea 71:12 87:10 183:13 226:4 260:11 ideas 74:11 92:5,6,8 identical 34:14 274:14 identification 20:16 38:6,7 59:13 61:8 98:18 103:14 150:4,7 188:21 identified 13:14 15:10 39:21 91:4 127:19 134:21 230:13 236:2 240:3 243:6 248:16 270:4,14 274:13 identifies 33:8 248:15 identify 36:22 130:10 136:5 137:7,14 191:12 226:2,15 249:7,18 262:7,9 identifying 95:4,5 243:10 271:2 IFTA 131:20 139:20 ignorance 39:5 **II** 1:5,7,10,12 5:13,16 13:17 17:12 28:15 illegal 203:7 illegible 245:19 258:1,2

illuminate 50:9 IMDb 254:7 255:18,19 immediately 12:13 56:14 306:10 **impact** 88:5 impart 70:11 impeaches 191:7 impeachment 189:4 impermissible 203:7 implicitly 73:4,11,22 74:20 implied 192:5 imply 192:9 important 19:13 20:5 26:6 53:16 97:22 157:12 222:13 importantly 39:9 inaccurate 126:17 inaccurately 162:4 inactive 107:7 inappropriate 267:14 inasmuch 101:9 289:17 inch 205:18 incident 216:1 include 28:12 67:14 143:11 215:4,6 232:20 260:22 287:16 included 116:13 132:20 132:22 135:2 142:17 173:3 211:21 223:14 224:13 276:18 287:15 includes 9:12 51:11 203:2,7 284:1 including 22:10 35:13 99:18 106:4 107:15 133:10 143:12 244:16 248:12 269:16 292:3 inclusive 133:6 134:2 142:3 incomplete 29:4 263:15 264:16 265:9,12 267:11 272:4 incompleteness 267:12 incorporated 212:7 incorporates 283:4 incorrect 209:19 increase 236:15 increased 277:4 Independence 1:17 independent 2:2 6:7 48:21 112:21 199:12 201:13 210:18 235:21

258:3,9 259:1

indicate 159:9 292:16 indicated 35:21 211:6 234:15 292:17 293:13 295:1 300:22 306:6 307:3 indicates 250:11 300:15 indicating 134:20 140:10 229:16 262:14 291:18,22 294:5 297:4 301:2 308:17 indication 306:13 indicative 82:16 indicia 244:2 individual 32:15 56:21 70:19 114:11 116:12 118:3 127:13 164:8 251:16 287:11 297:11 297:15,17 298:7,10 300:21 301:1 individual's 87:13 individually 116:16 individuals 296:16 industry 255:7 influence 47:11 57:4 64:4 influences 84:2 influential 47:13 infomercial 254:15,17 255:8 infomercials 254:18 255:1,2 informal 50:11 information 27:15 36:21 55:7 116:13 119:13,21 126:15,21 164:17,21 165:11 167:10 184:11,18 186:11 203:2 220:3 250:8 253:12 254:20 255:9 256:7,19 257:6 257:7 262:13 286:3 304:5 308:14 informative 97:13 inherently 68:20 initial 256:6 injustices 209:9 innocent 40:5 inquiry 215:2 217:7 267:14 281:14 instance 11:2 35:5 70:17 87:20 173:5 174:5 197:3 230:22 233:10 256:11 261:19 262:15 266:3,6

254:3.16

239:16 240:1

independently 234:18

274:10

www.nealrgross.com

Institute 239:4,10,10,12 242:5,11,20 243:3,9 243:14,21,22 245:6 248:3 250:9 251:16 Institute's 248:6 instructed 167:9 instructing 120:14 Instructional 268:16,19 278:2 instructions 271:5 intellectual 45:17 46:5 intend 26:2,8,13 28:7 28:12 182:4 187:9 188:17 194:1 intended 71:8 247:22 248:17 intense 34:5 intent 143:8,10 288:3 intentionally 185:13 interested 191:8 interests 115:8 140:11 interim 21:12 interjected 93:2 interjecting 93:3 interjection 92:21 international 138:17 192:1 231:17 235:13 237:12,14,16,16 238:5,13 241:7,11 278:6 299:10,20 302:4,7,9 303:15 International/IMG 132:9 internationally 241:14 Internet 255:21 interpolations 74:11 interpret 227:3 231:8 **interpreted** 78:6 125:12 interrupt 89:14 309:14 interruption 83:8,9 intertwining 91:6,8 interview 68:9 intimately 286:8 intrinsic 70:9 introduce 19:1 188:16 234:7 introduced 6:17 35:4 234:6 introducing 35:4 242:13 introduction 35:22 invest 250:19 invested 119:3 investigate 190:11 investigated 50:15

investigating 186:7 investigation 48:1 55:1 174:6 185:2 196:19 215:20 286:11 investment 139:18 251:7,11,15 invite 282:14 involved 45:18 87:6 218:5 236:1 242:3 281:15 involving 45:20 46:1 123:3 **IPG** 3:15,20 4:4,8,9,12 6:6,7 9:21,21 13:5,9 19:11,16 20:5 22:12 24:21 25:1,4 26:14,17 26:18 27:9,14,14,20 27:22 28:13,19 29:1,3 29:8 30:16 32:19 33:6 34:4,4 36:6 37:12 38:3,9,14,19,21 39:4 57:16 61:22 65:7 99:5 100:13,17 101:10 121:11 122:5,18 123:4,9,12 125:22 126:5 135:11,16 137:11 140:10 142:8 143:4 147:16 148:3 152:19 166:14 169:21 172:17 173:4,12 184:22 185:16 187:10 188:20 190:13,14 191:20,21 193:8,8,10 193:12 194:2 196:18 196:21 198:16,19 202:18 207:8,10 211:4,14,16,19,20,21 211:21 212:1 214:12 217:21 219:6,21 220:6,8,9 221:18 222:8 223:20 227:6 228:9 229:4 230:1,8 230:17,18 231:10,15 231:21 233:20 234:13 234:17 235:9,20,22 236:4,19 237:22 238:1,14 239:2,10,12 239:20 240:6 241:3 241:17,21 242:3,6,6 242:12 243:4,10,11 243:18,19 244:11,17 245:10,13 247:8 248:2,10,16,22 251:14 252:1,16 253:2,3,9 254:3,5,11 254:21 256:12 259:9

259:14 260:2,4,6,8 261:1,1,2 262:10,11 262:12 269:21 271:4 272:21 273:17 274:2 274:4,15 276:11 277:13,22 279:3 280:12,21 281:7,16 282:9 283:4 284:2,12 285:6 287:16,22 288:4,14 289:2 290:5 291:1 292:1,21 293:17 295:20 296:5 299:10,11,14 300:20 303:14 304:22 305:9 305:14 307:1,8,18 **IPG's** 13:4,7,11,19,20 25:17,20,21 26:1,10 26:22 27:4,12 28:4,11 28:16,20 29:14,16,19 30:15 32:15,16 34:9 37:21,22 39:9,20 40:1 77:14 100:11 120:13 123:11 134:3 135:9 142:17,19 144:15,22 149:21 150:14,22 170:14 174:6 188:15 188:16 218:9 221:18 231:11 238:6 259:1 299:9 308:15 IPG-101 233:2 **IPG-represented** 228:11 230:16 234:17 240:8 IPG4160 268:1 irrelevant 95:11 266:18 267:1,5 269:16 issue 8:10 11:14 16:16 17:2,15 18:4 19:18 20:9,20 25:12 33:13 35:19 36:5 47:11 109:2,21 110:1 116:17 117:14 119:7 119:11 145:12 152:2 192:13 203:6 222:4 224:14,18 240:17 257:1 264:5 272:18 273:11,18 291:10 299:1,6,17 issued 119:17 291:9 294:19 issues 16:2,5 25:7,10 25:13,18,22 26:3,6 45:17 89:8 92:10 156:2 232:8 264:1 291:16 Italian 106:18,20

item 150:22 176:16 183:22 205:10 206:17 206:18 207:9,21 209:1,11,13,15,16,21 210:1,15 220:16 228:17 229:11 230:1 230:13,16 233:2 237:5 259:20 265:3 266:9 items 176:7 177:2 212:8 243:18 iterations 289:18

J 2:8 47:10 82:12

J-A-N-E 104:11 J-E-F-F-R-E-Y 42:8 jackets 199:3 **Jane** 3:5 6:1 103:16,19 104:11 Jeff 3:3 40:19 59:20 **Jeffrey** 41:19 42:8 Jerry 4:17 298:5 **JESSE** 1:21 Jesus 53:16 64:11 66:9 67:10 68:14 69:4 73:3 74:10.18 Joan 53:22 **job** 6:21 157:8 173:19 244:6 John 66:10 join 3:20 102:9 joint 114:13,14,15 115:2,3,8 127:13,16 127:19 128:2,11 129:10,19 134:21 Juan 140:8 judge 5:3,6,9 6:3,9,15 8:1 10:5 12:20 14:1,6 14:8,9,10,11,12,13,13 14:14,17,18 15:3,5,18 15:22 16:11,15,22 17:4,8 18:7,12,15 19:16 20:1,10,18 21:4 21:15,21 22:3,7 23:7 23:14,16 30:19 37:2 38:20 39:17 40:15 41:1,6,15 42:1 45:2 48:15 51:16,21 53:3 55:22 56:8,12 57:18 58:17 59:10 60:13,17 61:3 62:4,7 69:21 75:21 77:20 79:6,18 81:5 82:14,21 83:7 84:3,6,11 85:8,10 86:19,22 89:14,17,22

90:2 91:2 92:7.14 93:19 94:4 98:9,12 100:16 101:6,17 102:7,15 103:1,3 104:1 108:6 110:18 113:11 121:4 122:11 125:15,19 126:10,19 128:22 129:11.15 133:21 134:2 136:10 136:16 142:13 144:1 144:6.10.13.20 146:15.21 148:5 149:6.10.13.15 150:10 151:8,15,22 152:11,16 153:1,9 161:2 166:10 169:5 170:4,20 171:3 175:16,18 177:19 178:1,4,7 180:11,16 180:20 181:2,2,20 182:8,13 184:14 185:6 187:5,16 188:11 189:6,9,13,17 191:11 192:20 193:13 193:19 194:3 196:14 197:22 198:6,9,11,22 200:5 201:20 202:2 202:10 204:6,11,18 204:21 205:2,17,21 206:2,5,10,19 207:20 208:16,20 209:2,4,8 209:17,18,22 210:4 212:19 213:7,15 214:6 216:2,7,18,21 217:4 218:12,16,19 219:3 221:15 222:20 225:10,19 226:2,6,12 226:15,22 235:16 237:2,5,10 238:8,19 241:20 242:1,10 245:2,9,12,16 246:7 246:15 248:19,22 249:9,16,19,22 250:2 250:6,10 252:1,5,10 252:18 253:1,18 256:18 257:8,11,15 257:20 258:4,6,8,16 258:20 259:5,8,11 260:10,17 261:6,10 261:15 263:2 265:17 267:22 268:3,5,7,9,14 268:18,21 269:2,7 271:8,13,16,20 272:7 273:12 274:8 276:9 276:13 277:3,9,15,19 278:9,21 279:7,11,16

279:22 281:4 282:6 282:11.14.18.20 285:1 286:19 287:4 289:16 290:3 295:17 299:19 300:3.7 301:13.16.18 302:2 302:15 303:11.13 304:3,8,14,16,19 309:9 Judge's 281:13 judges 1:1,22 8:5 9:19 11:12 25:9,11 26:15 26:16.17 37:8 38:18 39:20 99:17 107:20 117:21 119:11,18 126:1,9 141:20 147:1 147:5,8,11 166:14 202:13 203:12,15,20 211:13 212:3 213:8 244:18 253:2,5 255:19 284:9 299:14 judgment 100:9 145:19 147:4 judicial 15:8,14 July 13:6,10 202:13,16 211:13,14 281:14 284:4 295:4 298:17 299:7 June 62:2,4 291:21 292:3,17 294:10

Kathleen 197:9 Katie 6:17 Kaye 287:7,8 keep 10:16 12:2 87:16 97:6 289:13 keeper 8:11 keeps 8:19 kept 118:7 232:9 235:8 241:3 254:17 263:6 Kessler 118:3 156:18 157:2,3,14 159:18 160:14 162:13,15,18 164:11 Kessler's 118:14 174:6 188:2 Keswick 155:18 key 112:3 kick 82:7 kid 69:6,7 97:12 kill 57:6 kilobytes 278:8 Kim 5:22 KIMBERLY 2:19 kind 42:15 46:8 48:1

53:9 63:10 64:13 73:15 87:14 90:14 93:3,12,16 95:6 99:8 100:7 145:11 157:22 158:11,16 170:6 205:14 216:15 227:12 kinds 70:18 71:17 221:3,11 King 53:21 Kingdom 290:11 Kings 53:22 Klan 90:21 Klux 90:21 Knights 91:20 knock 12:14 know 7:16 15:15 19:13 19:16 20:5 23:8,9 32:7,13 34:8 46:14 53:5,6 56:6,9 70:10 71:16 73:16 84:17 85:3 86:1 90:8 93:5 99:22 103:3 125:13 128:3 147:15 148:2 155:9 159:4 164:16 164:19,21 165:2,14 165:16,19 168:17 169:6,13 170:7,16 172:9,15 173:2,9,16 173:21 174:21 183:12 183:19 184:21 185:15 185:15,16,19 187:11 189:1 190:5 193:14 199:1,5 203:10,14 212:3,15,17 216:19 217:8,8 219:22 222:16,17 230:22 236:11 241:9,10,14 244:14 245:20 247:7 250:21 251:19 254:1 257:4,18 264:16 274:2 284:7 286:12 286:15 287:1,7,9,19 298:22 299:5 303:14 304:12 307:5 **knowing 293:12** knowledge 60:11 105:19 106:18,20 110:11 144:22 160:21 167:4,6 174:22 201:6 203:3.4 known 124:20 154:8 159:11 172:19 228:22 286:6 296:1 knows 160:18,19 169:2

Kolodzie 6:18 Kowalski 37:20 Krishna 66:9 Ku 90:20 Kung 50:4 51:1 52:4 Kung-Fu 45:21

L 139:4

LA 132:22 133:2 labeled 168:8 209:1 lack 26:22 163:20 171:1 290:18 lacked 68:6 Ladies 198:22 landmarks 86:3 language 134:20 135:2 135:5 204:3 216:12 250:4 languages 106:14 large 112:17 258:9 largely 82:1 89:7 larger 24:12 91:15 largest 24:5 105:17,19 late 7:15 8:3 54:12 214:16 Latination 133:2 Latino 132:20 140:20 140:21 141:1 **LATV** 140:16,19 Laughs 239:18 Laughter 49:18 66:18 101:8 103:5 129:3,5 152:15 190:3 launch 136:10 law 9:16,18 90:13 107:3 107:4,13 203:8 286:7 286:14,20 287:1,3,5 laws 90:9 91:9 92:12 172:21 lawyer 128:3 175:4,4 Le 2:4 leading 113:9 263:1 leap 192:4 learned 57:2 leave 36:8 40:13 83:18 153:9 198:7 259:9 leaves 11:19 leaving 32:8 left 137:3 168:1 179:4 182:19 228:10 270:18 legal 38:7 175:3,11 203:8 287:2 297:16 301:5 307:9 legibility 258:20 legible 257:21 258:5,12

169:4 170:5

Knupp 2:20

259:9 legislate 90:10 legislation 92:4 legitimate 39:14 186:2 214:11 285:17 lens 80:5 lepers 80:16 let's 5:16 10:14 19:18 63:19 70:17 106:21 130:10 136:5 137:14 159:15,19 161:5 171:5,6,7,8 173:21 175:21 178:21 179:14 187:5 204:22 206:14 213:9 245:5 261:21 267:18 274:15 292:10 309:14 letter 4:16.17 120:12 158:16 162:5 172:13 204:4 223:21 231:2.6 293:13.20 294:2.3.19 294:22 297:5 306:9 306:19 308:17 letterhead 288:18 290:16 letters 7:4 27:7 29:6 229:3 Lew 78:14 Lex 86:3 liaise 106:7 Library 1:16 licensing 107:15 306:7 life 52:3 81:1,19 83:4 84:18 85:1 93:13 96:18 liaht 201:14 Likewise 5:15 limb 161:15 167:12 limit 204:2 limitations 262:8.9 limited 131:4 134:11 138:17 150:16 176:18 183:4 201:15 278:15 290:21 299:20 301:11 302:1 line 86:1 96:16 97:11 158:3 168:2 169:17 257:12 258:6 lined 162:10 167:19 lines 100:10 281:20 link 236:19 238:14 241:17 273:19 links 256:9 list 8:14 10:6 61:21 65:15,18 76:22 77:15 109:20,22 113:21

116:21 123:12 132:18 135:9 143:22 156:3.4 156:7 157:13.15 159:1,5,7,9,16,22 160:6 162:7,13,16,18 162:18,20 163:11,13 164:1 177:10.12 179:17 209:21 210:2 210:5,7 212:3 261:4 262:4 269:11.19 274:10,22 275:10 279:7 listed 13:21 117:6 142:19 147:18 182:3 233:19 245:17.18.18 255:14 288:5 302:7 303:1 listen 88:10 144:7 listened 49:1 listing 135:11 lists 110:4 157:16.19 158:20 161:21 164:3 164:4,12 176:17 205:16 literally 232:9 257:2 274:14,20 275:10 literary 54:3 litigation 27:13 175:15 litigator 107:12 little 21:7 23:10 42:19 63:15 68:5 99:15 102:17 106:21 145:21 146:8 151:19 157:13 165:7 171:7 191:6 194:14 213:9 256:4 293:11 lives 70:19 living 84:18 85:1 LLP 2:4,13,20 287:6 LM-403 1:15 location 155:18 Loeb 287:6,6 log 96:16 97:11 184:10 logic 101:4,7 logs 126:8,11,13 long 22:21 104:20 118:19 132:18 145:17 199:13 long-form 82:18 94:8,9 94:22 288:15 longer 14:22 27:10 165:17 306:7 307:7 look 10:11 32:9 34:19 36:20 79:13 80:5 86:4 96:19 124:17 130:2

131:13,17,21 132:3 132:10,14 136:6 148:17 155:22 158:7 161:4 166:12 171:5 175:22 177:9 179:15 179:17 181:14 186:13 189:20 190:1 199:22 205:6 206:6,7,13,15 206:17 207:7 217:13 219:8 224:7 225:1 227:17,21 231:1,20 234:10 247:9 248:10 253:20 255:16 257:4 257:11 259:17 261:19 264:22 266:8.14 272:2.15 279:5 281:9 283:1 291:1 293:8.19 293:21 297:22 300:13 305:3 306:17 309:4 looked 63:6 221:2 265:12 272:5 276:5 299:3 looking 33:18 47:7 72:18 86:6 87:15 100:20 109:12 121:9 123:17 127:8 128:15 159:2 167:18 168:8 176:3,4,15 177:20 178:5,9 180:6 187:18 195:6,11 207:3 209:20 210:14 223:5 226:7 236:17 237:2 239:1 241:21 242:17 242:18 255:13 259:21 276:11 277:5 Los 2:5 287:6,8 losing 252:15 lost 181:9 lot 31:1,2,3,17 32:14,14 50:7 54:18 79:11 80:8 80:9 88:1 91:20 119:3 128:5,21 201:11 250:21 255:6 269:16 307:16,22 love 17:16 96:18 loves 69:8 lower 271:9 lucky 108:16 Lucy 2:19 5:21 104:5 130:1 154:9 209:10 Luke 74:13 lunch 12:13 136:22 151:20 Lutheran 69:14 82:5

Lynch 2:9 6:13 M M 1:20 55:11 Machen 47:10 54:7 55:12,14 63:13 90:4 91.3 Machen's 79:14 82:1 87:16 89:18 Machines 186:18 MacLEAN 2:8 3:4,7 6:10.11 12:9.21 14:2 14:5.19.20 15:4 19:17 19:20 21:11.16 22:2,5 22:15 37:3,4 39:18 40:16 51:14 52:15.20 55:17.20 58:14.18 60:21 76:1,2,4,6 84:4 85:10.11 86:17 101:15.19 102:19 103:9 110:15 113:9 121:2 122:10 125:15 125:17 133:18 144:14 146:11,17,18,22 151:18 152:1,13 170:21,22 180:5 181:19,22 185:3 194:4,6,8,10 196:12 197:20 202:5 203:22 207:16 208:1,7,11,14 208:18,21 209:6 213:5,16 214:7 215:21 216:6 219:1 220:21 221:1 224:19 258:5,6 259:5,6 260:15 263:1 264:20 281:3 282:5 284:19 295:16 Madison 1:16 magazine 42:17 magazines 43:11 magical 128:4 magnetic 279:21 mail 204:3 231:4 242:7 mailed 13:10 231:13 main 68:8 97:15 98:3 Mainframe 169:19,20 maintain 13:19 117:18 241:18 284:19 maintained 90:22 221:19 280:16,20 maintains 117:12 124:9 making 8:18 20:6 63:4

130:12,15 131:1,5,9

Luthor 86:4

Lutzker 2:12,13,13 6:13

95:10 142:8,11

143:16,18 144:19 145:1 164:17 185:11

193:2 217:6 235:18 237:14 240:12,13,14 240:15 248:5 262:16 300:19 man 298:4 manage 118:16 Management 104:19 105:4,11 106:8 manager 188:5 managing 138:16 303:3 mandate 306:19 Manger 53:20 manipulation 275:8,16 manner 31:10 67:11 March 11:18 Marian 197:9 203:4 mark 150:3 marked 20:15 59:11 61:6 98:16 103:12 125:9 141:18 150:6 158:7 188:20 198:7 200:1 205:6,22 206:3 206:7,16 207:8 208:3 217:14 219:9 227:22 234:11 253:21 259:18 279:8 293:20 298:1 305:4 market 254:17 marks 57:4 Marsha 118:3 156:18 157:2,3 159:8,18 161:13 164:10 165:8 173:18 188:2 Marsha's 161:16 174:5 martial 50:3,15,21 mashup 44:8 masses 81:10 match 160:6 272:20 matched 65:18 matches 65:14 273:8,8 material 56:20 63:12 215:13 materials 35:3 60:3 61:18 66:20 279:19 matter 1:4,9,18 5:10 10:18 15:16 41:12 45:20 46:7,15 55:1,2 83:5,13 158:1 187:20 213:12 252:20 267:9 296:9 304:7 309:16 matters 6:22 43:20 107:15 201:19 246:3 286.12 Matthew 2:8 6:11 76:6 194:10 maximize 39:1

McNeely 176:19 178:10 178:17 179:5 180:19 183:17 mean 16:16 21:6 49:4 63:20 64:5 75:9 81:8 87:11 88:1,9 94:22 97:1,5 99:21 126:14 145:3 165:3 170:11 185:12,12 201:8 216:4 218:13 238:12 241:15 247:2 250:21 meaning 78:7 means 8:21 9:8 57:5 175:6 229:19 306:11 meant 96:16 246:21 Medeiros 154:9 media 33:14 43:13 45:7 52:4 70:6 97:15 197:3 197:8,13 229:1 268:3 270:19,20 271:10,14 272:21 273:2 274:21 275:2,20,21 276:2,10 277:4,18 278:16 medium 91:14 meeting 15:1 melodrama 78:16 member 23:21 48:3 80:12 members 105:8 112:20 mention 74:9 121:10 mentioned 29:17 34:3 54:7 55:8 62:16 63:16 63:16 64:22 71:18 76:10 82:3 90:8 93:7 93:21,21 105:13,22 113:21 123:16 155:10 merely 73:1,20 228:14 message 75:10,18 86:9 88:20 messing 289:13 met 194:13 methodology 60:3 meticulous 118:7,19 micromanage 173:17 mid-day 7:10 middle 192:21 midst 177:11 Mikael 296:9 Mikhail 138:15 mind 10:16 56:14 75:10 92:2 112:2 191:21 192:4 296:18 mindful 11:17,19 mine 200:12 257:15 minute 7:3 20:2 190:4

minutes 12:15 41:8,10 117:15 minutiae 275:5 mischaracterization 185:4 misconduct 27:5 misleading 191:1 misrepresented 26:14 26:17 missing 263:18 mission 119:1 mistake 32:3 33:3 200:11 205:8 208:8 212:11,15 272:10 mistaken 121:13 180:3 mistakes 31:21 Mitchell 2:20 mixed 92:11 254:11 mixing 200:16 model 133:19 modern 81:1,3 Mohammed 66:10 Mojo 229:1 moment 31:19 128:21 161:15 178:22 188:9 227:11 252:19 301:19 Monday 1:14 7:6 9:9,9 15:2 217:8 money 49:10 119:4 236:15 237:17 305:15 305:15 monies 245:13 246:12 305:16 monitor 9:19 Monitoring 254:15 255:8 **Monkey** 91:18 Monotheism 56:16 months 34:15 199:17 morality 90:10 morning 5:3,7,18,21 6:5,8,10 7:5,8,21 23:18 30:22 41:7,15 42:5 48:18,19 76:5,8 104:4 308:8 309:13 Moses 56:15,17 66:9 74:10 88:10.10 mother 290:11 motion 2:17 5:19 6:2 23:19,22 86:2 100:8 104:15 145:19 184:11 294:8 295:6 303:17 motions 21:20 123:7 motivated 90:22 move 10:13 15:15 38:14 60:19 98:20

110:13 120:20 122:7 125:4 133:5 142:2 151:3 152:21 165:6 178:9 185:3 187:2 202:8 211:9 218:21 220:20 229:22 230:7 235:11 263:11 278:12 280:22 282:3 283:20 295:14 298:14 moved 79:12 82:11 125:22 145:10 149:4 movie 47:19 70:17 71:1 71:2 79:8,21 255:21 movies 24:2 113:18 moving 53:17 243:13 **MPAA** 3:2,10,15,16 9:20 10:2 15:10 19:2 20:15 21:13 22:11 23:17,20 24:10,20,21 34:22 35:3 36:18 38:11 40:18 41:21 59:2,12 61:7 98:17,21 103:2,13,16,21 104:6 104:17 105:2,8 107:9 108:12,19,21 109:3 109:12,22 110:2,6,9 110:14,22 112:15 113:2,14 114:8,17,20 115:7,22 116:8 117:3 117:7,12,17 118:11 119:1,12 120:3,7,7,19 120:21 121:7,9,20 122:2,4,8,14,17,19 123:19,21 124:1,4,5,8 124:17,18 125:5 126:2,6 127:4,8,17 128:14 129:18 130:3 130:3,7,10,11,12,16 130:16,19,20,20 131:2,2,6,6,10,10,14 131:14,18,18,22,22 132:4,4,11,11,15 133:5 134:6,10,19,20 135:3,10,11,16 136:1 136:7 137:5,5,8,10,13 137:16,22 138:3,8,12 138:14,18,21 139:8 139:13,19,21,22 140:5,12,14,17 141:3 141:8,16,19 142:2 143:3 148:11,21 150:3,6,12 151:3,12 153:5 154:15 155:13 156:12,14,19,22 161:18 163:19 164:11 164:22 165:12,18,20

232:12 247:11 261:6

165:20 168:16 171:21 172:9 173:8 174:10 174:15,18,19 175:7,7 177:1,14 180:13 182:17 184:17 185:1 185:9,21 190:13 193:3,11,11 194:17 195:13 196:19 211:12 214:7,15 228:8,15 236:7 267:20 283:18 300:14 302:5,5 303:8 303:10.14 MPAA's 22:8.11 25:15 29:16 30:16 34:3.21 105:5 110:3 114:10 115:5 117:22 119:13 121:11 124:12 141:21 150:1 154:16 170:14 181:14 193:1 194:20 195:3.15 203:18 213:19 214:3 298:22 303:5 MPAA-represented 24:4 112:7 113:22 115:12,14 127:22 128:10 135:11 156:4 184:3 197:14 MPAA-S-09 179:19 MPAA-S-0922 180:1 MPAAOwnerTitle 121:17 muddled 92:11 multiple 124:2 244:2 murder 57:6 music 84:22

N 2:9,10,21 N-I-G-R-O 141:5 N.W 2:21 Nah 240:22 Naked 74:8 name 38:2 42:6 46:1 48:20 55:10 104:5,8 116:15,18 121:10,15 154:9 163:8 166:22 185:19 188:6 197:3 201:21 228:18 236:18 270:15,22 272:21,22 273:21 275:11 276:15 277:6,20 278:7 286:2

mythology 71:20

289:13 295:11 300:3 300:11 301:22 named 129:19 202:18

286:5 296:8 298:4

narrative 69:15 71:6 NAT 249:22

names 38:7.8.16

302:20

Nancy 137:20

263:21 287:4.8

Nathan 54:1 national 43:10.11 Nationality 250:1

Nativity 68:14 nature 41:1,1 45:13 49:6 80:4 81:11 83:12 89:20 93:14 100:7

170:14 near 155:20 nearly 105:2 118:4 necessarily 25:9 75:14 78:11 84:20 92:15 94:17 157:19 241:14

250:22 251:19 necessary 8:9 10:22 38:14 46:8 47:5,15 53:9 54:3,14 56:19 64:9 66:1 69:1 215:3

neck 205:14 need 7:14 10:17 12:7 12:12 16:14 89:7 139:2 142:12 143:21

215:6 250:20

149:2 187:14 199:4 237:8,10 247:19 309:1

needed 31:22 83:20 145:20 201:19 202:16 233:1

needles 225:1 needs 22:19 negative 170:6 neglected 308:4

neither 214:14 246:19 nervous 129:13 network 44:14 112:4 151:1

Networks 133:2 137:19 140:16,19 neutrality 68:2

never 107:22 143:2 165:15 173:15 174:2 174:3,4,22 184:13,21 185:14 186:6 191:2 199:5 257:1 275:7,16 296:14 297:2,3

306:13 new 44:1,8 78:6 102:21 188:5

newly 206:7

news 44:15 newspaper 42:17 newspapers 43:11 Nguyen 2:19 5:22 night 205:9 213:1 Nigro 141:5 non-fiction 42:16 47:3 non-team 113:2,4,15,19 noncommercial 306:3 noncompliance 39:11 nonleading 287:15 Nonnette 2:20 5:22

noon 136:12 normal 221:19 235:8 241:4 263:5 280:20

normally 129:1,4 North 288:20 290:13 Nos 3:9 4:2 110:22 134:6 148:21

notation 219:19 notations 274:12 note 90:19 205:15 noted 24:14

notes 8:18 23:10 58:1 notice 1:19 15:8,14 18:8 123:14 134:16

174:12,15,18 175:1 280:13 305:19 307:17

noticed 123:15 notices 4:13 174:19 280:11

notify 303:16 noting 134:3 289:5 novel 46:13 47:7

novels 43:17 44:3 53:11,12 91:12 93:6 93:10

November 201:1 211:19 212:22 213:3 284:2

nuance 77:19

number 34:10 43:21 44:2 66:8 115:1,2 149:19,22 150:2,22 161:21 168:1,3,3,6,9 176:7 179:20,22 180:12,13 201:14 206:20 207:10,13

209:12 211:6 223:20 230:2,3 234:3 237:5 246:11 247:8 267:13 267:14 276:14 289:7

301:14 304:17 numbered 128:13 222:9

numbering 229:8

numbers 33:12,19 100:13 112:19 126:6 128:16 181:14 211:20 212:5 222:3 266:15 283:8,16 284:3 numerous 32:17 211:22

NW 2:10,14

O 2:18 o'clock 309:13 **O-U** 178:13 Oaks 154:18,21 155:16 oath 137:1 object 39:15 56:2,4 99:6 101:11 125:6 145:10 146:5 160:13 160:17,21 170:2,9,22 175:10 181:22 186:22 189:11 190:20 202:9 203:1,12,20 204:4 212:6 221:12 226:18 244:15 265:13 284:3 284:6,15,21 objected 38:11 214:7

273:18 objecting 22:13 23:2,5 objection 16:21 17:10 18:19 19:21 20:11 22:19 30:10 35:22 51:14 52:15 55:17,20 57:20 58:15,19 60:21 61:1 100:19 101:5,13 101:15,18 102:3 110:15,17 113:9 120:22 121:2 122:9 122:10 125:18 133:9 133:13,19 134:3 142:6 144:17 147:6 151:5 160:2 167:1 168:21 170:7,8 181:19 182:9,12 184:7 185:3 190:19 204:1,1 207:17 211:11 213:19 214:1 214:3,11 216:12,17 218:22 219:1 220:21 223:13 224:5,20 235:12 237:11 241:19 258:22 259:6 260:15 263:1,12,13 264:2,21 266:2 272:3 274:4

281:2,3 282:4,5

283:22 295:15.16

298:15,16 303:22

304:16 306:14.16 objectionable 223:15 223:16 objections 21:19 22:10 25:17 26:1 28:3 29:14 29:16,19,21 30:1,3,14 193:3 204:12 284:20 objective 55:6 81:7 89:5,18 92:8,11 objectively 81:22 objects 57:16 obligation 129:6 observation 83:14 observe 77:19 99:13 125:8 obtain 184:18 211:1 218:9 220:10 256:19 obtained 168:18 obvious 166:19 obviously 49:1 163:10 166:5 207:13 215:9 269:14 occupation 42:10 occurred 27:8 occurring 293:16 occurs 152:4.7 October 59:21 304:1 odd 99:8 oddly 106:9 Odyssev 86:10 offense 112:22 offer 12:8 15:14 48:10 102:2 148:15 187:10 offered 18:1 189:12,14 244:13.15 253:5 278:18 offering 204:7 258:16 266:4 offhand 72:12 office 115:16 116:20 154:19 155:13 156:15 156:20 163:3 165:16 246:16 254:13 287:18 289:1 offices 7:2 106:9 290:21 official 8:12,13,16,18 8:22 9:4 44:22 oh 18:7 62:7 68:18 73:18 76:1 79:9 88:6 106:7 108:16 113:8 113:16 116:2 121:17 132:8 139:18 149:6 160:2 162:8 166:9 175:19 180:22 181:20

185:17 186:5 190:1 195:18 198:8 208:18 217:4 218:16 222:19 230:14 261:10 271:6 271:22,22,22 276:13 309:9 okay 8:1 11:8 12:20 14:9,11 15:5,22 16:22 17:1,8 18:12,15 20:1 20:10 21:15 22:2,11 22:14 23:12,16 33:13 41:6 43:1,8 45:6,13 46:22 49:12,19,21 50:10,19 51:4,21 56:8 56:12,13 60:5,9 61:16 62:10,16,21 63:14,19 64:21 66:1 70:14 73:19 74:7 75:3.9 77:7 78:22 88:15 94:4 96:4 97:1 101:1 107:9 108:4.17 120:6.8 128:20 129:2,6,12,17 130:2,5 137:3 138:13 144:1,6,10,20 146:21 151:13 156:13 158:10 159:13 161:19 166:17 169:11 178:1,21 179:8 181:9,16 182:13 183:20 188:1 190:7,9 191:11,19 192:5,11 193:13,19 194:3,12,19 198:10 204:14,20 208:1,2,7 208:11,20 209:5,14 209:22 210:4,9 216:22 218:16,19 223:11 224:6 228:10 230:20 231:14 233:15 237:2 245:2,3 248:21 249:16,22 259:8 268:2,6,8,13,14,20 273:7 274:21 276:19 277:3,4 278:9,21 299:19 304:3,8 **Olaniran** 2:18 3:3,12 5:18,19 6:4 23:18,19 30:19 31:6 40:16,18 41:4,11 42:4 45:5 48:9 57:18,19 59:3,5 59:14 60:15,18 61:5 61:10 62:10,11 69:19 75:21 86:19,20 98:7 98:11,22 99:21 120:13 192:14,17 197:22 198:2 308:1,9 308:14

old 43:22 44:8 139:5 **Olympic** 132:13 omit 308:4 on-going 308:12 once 9:14 35:17 82:1 85:5 128:8 149:1 193:8 236:2 ones 65:15 72:8 93:7 94:3 105:15 106:16 177:3 226:3 228:14 251:5 263:22 ongoing 37:21 open 7:12 12:2 opened 297:13 opening 9:13,15 22:9 23:17 37:9 83:18 222:1 opine 51:7 opinion 21:5 53:1.5 55:16 66:2 81:8.9 83:11 175:3 203:8 opponent 148:3 opportunity 10:11 24:21 102:13 214:4 215:2,19 226:17 258:10 opposed 23:3 38:7 51:1 53:22 84:14 90:9 99:9 235:14 opposes 253:11 opposing 101:22 215:1 order 8:4,6 12:8 24:15 39:7 54:15 57:21 62:2 62:6,7 66:14 81:21 85:6 119:11,17 120:15 122:6 125:10 125:14 133:16 135:14 188:16 202:13,16 203:12,15,19 211:13 211:14,18 254:4 281:14 284:4 298:17 298:19 299:8 ordered 10:22 211:14 244:17 orders 7:3 126:9 organization 48:4 106:9 organizational 25:18 32:1 organizations 48:6 105:11 organize 275:12

organized 11:11 33:7

original 11:3 47:15,18

origin 82:2

36:19 244:18 284:11

80:19 143:9,20 196:2 originally 19:15 176:16 233:3 258:2 Ormond 197:9 Oshita 197:9 203:5 ought 36:11 146:4 outdated 257:5 Outdoorsman 176:19 178:10,17 179:5 180:18 outline 9:15 193:14 outside 49:13 51:15 55:20 57:21 159:8,13 160:20 162:17 over-inclusive 32:5 overbroad 96:7 overhaul 149:2 overnight 308:11 overruled 58:19 170:7 214:3 304:17 oversee 154:11,12 overtly 38:19,21 80:18 91:6 93:14 overturn 82:10 overview 36:2 201:9 overwhelmingly 24:11 owned 168:12,19 169:18,19 254:5 256:15 owner 114:12 115:20 117:4,5 121:13 127:10 166:18 167:8 168:3,10 176:7,17 owners 113:15,17 128:1,11 ownership 240:17 241:10

P

P 2:12 230:8

P-101 229:4 233:2 P-102 230:1 P-103 231:15 233:20 P-104 239:2,20 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 5.1 p.m 7:5 136:15 213:13 213:14 252:21,22 309:17 Pacific 140:4,4,9 Paen 112:22 page 3:18 67:4,5 72:19 72:20,21 96:3 122:3 124:18 125:1 130:12 158:17 159:21 161:7 161:21,21 162:2,14

171:12 174:7 176:1,4 176:15 177:10,12 178:5 179:1,16,18,20 183:5,21 184:17 186:15,17 200:22 206:16,17 207:8 210:15 211:17,18 216:10 239:7 242:22 245:9 255:17 257:8 259:20 265:1,2,3,3,4 265:4,5,6,6,7,7,8,9,9 266:3,5,11,11,12,16 266:22 267:1.4.22 268:7,9,12,14,19,21 269:3,4 271:9,16,18 272:4 276:5.6 277:8 277:13 292:9 293:11 pages 11:9 33:17 72:18 158:19 159:22 161:5 161:8 183:6 212:1,14 212:20 214:8,22 215:15 219:16 225:6 225:21 232:19 236:3 238:18 239:5 243:1 258:13 263:19,19 264:13 265:20,21 266:6,13 267:5 270:8 270:13 paid 237:17 pain 205:14 pair 160:6 164:7 Panda 45:21 panel 26:16 Pants 141:5,6,7 paper 8:22 10:10,21,21 11:9 13:1 32:20 34:1 34:5 36:17 119:13 142:10 165:5,7,12,15 167:13 242:6 276:21 277:12 papers 144:9 165:1 paperwork 286:2 parable 69:14,15 80:22 90:15 paragraph 74:8 95:19 129:7,7 174:8,8 204:2 paragraphs 128:14,17 128:18 171:13,18 173:1 203:5 pardon 149:6 171:6 200:4 292:13 parenthetical 73:21 Park 66:13,16 part 13:18,18 15:6 19:14 29:15,18,20 54:19 70:21,22 71:11

72:15 79:11 97:17 98:3 99:1 127:17 141:20 187:10 193:22 212:7 224:12 233:12 250:9,15 251:14 271:13 285:13 286:16 286:16 300:14 303:5 307:14 partially 294:14 participate 27:1 102:14 142:19 143:9,10,20 144:2 147:20 149:21 150:15,22 288:3 295:4.9 particular 17:16 37:12 51:7 52:12 63:21 64:3 64:5 66:11 75:11,11 77:2 79:22 81:12 88:20 90:4 93:20 97:2 102:9 116:9 123:12 129:8 160:7 168:20 176:14 228:19 229:8 231:3 232:20 234:17 234:19 237:22 238:2 239:13 240:3 250:18 251:5 254:5,11,20 255:9 256:2,10,14 262:17,17,18 269:20 272:22 273:2,8 274:13 275:19 278:5 283:8,9,17 297:7 particularly 46:11 47:6 50:4,20 52:3 232:16 parties 8:4,7 9:6 11:12 38:11,12,16 129:10 129:19 145:13 146:2 184:3,19 215:1,19 217:5 220:2 221:6 232:16 260:4 269:10 281:17 285:22 291:15 293:3 308:21 Partners 231:17 parts 71:13 294:14 party 9:13 10:20 19:17 22:19 101:22 115:12 115:15 148:2 152:5,8 163:4 175:15 216:12 240:3 241:16 246:19 259:10 party's 23:3 pass 12:1 passed 67:2 308:6 309:11 Passion 53:22

pastors 90:14

Pause 188:13 190:6

224:8 245:4 pay 84:7 152:17 payment 240:6 pays 84:13 **PBS** 139:10 peck 33:16 peel 279:20 pending 260:11,16 people 22:12 50:6 68:20 71:20 78:5,13 78:15 81:17 83:17 85:18 86:4,9 88:4 91:21 147:16 158:19 222:19 278:16 281:19 perceive 85:18 percent 43:6 252:2 perform 165:22 performed 156:17 period 5:11,15 117:22 161:11 163:17 permissible 147:12 permit 148:6 203:13 permitted 12:5 35:17 203:20 perpetual 134:14,15,18 294:16 perpetuity 174:9 persists 37:17 273:11 person 68:9 80:2 88:6 99:10 286:5 personal 54:22 55:2,3 60:11 87:13 110:11 160:21 167:3,5 203:3 203:4 personally 174:3,4 187:22 287:1 personnel 289:1 290:20 perspective 31:14 pertaining 246:4 Peter 53:15 petition 3:20 142:19 143:20 144:2 147:19 149:21 150:14,22 petitions 27:1 295:3,9 **PGA** 113:7,8 132:2 Phase 1:5,7,10,12 3:20 5:13,15 17:12 28:14 143:5 149:22 150:15 174:17 175:9 **Philip** 132:6 philosophical 50:7 philosophy 71:19 Phoenix 44:7 287:10 photocopying 183:13 phrase 73:6 175:14

physical 232:8 physically 232:17 Pick 2:4 picture 2:17 5:19 6:2 23:19,22 86:2 104:15 294:8 295:6 piece 12:15 97:15 242:6 244:3 299:15 pieces 236:9 Pillsbury 2:10 Pittman 2:10 place 64:8 82:13 116:3 125:10 154:16,18 155:12 158:18 placed 83:2 270:5 placeholder 37:14 plan 11:14 33:21 planned 9:11 playing 8:17 145:21 pleadings 105:22 please 5:3,17 21:16 41:16 42:1,6,7,20 59:22 67:19 89:15 104:1,7,9 109:17 121:15 124:16 128:19 130:2 139:3 150:19 189:20 199:3 202:2 207:7 213:15 217:13 219:8,15 235:15 238:20 246:22 252:19 253:1 255:20 261:6 262:6,9 265:17 279:5 279:19 281:9 283:1 297:22 301:19 306:17 pleased 6:19 plot 70:13,14,16 Plovnick 2:19 3:6 5:22 15:6,20 17:1,6,9 18:10,14 20:21 21:10 40:20 98:19 99:17 101:1 102:12 103:2 103:10,16 104:3,5 108:7 110:13 111:1,2 113:10,13 120:20 121:8 122:7,15 125:4 125:19,20 126:17 127:5,7 129:20 133:4 134:1,8 136:16,18,20 142:1,16 143:19 145:5,7 147:7 148:13 149:8,14,18 150:11 151:13 152:18 153:6 153:17 160:2,12,16 167:1 168:21 170:2,9 175:10 179:18.22 180:9,13,22 184:7

184:2

186:22 187:9 188:22 189:10 190:19 194:1 196:16 197:16 198:4 202:9,11 207:2 208:22 209:3,5,12,16 210:5,9 211:10 213:1 216:8,9,19 218:22 220:22 222:21,22 223:5,8,11 224:10 225:3 235:12 236:16 238:10,11 241:6 244:14 247:6 257:20 257:22 258:21 263:12 272:18 273:14 275:3 275:18 276:11,14 277:7,10,18 278:4 281:2 282:4 283:21 295:15 298:15 299:7 303:10.11.17 304:9 Plovnick's 237:11 264:21 plug 95:3 podium 145:6 point 12:17 16:17,18 20:21 34:21 41:7 52:8 73:5,12 74:2,22 94:12 95:9,10 118:10,11 119:10 126:20 133:17 148:17 173:1 182:6 186:6 193:1 200:15 217:20 221:20 227:9 232:10 240:10 248:8 259:3 267:18 278:14 287:2 293:12 299:22 300:19,20 305:14,18 306:1 307:5,10 308:19 pointed 19:2 233:11 303:2 points 12:10 Policy 104:19 105:5 polloi 81:11 Pompeii 53:16 93:8 poor 257:14 pop 43:16 portion 118:4 133:11 190:21,22 213:21 portions 267:20 position 19:11 25:12 34:21 35:12 36:3 81:13 104:17,21,22 146:13,14 153:20 199:14 300:22 possession 9:1 possibility 214:19,20 possible 22:18 55:7

146:9 214:17 possibly 105:20 151:22 191:1 post-hearing 32:10 post-term 294:16 potential 23:4 39:2 291:15 power 101:21 practice 39:4,10 practicing 107:11 pre-consultant 45:8 pre-dated 191:5 preacher 90:18 **precise** 157:11 precisely 224:20 271:15 predecessor 107:17 predecessors 38:4 107:16,21 prefer 205:17 preference 215:9 pregnant 170:6 prejudice 212:18 214:16 267:15 prejudices 39:12,14 preliminaries 258:17 **preliminary** 5:10 20:22 201:16 premarked 59:16 108:12,18 120:3,7 121:20 123:21 124:4 124:17 130:3,11,15 130:20 131:1,5,9,13 131:17,21 132:3,10 132:14 133:5 136:7 137:5,16 138:2,13,21 139:12,22 140:13 141:2 150:2 premature 234:7 preparation 61:16 66:21 166:4 prepare 167:9 195:21 201:3 210:21 280:7 prepared 165:17 228:5 288:4 Presbyterian 47:10 54:7 presence 57:3 64:11,12 78:2 present 6:1 28:8 44:6 54:13 78:4 82:2 113:1 146:16,20 presentation 8:4,6 24:15,16,18,20,22 25:2,5,14,20,21 26:10

193:2,3 194:2 presented 34:7 35:8,9 221:5 presenting 36:4,8 37:19 145:14 215:12 presently 199:9 Presents 44:21 President 37:20 45:9 104:18 139:5,16 140:3.9 presumably 84:8 179:9 231:21 240:6 presume 188:1 230:3 presumption 26:11 28:5,6,8 36:6,7,13 pretty 30:22 36:19 47:22 106:19 222:7 254:7 previews 83:16 previous 184:17 200:14 255:11 previously 17:18 20:14 136:21 141:20 146:1 216:10 220:14 230:17 primarily 16:6 35:12 63:2 78:11 80:4 81:9 81:16 82:16 83:11 primary 78:3 85:13 princess 71:21 Princeton 47:9 55:10 90:1 principal 154:16,18 print 270:6,12 printed 165:11 266:13 printout 255:18 266:11 printouts 254:6 prior 46:9 62:13 107:9 123:3 166:4 185:5 215:5 220:17 264:8 297:15 303:16 private 107:14 probably 10:7 34:18 41:5 159:3 180:16 183:15 224:16 233:3 234:6 300:7 problem 7:20 problematic 289:17 problems 255:1 procedurally 99:11,12 proceed 38:15 99:16 189:15 217:8 282:19 proceeding 5:13,16 10:19 17:12,16 18:22 20:7 21:1 24:9 26:5.9 26:12 27:2 28:15.17 31:18,20 35:5 39:3

46:16 58:13 60:7 61:12 62:19 109:4,13 112:16 117:14 119:8 119:10 122:5 123:3,7 124:14 126:5,8 135:12,16 142:9,15 142:20 143:3,4 144:15 145:14 148:6 167:15 174:17 195:10 195:14 210:20 215:5 215:7 216:14 223:15 224:18,22 229:9 235:4 242:3 264:5,8 264:14 267:2,3 269:20 279:19 296:20 proceedings 3:15 27:19 34:16 38:13 40:3 57:11 63:1 107:15 109:2 111:5 122:21 136:15 137:11 141:12,16 143:11 149:22 150:15 166:5 175:8 193:1 201:17 218:2 220:14,15,18 222:16 232:4 233:8 233:19 235:2 248:15 261:3 262:3 263:14 266:2 291:3,7,7,9 292:2 295:10 296:18 296:22 297:7 process 116:10 117:8 117:13 118:6 154:14 161:16 164:6 processes 165:22 Processing 163:6 produce 39:6 126:3 143:17 202:17 211:14 222:17 244:17 264:14 276:17 281:17 284:10 295:3 299:8,15 produced 29:1 30:6 69:13 77:4,5,5 100:6 100:12 101:10,22 126:5 143:2 147:14 167:13 202:14,20 211:5,12 212:8 213:5 213:20 220:13,15,17 222:15 223:18,22 224:17 229:21 233:4 235:2,3 255:3 258:3 266:19,22 267:6 272:20 273:5,20 274:1 275:2 277:11 280:14 284:1,8,16 296:9 298:17,19 producer 84:13 250:19

28:3 29:15,18 133:19

254:10 256:6 producers 2:2 6:7 24:1 44:15 48:21 67:14 84:7 105:9,17 106:2,3 112:18,21 199:12 201:13 210:19 256:2 307.22 producing 164:18 product 254:2 production 13:12.13 97:14 212:11 214:17 224:12 254:10 257:6 270:10 284:4 Productions 38:1 77:6 285:10,13,16 286:13 287:13,14,16 288:1,5 professional 8:8 48:4 professor 89:22 90:4 91:3 proficient 47:5 profoundly 79:12 program 4:11 5:20 23:21 24:4,8,12,15,19 46:21 48:12 52:6 57:10 61:15 63:5 66:11 67:13 68:9 73:10,20 74:13,17 75:5 76:16 77:2,9,12 77:19 81:12 82:16 83:9 89:2 90:20 95:12 95:16 97:2,5,22 117:17 118:5,17 119:2 186:17 197:14 228:7,11,13 239:17 243:20 254:11 256:15 260:4 262:17,19 270:18 programing 39:1,5,6 programmers 35:6 programming 39:8 44:19 58:8 62:18,22 63:2 64:20 82:3 89:19 91:4,11 112:1,4 113:19 139:10,11 159:10 163:17,19,21 220:4 237:12,14 243:11 255:9 256:10 256:17 270:14 288:20 programs 32:15 35:2 44:16,17 46:20 51:8 57:10 61:14,21 62:17 76:9 77:1,14 82:5 84:7,17,19 88:17,19 90:5 92:15,16 96:5 105:6 111:8,9,19 116:12,22 156:8,9

157:8.18 158:3.20.22 160:7 161:22 167:14 177:13,15 186:20 230:13,16 231:5 234:20 240:1 245:16 245:18 248:7,7,16,17 251:20 254:4 260:7 261:4.5 262:21 271:2 274:13 progression 70:12,14 70:16 Progressive 85:3 prohibition 90:9 92:12 projects 44:14 promoting 64:3 promulgate 102:21 proper 221:11 225:2 properly 40:6 76:15 235:22 properties 168:20 property 45:17 46:5,6 86:14 87:15 168:10 proposed 8:4 proposition 252:12,15 proprietary 307:20 308:13 prosecute 35:16 175:8 proselytic 63:11,16,20 63:21 64:16,19 96:20 proselytizes 52:8 proselytizing 96:13 prospectively 293:14 protective 125:10,14 133:15 prove 32:18 provide 35:1 115:22 156:21 164:10 172:1 220:3 256:20 258:12 259:9 304:4 309:1 provided 3:15 8:12 10:9 45:14 60:3,6 61:20,22 63:4 64:21 65:1,13,17 77:1 137:13,19 166:14 184:5 191:9 230:17,17 231:10 250:8 261:1 277:22 provides 102:17 171:20 251:15 providing 63:3 119:13 120:16 300:20 provision 134:16,17

174:12 175:5

provisions 127:21

152:21

provisionally 148:8,10

128:9 183:22 184:16 proviso 215:1 **Psalm** 68:6 **PTV** 139:10 PTY 301:11 302:1 public 3:11 7:13 15:8,9 15:12,17 16:6,8 18:5 19:5,6 39:14 102:22 published 18:21 43:2 44:4 93:20 publishing 43:8 131:8 pull 143:21 pulling 164:17 232:12 punch 180:15 181:1 punching 11:9 punish 88:8 punt 12:6 purchased 82:15 84:19 94:15 95:11 **purely** 67:10 purport 77:8,8 purported 27:14 77:4 197:11,12 247:22 purporting 148:3 263:16 274:3 purports 123:13 147:16 purpose 240:20 278:15 291:5 purposes 7:17 145:3,4 148:15 150:4 261:3 270:9,12 purses 83:19 pursuant 1:19 120:15 122:5 243:10 298:17 298:19 pursue 143:14 **pursued** 201:12 put 11:5 27:14 50:6 97:12 144:9 156:2,9 159:14 170:15,16,18 182:4 193:9,10,12 194:18 201:18 206:14 215:11 218:3 234:21 237:19 261:13 270:21 273:13 274:20 275:10 275:13,17 304:6 putting 68:21 232:9,10 232:10 270:15 307:16 Puzzle 69:5

qualifications 51:19

qualify 57:22 58:3 59:1

qualified 58:15

qualifiers 71:15

60:2

88:14 quantify 88:14 question 16:7 24:10 36:8 49:20,20 52:21 52:22 54:18,20 55:9 56:9,10 58:5 73:15 82:21 84:5 85:12 86:13 94:8,14 116:7 153:7 160:3 171:1 187:4,8,13,14,15,15 190:22 233:5 237:7 244:1 247:3 260:11 260:15 265:16 287:15 300:5 305:5 questionable 29:2 30:7 questioned 123:4 182:1 questioning 81:6 302:3 **questions** 51:19 54:16 58:12 69:20 86:17 87:4 117:11 122:19 151:14 194:4,17 196:12 197:17 290:1 quick 12:9 quickly 16:3 20:4 128:9 130:9 226:11 quite 34:5 47:19 99:12 119:3 165:4 232:7 257:4 Quo 53:14 93:7 quotes 69:16,17 quoting 68:5

R 1:21 132:6 R-A-U-L 202:3 R-O-V 42:8 race 78:16 radio 54:9,10 82:3 91:13 92:16 raise 133:8 224:5 272:19 raised 17:17 19:19 26:3 122:19 123:9 172:17 273:18 295:1 Raul 3:8 6:8 37:12 198:16,18 202:3 reach 47:1 reached 47:2 61:17 reaching 61:16 read 16:9 47:15 48:22 56:16 57:2 128:5,19 129:16 167:7 190:4,5 193:14 259:3 reader 225:6 reading 56:20 67:9 74:3 ready 5:7 41:9 181:10

204:17 reaffirms 79:21 real 86:2 286:4 realize 302:2 309:10 realized 69:7 83:18 212:12 really 31:12 33:2 51:5 66:14 79:15 85:20 92:17 96:18 97:13 101:22 128:20 129:13 284:14 293:11 reason 17:13 36:15 76:19,20 89:12 90:5 142:12 185:10 216:11 232:9 241:2 244:10 267:16 291:14 294:18 299:4 reasons 17:14 32:1 143:12 rebut 28:8 rebuttal 13:16 15:7 19:9 19:12,14 25:4 30:15 34:18 37:6 39:21 59:20 99:2 123:10 141:21 170:15 187:10 188:18 189:4 193:8 193:10,10,12 194:2 203:13.16.18 228:8 299:1 304:2 Rec'd 3:9 4:2 recall 7:7 55:11 57:13 72:10.12 161:13 172:8 190:15 191:15 191:17.19 192:1.6.7 192:10.12.16 197:1 249:5 296:20 299:14 305:21 306:22 recalling 215:4 receive 165:8,8 245:13 received 11:6 18:8 20:16 61:8 99:5 103:14 107:2 110:21 121:6 122:13 126:11 127:3 134:5 135:20 148:10,20 151:11 153:4 159:7,8,8 174:18,19 175:1 182:16 191:3,16,17 219:5 227:5 231:4 234:14 239:14 240:5 241:3 242:7,9 244:11 253:8 259:13 279:2 280:12 281:6 282:8 285:5 292:21 294:4 294:22 295:19 297:3 304:9,21 306:13

308:20.21 receiving 81:2 176:11 190:15 192:8 265:14 306:2 308:8 recess 7:8,9 41:7,9 136:12 213:10 309:11 recognize 207:11 217:15 280:4 298:1 recollection 249:14 305:22 reconvene 136:12 309:12 reconvening 215:6 record 5:5,8,17 10:4 16:7,8 17:19 19:5,7 20:3 41:13,14 42:6 48:5 60:14 104:6,9 119:20 128:19 130:9 133:13 136:6,15 137:15 149:16.17 166:20 213:13 218:12 252:21 253:14 258:22 260:20 283:21 284:5 309:17 recording 152:1,12 records 3:11 15:9,10,17 17:11 18:5 117:11,22 118:7 124:9 165:15 195:3,4,15 211:4 218:10 220:12 235:8 241:3 244:17 254:22 263:6.20 307:4.7 Recross 3:2 **RECROSS-EXAMINA...** 87:2 Red 68:12 redact 126:2 redacted 62:1,14 124:1 125:7,12,13 126:20 128:18 133:10,15 184:2,6,8 267:21 redaction 125:8,10 126:8,11,13,18 184:10 redactions 126:7 184:12 redeemer 80:16 redirect 3:2 86:20 98:7 196:14,15 refer 8:16 10:17 58:20 81:22 181:13 266:7

reference 46:4 79:1,3

223:12,16,21 229:6,7

231:16 239:3,5 248:5

254:22 255:15 273:4

286:2 289:6

referenced 202:20 222:10 233:1,13 243:1,18 258:7 263:22 275:20 276:20 305:11 references 64:14 74:18 202:14 242:2,5 255:10 292:5 referencing 223:10 230:11 referred 89:17 224:22 290:19 293:5 297:16 referring 87:7 136:4 292:11,15 298:8 refers 169:17 224:10 248:10 reflect 208:15 reflected 157:20 288:17 290:15 refused 309:4 regard 19:8,19 31:16 34:4,7,20 35:11 47:2 72:17 81:11 96:2 100:19 101:13 111:22 145:9 156:2 167:21 169:16 171:12 172:10 172:11 184:22 192:2 193:3 235:20 254:8,9 257:5 260:7 265:20 280:19 296:21 regarding 4:10 18:20 220:3 224:1,14 229:17 260:4 263:15 279:18 register 18:21 246:2 registered 38:2 204:3 registrations 254:12 regret 214:15 regularly 288:22 regulate 40:11 regulation 147:7 regulations 99:18 102:10 147:8,8,12 regulatory 149:2 regurgitate 26:3 rehash 37:6 reinterpreted 78:6 reiterate 264:21 rejected 205:3 relate 17:15,19 18:8 33:20 232:3 233:10 related 17:11 38:1 117:12 183:22 202:17 233:6 235:13 264:3 299:8.12 300:15 303:18

relating 211:15 relation 71:21 222:3 **Relations** 104:19 105:5 relationship 231:11 290:9 306:5 308:12 relationships 105:8 release 249:21 307:21 released 254:18 280:14 relevance 16:6,13,17 17:2 142:10 221:9 225:3 235:12,17 238:16 241:18 253:4 264:3 relevant 17:14 18:6 19:20 37:16 90:19 94:3,21 111:20 115:17 119:22 144:16 158:18 225:7 232:1 233:18 244:7 267:21 283:9 298:22 religion 44:6 49:4 52:12 66:16 71:18,20,22 75:11 89:4 91:8,17 92:21,22 religious 43:20,20 44:19 49:15,16 50:1,8 51:1 63:22 64:14 66:12,13 73:5 74:2,22 75:7,12 80:2 81:16 88:5 89:2,20 91:6 92:10,20,20 93:14 96:19 religious-themed 63:2 religiously-themed 89:1 90:6,22 91:4 92:9 relocated 287:10 rely 28:10 remainder 309:12 remained 82:11,13 remaining 287:8 remake 47:21 remarks 30:18 remember 33:14 79:11 185:19 220:16 231:3 remembered 197:2 remind 9:14 259:18 reminds 67:12 remuneration 106:4,5 renders 133:16 renewing 298:16 rep 303:19 repeat 73:14 repertoire 163:20

report 60:6 62:13 63:3

reply 135:21

72:18 73:9 89:10 95:14 236:17 reported 118:3 Reporter 6:16 201:22 Reporters 128:4 reports 3:14 119:22 167:11 281:13 represent 5:20 27:2.11 27:17 76:6 105:9 112:15.17 113:2.4.16 114:14,17,18,20,22 115:7 123:13 129:18 140:11 147:16 148:4 158:2 166:21 184:20 194:10 236:10,13,14 238:1 252:14 269:10 299:9 representation 3:16,18 3:19 4:7 28:20 29:2 33:8 115:5,18 122:17 123:5 124:1,5 127:18 127:19 133:20 134:19 135:6 137:10 171:9 171:15 173:3 174:15 174:20 175:1 185:22 194:20 195:2,5,7,11 195:14,19,22 197:6 202:17 210:18 211:15 211:22 212:1 217:21 229:12,20 242:22 243:2 245:6 246:10 248:9 253:13 291:17 291:22 297:6 303:19 representational 26:15 representations 115:1 215:18 representative 40:2 105:17 114:13 115:20 117:5 141:5 230:13 262:4 296:8 represented 4:8,9 23:20 65:6 109:20 115:3 123:18 128:1 128:11 135:9,10,15 137:21 138:8,11,12 138:18 139:8,19 140:5,12,17 141:7,16 177:6 185:21 197:8 210:20 217:22 219:22 220:7 239:13 242:11 252:16 254:5,21 260:6,8 261:2 262:10 269:21 271:4 274:16 288:19 296:19 307:18 representing 106:1,2 116:17 145:1 226:19

235:22 236:12 represents 29:3 113:14 122:19 134:21 222:2 237:22 242:4 252:17 292:1 301:5 reproduced 266:5 reputation 118:18 request 15:8.13 165:21 166:14 172:4 requested 59:2 196:20 260:8 require 57:21 80:10 215:19 249:17 308:22 required 48:1 126:9 281:17 284:10 requirement 58:1 requirements 115:11 116:3 requires 58:20 71:14 79:10 80:11 147:4 requiring 38:6 119:12 Rescue 112:22 research 57:5 243:19 254:3 researching 56:16 resemble 159:6 reserve 198:4 Resnick 163:8 resolution 12:1 resolve 151:21 246:2 resort 275:14 respect 21:19 37:5 81:8 102:4 214:20 246:3 271:8 respective 116:22 205:16 respectively 111:10 140:3 respond 142:17 193:9 221:14 235:15 274:7 274:16 276:8 responded 214:12 270:2,22 306:10 respondent 277:20 responding 19:9 193:1 213:18 295:6 responds 274:11 response 23:13,15 53:19 55:9 57:19 94:7 119:17 135:11 170:14 172:16 228:8 270:11 291:8 297:2,3,9 308:15 responses 58:5 100:17 207:9 262:10 responsibilities 105:3

responsibility 21:14 250:16 286:18 responsive 19:11 24:22 299:5 304:12 rest 67:18 193:8,11 227:14 resting 36:13 restricted 125:9 279:8 279:18 restrictive 282:12 restroom 40:21 result 37:22 264:8 results 120:17 resumed 213:13 252:21 resuming 15:2 resurgence 93:6 retained 166:6 243:3 reticent 103:4 retired 118:22 retirement 156:22 retold 68:13 retransmission 105:18 106:4 141:11,14 158:14 246:4 248:12 262:6 285:21 292:2 retransmitted 111:16 114:5 250:12 251:6 251:21 269:18 retrieve 124:12 return 25:19,21 39:2 84:21 274:12 returned 25:4 returning 81:3 83:21 revealed 308:4 reverential 73:2,21 reversing 208:18 review 61:18 62:12 72:8 72:13 76:10,12 187:18,20 190:14 reviewed 66:21 67:7 72:6 89:10 123:11 177:2 296:10 reviewing 12:18 32:13 77:11 191:20 296:13 revisit 152:2 revoking 294:14 297:10 297:10 revolves 35:12 rid 120:5 ridiculous 88:13 right 16:19 22:5 23:6 32:19 34:9 35:1 36:9 36:15 49:2 59:7 62:16 65:12,22 72:19 77:6 79:2 85:15,21 90:2 94:19 103:10 108:17

117:10 129:21 135:7 141:13 142:1,7 149:7 149:12 159:1 167:21 171:20.21 172:5,10 173:6 175:7 178:8 179:13 180:8 183:7 183:16 186:8,9 192:18 194:22 195:1 196:22 197:16 198:5 199:20 200:18 202:11 207:5,10,18 209:3 219:14 223:1,6 228:13 229:10,15,22 241:10,16,21 245:2 249:19 253:22 256:12 257:4 259:21 261:10 261:21 265:1,4 266:1 269:1 275:11 276:20 277:9 278:4,9,21 281:11 293:22 306:12 right-hand 239:9 righthand 301:21 rights 29:11 39:13 104:19 105:4 116:17 175:8 177:4,6 185:9 235:19 237:16 241:7 245:17,17 248:11,13 254:9,10 256:4 285:20 288:20 294:5 ring 197:3 ripe 91:17 rise 91:20 rising 88:4 roadmap 31:1 Roman 91:22 Ron 139:1 room 1:15 23:7 199:1 251:8 root 34:21 Ross 37:20 roughly 27:8 43:6 45:12 rounded 115:2 rounds 146:1 Rovin 3:3 25:6 40:19 41:19 42:5.8 48:10.18 57:16 58:15.22 59:6 59:15,20 61:11 69:18 70:4 76:5 98:12 Rovin's 25:14 58:4 royalties 3:13,14 24:12 29:12 35:2 105:18 109:15 112:4 116:9 123:4 141:11,14 156:16 235:13,14 240:7 241:11,12,13 241:16 246:5 248:13

251:18 285:21 306:2 306:15 royalty 1:1,6,11,22 5:11 5:14 38:5 105:6 107:18,20 109:6,21 109:22 111:11,12,15 111:15 114:1,4,8,11 114:19 115:17 116:5 117:13 119:5.5.7.11 120:1,19 129:8 147:11 150:16.18 157:20 158:14 161:13 162:19 163:6 165:4 174:16 188:6 262:6 264:5 268:10 269:2,4 269:13 273:1 royalty-generating

270:1

rule 38:9,11,15 57:20 58:19 74:12 101:7 103:7 163:18 214:2 265:13

ruled 126:1 163:21 299:14

ruler 88:4 rules 23:10 35:18 38:5 38:18 39:11 58:2 102:21 111:18 146:22

154:13,14 267:10 ruling 11:14 215:11

run 67:18 199:18 running 32:20

S 132:17

S-0922 180:14 S-A-F-A 140:16 S-A-U-N-D-E-R-S .

104:11 Safa 140:15

safe 233:17

Saga 44:5

Saint 53:22

sales 93:6 sanction 196:4

Sanders 153:16

Santafication 68:19

satellite 1:11 3:14 5:14

13:8,20 109:15 111:5 111:20,22 112:4,13 116:5 117:16 142:18 142:20 150:16,18

158:1,13,14 248:12

250:12 285:21 292:2

satellite-only 112:9

294:11 295:10

Saunders 3:5.12.13 6:1 25:15 103:17.19 104:4.11 106:14 108:4 111:3 117:10 121:9 122:16 127:8 128:3,13 129:22 130:2 134:9 135:7 136:17,19,21 138:20 141:17 150:12 151:2 153:17,18 160:13,18 167:2 178:15 189:20 190:20 193:17 194:2 194:5,9 196:17 198:5 198:6 234:15 238:14

save 204:9 saved 275:22

saw 54:12 86:10,10 123:9 191:22 298:22 saying 27:16 54:21

71:10 73:7,9 74:5,17 75:15 79:7,19 81:14 87:9 88:10,12,19 92:17 96:1,10,11,14

97:3 101:1 103:7 146:3 225:10,19

236:11 237:15 262:20 272:1 273:10 275:3 278:16 294:9,13

306:10 308:22

says 72:22 74:8 81:11 86:4 99:4 100:14 144:12 147:9 158:17 168:9 176:6 183:22

206:17,18 207:8 208:9 210:2,11,12

229:3 230:13,17 239:9 246:11 247:14

247:15 266:11 268:3 268:11 274:22 277:3

302:5 303:9 scanned 257:19

schedule 12:15

scheduled 11:18 scheduling 8:9 203:12

203:15.19 schemes 37:22

scholar 47:10 54:7

55:10 56:5 **Scholer 287:7,8** school 57:2 80:1,2

107:4 schools 50:18 91:19

Science 48:7 scope 51:15 55:21

Scopes 91:18 score 21:18 146:9 scores 267:20 screen 95:5

Screenrights 3:16 124:21 127:9,11,12

162:9 167:17 171:9 171:22 172:1,10,11 172:13.19 176:18 177:2.2.3 181:12

183:5.11

scriptural 69:16 74:11 scripture 52:9 64:2

67:14 68:18 90:15 91:1

scum 278:18

SD 1:11 150:1

SDC 20:9 22:12 35:15 35:21 58:14 101:16 102:13 147:6 203:22

SDC's 34:4,13 35:11 se 1:17 91:11 249:14

search 273:13 seat 253:17

seated 5:4 41:16 42:1 104:1 213:15 253:1

second 29:15,18 89:15 133:2 161:21 162:14

171:6 183:10 186:15 186:16 205:7 224:7 243:15 266:4,11 267:5 268:9 270:16

270:21 271:10 274:14 275:11 292:6 secretary 141:6 287:2

secrets 86:11 Section 13:17 112:5 147:9

sections 111:21 sector 107:14 secular 52:10 67:22

95:7

secularized 74:12 secured 281:16 285:20 see 9:22 14:10 16:13

19:10 20:19 21:8 23:4 27:5,12,20 33:19 47:17 59:17 66:14 68:20 73:16 76:22 77:18 80:6 86:5,8 95:9,14 96:19 102:3 126:6 135:3 142:10

143:21 147:21 149:1 161:8 163:13 167:8

167:17,21 168:5 176:8 177:11 178:15 178:16 179:5,6,7

203:19 222:7 236:17

236:18,19 238:14 239:8 241:17 242:1 248:4 253:2 254:22 266:10.16.17 270:17 272:21 276:13.19 284:11 303:8

seeds 54:11 seeing 299:21 seek 55:6 102:21 173:6

seeking 146:6,12 seeks 44:5

seen 31:2 108:21 120:9 121:22 123:6 136:1

189:1 257:2,3

segment 102:9 segregated 93:17 self-evident 90:13

send 12:22 160:7,9 164:12 277:1 291:2 307:17

sending 75:10 262:20 293:2

Senior 104:18 sense 70:7 80:8 201:17

205:20 206:22 232:19 250:18 sent 14:3 157:1,3,4,14

157:17 162:8,18 169:8 177:1 186:14 212:12 274:9,14 293:13 308:5

sentence 73:16 74:8,15 96:5

separate 70:12 93:9,17 164:12 247:20 series 24:1 72:16

113:19 135:20 136:11 164:22 217:19 234:13 248:7 249:14.15

serve 10:6 172:21 225:21 305:18

service 251:14 254:15 255:8

services 166:2 session 279:20 sessions 6:20 set 31:13 69:3 93:12

227:13 301:9 **Settling** 2:7 6:11 9:22 10:1 76:7 194:10

214:20 seven 48:6 shape 196:4 share 24:12 **sharing** 308:14

Shaw 2:10

Sheen 82:12 sheet 301:22 Shepherd 68:5 Sherman 154:18,21 155:16 shopping 38:20 short 12:10 13:3 72:22 show 9:16 13:9 26:14 26:18 20 27:21 28:13 29:1.20.22 30:2.5.9 37:11 44:21 70:5 83:10 95:18 96:9 145:4 241:8 267:15 showed 302:9 shown 37:13 84:18 99:20 shows 17:17 113:19 241:15.17 250:12 shutdown 12:5 side 51:2 88:8 sides 12:11 **Sigmund** 56:15 sign 117:2 158:19 279:20 signatory 183:3 signature 125:1 158:18 301:12 signed 132:17 243:4 292:15 294:7 297:11 297:18 300:21 301:1 306:20 significance 64:6 176:11 255:6 significant 29:22 257:1 305:16 signor 279:17 Silberberg 2:20 silent 47:18 53:17 80:20 similar 34:14 130:6 145:12 178:2 263:13 simple 70:12,14 185:12 225:17 232:5 240:10 248:8 300:19 simply 31:14 99:3,13 100:3,14 102:1 145:5 147:2 183:12 196:1 205:15 212:15 240:13 240:14 248:1 275:22 278:19 297:16 Sing 77:13 single 24:5 247:22 271:4 275:16 299:15 sinners 81:3 sir 48:19 49:7 59:18

65:19 72:12 89:13 92:13 sit 36:20 106:6 six 9:8 112:19 167:22 size 233:4 273:3.9.21 275:4 276:16 278:7 sizes 200:16 263:21 skip 227:9.11 slam-dunk 64:11 slippery 70:9 71:9,11 slog 102:10 slowly 128:20 129:1 small 112:20 229:3 smaller 233:9 Smith 66:10 sneak 9:3 so-called 29:5 societies 237:17 246:13 society 53:10,19 124:21 176:18 183:4 245:14 246:17 sold 44:13 soldiers 70:19 91:22 sole 172:7 solely 43:4 142:5 solicitations 293:3 solicited 4:12 217:22 261:2 291:16 soliciting 218:6 Somebody 71:3 someone's 23:5 somewhat 71:12 79:10 84:1 266:20 294:6 soon 212:12,13 222:7 sorry 29:17 40:20 62:4 65:3,5 72:20,21 73:13 76:1 82:22 106:8 113:10 114:13 121:17 129:12,13,17 132:8 133:21 134:16 138:10 140:7 141:10 152:2 156:10 160:3 162:1,3 177:20 179:2 180:5 181:1,2,11 186:8 187:18 199:18 200:10 207:16 208:21 209:10 218:18 219:11 223:11 247:1 257:17 260:10 261:7 304:15 309:13 sort 37:8 42:17 71:15 94:20 102:11 164:6 172:1 232:4 254:16 278:2 sorts 268:10

66:12 88:18 source 56:6 sources 54:6 South 66:13,16 Southern 85:3 Space 86:10 **Spanish** 106:2.17 speak 42:19 106:13.16 106:17.18 128:5 129:1,4 speaking 109:3 128:9 129:14 specials 24:2 113:20 specific 13:4 34:3 35:2 36:22 52:8 73:5,12 74:2,21 82:9 105:14 115:6 116:12 157:17 161:13 197:2 203:5 222:3 226:18 235:20 236:4 238:18 248:15 specifically 46:5 61:11 72:19 84:22 124:16 147:9 156:10 171:13 173:4 202:21 220:13 222:12 223:19 228:8 228:16 234:2 242:16 247:13 250:17 264:4 270:14 288:10 306:4 308:22 specifics 184:19 spectacle 47:20 79:4 spectrum 54:15 speculate 170:3 speculation 168:22 203:2 speed 10:12 spell 42:7 104:8 139:2,3 201:21 spelled 255:5 spend 193:15 251:17 spending 12:4 **spends** 252:1 **spent** 307:15 spiritual 68:21 93:10 94:18 spirituality 50:5,22 68:2 81:20 splendid 88:11 spoke 306:21 sponsor 84:15 99:22 sponsored 84:14 sponsoring 99:7,14,15 99:19 100:22 101:2 145:12 sports 113:3,4,15,19 spotty 35:7

spreadsheet 4:15 12:22 13:22 14:3 33:6 37:7 39:22 231:5 270:4,6,7 271:1 274:12 283:22 Stableboy's 69:2 stack 11:6 258:9 stacked 165:1 **stacks** 165:5 staff 11:8 118:22 124:15 stamp 100:13 126:6 176:5 179:22 181:14 207:10 211:6.20 212:4 222:3,9 223:20 225:16,16 230:3 231:16,20 247:8 276:14 283:8,16 284:3 289:7 stand 80:1 103:17 198:21 249:1,20 standard 63:10 135:5 147:2 248:9 288:15 standards 79:14 stands 249:14 Star 35:13 start 7:15 8:2 22:3,7 24:20 25:6 123:20 133:22 261:21 started 7:11 21:22 94:13 269:11 278:13 starters 245:7 starting 10:13 91:13 183:1 199:15 293:14 starts 55:10 state 9:18 42:6 104:7,8 107:5,6 201:21 214:2 258:21 265:14 stated 214:16 286:4 statement 9:13,15 11:3 11:3,4 13:16 15:7 19:9,12,14 22:9 23:17 25:4 37:7,9 39:21 51:3 52:19 99:2.3 123:10 141:21 144:12 145:5 147:19 203:18 222:1 265:19 299:1 304:2 statements 26:18 87:7 203:16 states 11:20 91:17 105:7 106:10 132:13 174:8 269:15 291:11 stating 14:21 Station 77:14 stay 98:13 178:22 step 51:10 198:11

sounds 50:10 54:21

sterling 118:18 stern 308:17 Sternberg 2:13 6:14 stick 279:21 sticking 8:6 80:14 stipulate 209:7 259:2 stone 23:10 stop 129:21 stopped 307:5 309:3 stories 52:12 81:18 story 52:11 70:5,7 75:17 79:2 81:3 88:3 97:18 98:3 storyteller 70:11 straightened 290:2 straightforward 35:19 straining 186:10,12 stream 192:21 street 2:10,14,21 68:8,9 Strickler 1:21 38:21 79:6,18 81:5 82:14,21 83:7 84:3 89:14,17,22 90:2 91:2 92:7,14 93:19 94:4 180:11,16 181:3 206:5,10,19 209:18 225:10,19 226:2,6,12,15 242:10 286:19 287:4 301:13 301:16 strike 29:17 185:4 305:4 string 308:4 stringent 89:6 strokes 96:8 strong 264:17 **Strong's** 56:18 strong-arm 27:13 strongly 52:9 53:18 stubborn 88:11 studied 50:3 80:1 studio 112:19.19 stuff 32:11 232:12 subject 46:7,15 55:1,2 57:17 117:7 **subjective** 72:1 79:19 81:7 subjectively 80:3 subjectivity 55:4 87:6 87:18 89:8 subjects 43:20 49:9 submissions 11:11 submit 10:20 102:1,22 196:2 240:19 287:22 submits 10:20 submitted 32:6 49:1 99:19 100:7 117:3

137:10 140:2 219:19 219:21 220:1 248:1 300:14 submitting 10:8 subpage 272:4 subpoena 101:21 Subsections 13:17 subsequent 119:5 subsequently 165:6 subsets 85:2 subsidiary 289:19 290:13 **Subsidy** 2:2 199:11 242:2 246:1 301:10 substantial 52:2 substantiation 173:7 subtitled 53:13 subtly 9:17 successfully 28:8 succumbed 55:4 suck 23:4 sufficient 59:1 95:15 96:8,11 sufficiently 69:11 suggest 204:18 suggested 204:21 suggesting 246:16 suggests 55:3 **Suite** 2:14 **summary** 33:5 100:9 145:19 223:2,3,4 225:12,12,22 226:4 226:16,20 284:22 285:2 Sunday 213:1 superficial 74:10 superhero 44:7 Superman 45:19 86:1 supervise 105:5 154:4 supervising 160:14 supervisor 118:14 153:22 161:14 supplemented 212:20 supplier 228:14

suppliers 5:20 23:21

228:7,12

supply 8:21

226:3,16

148:14

supported 42:14

supportive 30:14

24:4,8,13,16,19 46:21

61:15 76:16 117:17

support 29:1 30:6 36:9

100:2 145:18 225:11

supporting 25:17 28:16

supports 253:11 suppose 70:10 81:5 83:22 295:10 supposed 99:14 205:11 205:12 309:6 sure 7:1 9:2 19:4,12 27:17,18 40:18 42:21 60:13 67:20 73:18 78:7,13 95:20 99:11 99:12 101:6 103:1 151:7 161:7 167:2 168:7 187:5 192:11 207:17 213:17 276:22 287:20 302:22 surface 64:10 surprised 165:2 surreply 203:13,17 suspect 185:10 215:16 224:3 276:2 suspenders 281:20 sustain 181:21 sustained 39:17 51:16 53:3 55:22 113:11 161:2 171:3 175:16 175:17 184:14 185:6 204:12 260:17 263:2 SUZANNE 1:20 **SVP** 105:4 137:20 sweater 199:5 switches 39:2 sworn 41:21 103:21 162:5 198:20 sympathy 128:21 syndicated 24:1 44:14 113:18 systemic 63:9

tab 121:21 208:10,12 210:10 tabbed 10:22 table 6:12 80:12 208:4 208:15 245:21 250:11 268:10,22 269:5 tabs 11:7 208:17,19 254:17 tackled 92:10 tactics 27:13 Tae 255:4,4,4,5 take 7:1,7,9 10:11 34:19 38:17 41:7 53:9 75:7 88:8 135:10,17 136:11 148:17 153:10 155:22 158:7 161:4 163:15 166:12 168:1 175:22 176:22 179:15

185:17 186:13 189:20 190:4 199:3 205:5,7 207:7 213:10 217:13 219:8 227:21 234:10 245:5 253:20 255:16 259:17 264:22 279:5 281:9 283:1 290:22 293:19,21 297:1,22 305:3 306:17 taken 19:11 119:13 209:7 211:4 254:12 takes 22:20 29:13 32:20 88:16 Tale 53:13 78:14 talk 63:19 71:15,16,17 95:6 101:17 106:21 113:19 159:19 talked 58:8 172:16 283:2 talking 73:17,19 82:22 83:1 87:12,14 91:15 226:10 260:12 261:7 261:8 265:2 271:20 277:7 task 284:15 taught 50:13 51:1 91:19 technical 101:14,18 295:2 technically 96:16 290:6 **Technology** 268:17,19 278:3 Ted 140:17 televangelist 82:12 television 24:2 27:6,11 35:14 43:13,16 44:11 44:13,19 45:22 48:11 54:12 57:9 58:7,8,9 77:9,12 82:11 137:19 140:22 142:8 151:1 156:8,9 257:12 tell 67:5 155:21 166:18 166:20 184:5 187:12 200:2 206:15 207:10 210:15 217:14 219:9 219:15 227:2 234:11 255:18 259:22 277:16 281:12 286:7,20 290:17 294:1 telling 70:4 75:17 temperature 199:1 template 69:12 ten 27:8 45:1 47:18 53:21 78:18,20 79:8 79:12,22 80:9,20 81:2

83:3,15 87:8,21 88:2

tendered 165:14 166:2

166:3 tends 64:17 83:10 tens 91:21 term 38:20 134:11 142:7 246:18 306:12 terminate 174:10,15 175:6 terminated 28:1,19,20 143:13 166:1 278:17 terminating 174:19 175:1 294:5 termination 4:13,16 27:7 45:19 134:17 223:21 280:12,13 291:20 293:5,13 297:5 305:19 306:8 306:11 terminations 293:9 terms 30:14 33:22 64:7 64:18 71:12 113:5 184:11 221:21 terrible 71:3.5 territorial 262:8 test 46:11 Testament 43:22 44:1,9 testified 41:22 46:7 103:22 107:19,22 122:16 135:8 156:4 160:13 166:12 190:9 198:21 238:14 247:1 testify 57:8 184:9 204:8 204:13 227:17 255:20 testifying 17:20 136:22 203:11 236:20 testimonies 62:12 114:1 testimony 3:12,13 25:6 25:11 35:21 37:19 39:12 45:15 47:2 49:2 54:20 58:4 59:20 60:10 62:1,14 81:13 88:15 93:22,22 109:1 109:4,8 111:4,5 143:5 156:1,16 172:14 176:22 185:5 191:20 241:5 242:8 244:12 279:12 text 76:13 texts 50:8 thank 6:3,4,9 10:19 14:1,17,18 16:11 20:1 21:10 22:2,15 30:18 30:21 37:1,2,4 40:15 41:11 42:2,22 45:3,3 55:13 57:14 58:17 59:3 60:17,18 61:5

62:7,10 69:18,20,21 70:1 76:2 84:3 85:8,9 86:16,18 87:1 94:4,5 98:9,12,14 102:15 103:10 111:1 127:5,6 130:1 136:12 138:20 144:10,13 148:5 149:13 151:2,15,17 152:13 153:1,6,13,18 174:7 175:19 177:7,7 187:16 188:12 189:9 189:18 192:18 194:3 198:15 199:6 200:7 202:5 209:5 211:7 214:6 217:1,11 222:20 227:8,10 234:4 238:21 249:9 250:6 253:16 258:4 259:15 260:14 261:15 261:15 268:18 278:9 279:10 282:1,10,18 282:21 285:7 290:3 290:22 295:21 305:1 309:15 **Thanks** 280:1 Thanksgiving 213:2 232:12 theirs 270:5 271:2 theme 70:6,10,22,22 71:2,12,22 78:2,4 80:15 85:13,21 86:8 97:2,4,11,17,20 themed 81:17 themes 70:15 71:5 78:4 78:8,12 85:13,14,19 theory 44:6 68:10 thereon 301:12 thereto 156:3 232:1 therewith 201:15 thick 205:15 thing 7:15 14:20 23:8 33:1 42:18 68:4 84:2 102:11 182:6,19 191:10 221:20 241:11 264:18 265:11 278:1 things 7:16 21:17 26:7 26:13 29:8 31:11 32:11 34:11 50:14 55:6 70:18 74:18 92:12 93:13 100:5,12 156:9 167:3 176:8 222:17 224:21 225:18 244:2 251:3 263:17 284:10 think 7:17 8:13 10:5

27:3 31:6,12,20 33:20 35:20 36:11,18 54:17 54:20 56:1,9 58:3,11 59:7 61:21 66:11 73:7 84:2 85:17 87:10,21 90:19 94:3,10,14 100:2,22 101:10 102:5,17 108:13 115:18 123:2 126:22 133:1 144:8 145:20 146:2,6 147:1,4 149:3 150:1 155:8 156:3,16 158:8 160:14 161:10 170:19 178:3 179:14 183:15 188:8 190:7,7 191:22 198:3 202:12 203:7 206:8,10 208:2 213:2 215:3 217:19 219:20 220:1 224:15 224:15 226:11 227:18 240:22 241:1 244:8 249:3,13 254:13 255:21 267:2 272:14 276:2 278:12,18 279:15 280:17,17 281:18 283:12 294:6 296:19 299:4 300:7 300:16 308:7 thinkers 50:8 thinks 237:21 third 97:7 163:4 176:4 176:15,16 180:14,17 259:20 285:22 thirty-five 61:3 Thorton 93:11 thought 84:12 90:2 92:22 118:15 151:6 208:14 218:14 302:15 306:5 thoughts 47:12 thousand 276:6 thousands 33:16 91:22 212:14 251:12 307:15 threat 27:13 309:2,8 threaten 307:8 threatened 281:19 three 27:7,9 58:21 60:15,15 61:3 63:15 64:6,18 167:22 183:5 233:3 242:21 249:11 303:5 three-part 63:8 three-quarters 179:4 threshold 67:2

Thursday 7:6 9:9 11:19 11:21 tick 66:7 tie 45:1 ties 222:12 225:15 243:20 Tim 140:3 time 5:11 7:20 9:6,12 9:21,21 10:2 15:16 21:9,18 22:11,11,14 22:21 23:2,3,5 32:4,6 37:5 40:14 41:2 67:16 69:3 82:15 84:19 91:11,16 92:16 93:4 93:10,12 94:15 95:11 100:1 102:20 108:2,3 117:22 118:10,11 119:3 133:4 151:14 152:2,22 155:20 161:11,15,18 174:18 188:1 194:14 201:15 204:9 209:7 211:20 215:4,7 231:15 262:8 264:11 282:12 287:17 291:18 293:2 298:20 302:16 303:22 304:4 305:14 307:3,16 timekeepers 21:13 timekeeping 9:20 timeliness 214:10 timely 212:8 284:16 times 7:4 45:12 89:18 233:3 254:4 287:3 title 110:3 116:9,14 121:13 166:18 167:8 169:7 176:7,14,19 181:10 195:8 222:8 228:11 229:11,13 249:18 265:3 268:10 273:8 274:17,22 275:1,12,20 276:3 300:21 titled 156:5 titles 4:11 17:15,19,22 24:7 63:6 65:3,4,5,6 65:10,18 66:20,22 67:6,19 72:10 113:22 117:6 159:7 162:8,10 162:22 167:18 178:13 190:14 191:20 257:12 260:5 262:5 268:11 269:3,5,12,17,21 270:1,18 273:1 274:10 275:15 297:1 307:15 today 7:4,11 8:3 17:21

12:18 19:18 21:6,22

throw 199:5

thrown 181:10

38:15 60:9 105:22 110:9 147:17 194:14 283:2 today's 11:15 token 16:10,13 146:6 told 61:22 221:22 257:1 304.12 tools 31:14 top 72:19 123:17 190:22 229:3,3 245:21 248:5 265:1,3 268:5 270:5 273:13 273:15 275:13,15 topic 71:9,11 96:7 Toronto 155:5,6,15 total 86:7 195:12 totality 106:1 touchy 256:5 Tour 113:7,8 132:2 town 11:19 Tracee 38:1 285:10.12 285:16 286:12 287:13 287:14.16 288:1,4 track 9:4,20,21 10:2 trading 176:18 183:4 tradition 71:19 traditionally-religious 64:13 **Trails 271:7** training 49:15 50:1,10 58:20,22 Trains 270:20 transmitted 164:22 transmitting 287:17 Transworld 132:8 travel 155:5,10 Trial 91:18 tribunal 45:11 107:18 144:16 221:7 tried 19:1 31:13 56:11 276:19 tries 9:3 trouble 184:11 225:9 242:19 246:8 true 51:9 60:10 99:4 100:14 110:10 165:10 201:5 212:11 278:5 285:12 trusted 37:13 254:7 trustworthy 256:1 truth 256:22 try 30:22 55:15 102:11 186:10 190:16 237:7 243:19 293:17 trying 10:12 26:4 35:15 51:18 56:4 70:11 75:6

92:8,14,17,18 94:12 146:12 149:19 170:5 182:6,20 192:11 222:22 237:19 272:16 277:1 Tuesday 9:9 217:9 turn 67:4 124:16 130:10 130:19 138:2,13,20 139:12,21 140:13 141:2 239:6 265:5,6,7 265:8 292:10 301:8 turned 185:22 turning 183:20 239:6 TV 44:14.16 70:5 72:16 92:15 97:12 132:20 132:22 133:2 140:20 255:3 two 12:9.10 17:14 27:7 27:7.9 52:14 59:6 95:3 105:5 106:6 10.8:8.16 109:16 119:3 120:16,17 154:17 166:17,21 167:22 169:16 181:6 181:12 182:20,22 183:11 205:18 214:9 221:2 230:8 233:3 234:14 235:19 239:5 242:20 247:17,20 249:11 259:19 265:20 267:14 286:22 287:3 290:18 308:13 two-page 243:17 type 45:6 57:10,11 typed 256:11 262:14 types 43:9,14 92:19 221:16 typically 116:13 127:13

U.K 288:21,22 289:1

257:5

290:21 291:10
U.S 235:14 250:13
254:13
ugly 307:12
Uh-hum 108:10
uh-oh 139:15
ultimately 52:8 69:7,9
232:11 233:1,4 297:2
307:10
unabated 39:9
unartfully 266:20
underlying 226:20
227:16 284:20 285:3
underneath 230:12

283:7 underscore 96:6 understand 7:12 15:1 21:4 46:14 47:16 52:1 54:3,14 62:17 64:9 83:17 101:3.7 137:1,2 157:12 166:11 170:11 178:4 182:8 192:22 213:21 238:15 277:15 289:19 294:7.18 297:8 306:8 understanding 46:9 48:2 62:21 72:5,15 91:3 152:3 159:18 162:12.15.17 166:20 167:6 175:2.5.14 193:20 247:9 286:10 290:7 296:12 297:20 300:10 understands 58:7 understood 57:3 75:1 145:7 155:19 158:6 216:18 227:7 228:17 258:15 290:12 undertake 76:14 undisclosed 216:13 Unfortunately 168:2 unified 44:6 uniformly 21:17 unilaterally 297:10 unique 22:22 unit 10:7 222:15 United 11:20 91:17 105:7 106:9 132:13 269:15 290:11 291:11 universe 86:5 University 107:3 unnecessary 125:8 unredacted 125:22 126:3 unsupported 30:2 untrue 30:11 **updated** 248:18 uplift 89:2 upper 239:9 upset 308:2 Urban 85:3 132:20 140:19 141:1 usage 45:17 use 8:14 11:1 38:20 160:22 161:5 221:21 222.4 useful 10:15 30:13 221:6 users 256:20

utilized 249:4

V

vacated 18:22 19:3,3,3 21:5 vacating 21:5 vacation 19:7 Vadis 53:14 93:7 valid 40:6 115:16 116:19 126:21 163:2 238:7 validate 29:11 validity 11:15 26:12 28:5 validly 172:20 valuable 167:16 value 267:13 variety 32:1 43:17 44:17 112:17 113:17 113:18 254:19 various 43:13 44:8 143:12 162:10 194:21 210:17 219:21 221:3 221:4,11,16 260:4 280:11 vehicle 82:9 vendor 159:9,13 162:17 163.4 verification 21:3 172:2 verify 29:10 186:2 vernacular 214:13 Vernon 6:8 138:4 218:6 218:13,15 292:16 version 80:20 266:16 versus 85:13 91:18 255:4,4,4 Vesuvius 53:17 Vice 104:18 140:8 victim 17:16 37:21 Victims 95:8 Victor 42:9 Victoria 2:9 6:13 video 43:16 view 24:10 52:9 53:10 63:22 73:5,12 74:2,22 75:7,12 91:16 93:13 96:7 viewer 71:7 73:4,11 74:1,21 75:7,10 79:17 79:19 80:11 87:9,22 88:17,20,21 89:1 viewers 79:20 views 50:9 violates 202:12 284:4 Virginia 155:18 virtually 47:3 78:7

utility 221:21

Visual 183:4 voir 22:13 48:13,16 51:15 55:21 214:4 215:22 volume 1:13 44:5 120:4 120:6 200:6 volumino us 32:16 165:15

W

wait 12:16 16:15 20:19 53:1 247:11 261:6 279:19 walk 88:12 225:17 walking 83:20 Wallace 78:14 Walt 37:20 want 11:10 12:8 16:4,20 21:12 53:5,6 56:6 · 86:8 92:20 95:19 98:20 101:17 125:16 148:14 157:11 159:14 160:17 182:10 189:12 193:16 194:16 203:1 219:17 247:4 258:21 260:2 264:7 278:17 283:3 289:15 wanted 14:22 15:13 49:3 91:5 156:14 160:12 167:1 182:21 184:10 187:4 213:17 213:22 216:9 232:14 war 70:17,20,21 71:3,4 warrior 71:21 Wars 169:17,19 186:19 Washington 1:2,17 2:11,15,22 154:20 155:17 wasn't 82:22 92:14 151:6 195:22 213:5 213:17 224:16,17 272:5 273:15 275:17 276:2 284:16 286:15 waste 100:1 watch 66:2 83:3 85:6 86:15 88:2 watched 76:12 watching 77:11 watermarks 64:20 way 11:1 27:18 31:13 38:10 52:21 87:14 88:13 102:10 112:20 118:17 144:3 152:11 162:16 179:4 192:8 193:7,15 196:3 206:15,21 221:6

225:2 242:17 255:2 266:21 272:2 273:20 274:19 277:21 303:13 ways 255:5 we'll 14:15 16:18 20:18 21:8,13 23:1,16 26:20 28:22 35:9 37:19 41:8 41:8,9 170:8 191:13 209:8 253:10.13 279:20 we're 10:1,13 11:8 13:3 13:7.11 14:14 21:17 26:4 27:17.18 32:3 33:3 36:3,4,12,13,19 87:12.13 126:9 136:11 144:19 146:2 152:11 178:5 189:4 193:5 208:16.18 216:2 237:8,13,15 244:4 261:7.8 278:15 278:18 309:10.11 we've 6:19 21:22 25:8 31:13 32:5 36:18 225:8 251:1 257:2,3 274:11 280:17 283:2 296:14 297:3 305:16 309:5,5 weather 155:2 Website 10:17 Wednesday 12:14 week 9:10 204:16 212:16 214:9,9 294:21 weekend 12:17 83:4 213:2 weeks 18:9 155:12 232:8 weigh 125:16 240:22 253:10 weight 73:8 81:12 126:22 244:9 253:13 welcome 6:15 98:13 102:13 went 41:12,13 54:18 63:9 65:20 136:15 213:13 228:16 248:18 252:21 266:5 267:3 283:15 297:13 309:17 weren't 13:21 143:14 151:7 223:17 266:4 whatsoever 108:1 white 249:3,8 Whitney 2:20 5:22 Whitt 163:6 165:14,21

Whitt's 165:10,16

Whittle 8:11,19

whole-punched 10:22 11:5 wholes 11:7 wholesale 163:19 wide 112:17 wide-ranging 45:16 Wikipedia 256:20 Wilder 93:11 Willie 13:12.13 72:14 76:10 77:5.13 willing 170:20 Wilson 13:12.13 72:11 72:14 77:5 Wilson's 76:11 77:2,13 win 36:12 window 23:6 winning 252:11 Winthrop 2:10 wisdom 81:16 118:16 Wise 54:1 withdraw 12:19 187:13 withdrawn 187:15 withdrew 35:14,18 withheld 27:21 witness 3:2 8:9 40:17 40:20 41:3,8,17 42:2 45:3,11 56:13 57:9,17 57:22 59:8 62:6,8 79:9 80:7 81:14 82:18 83:6,13 84:10,16 85:9 86:18 89:21 90:1,7 91:10 92:13 93:1 94:1 94:5 98:10,14,15,20 99:7,9,14,15,20,22 100:22 101:2 108:5 129:2,12,17 145:12 146:16 150:9 151:14 153:7 160:15 167:7 169:7,12 175:19 180:18 182:1 185:4 191:14 198:1,8,10,12 198:14,19,20 200:8 202:1,3 203:3 204:22 206:6 218:14,17 226:13 227:17 236:20 237:7 238:18 246:22 247:17,20 248:21 249:2,13,17,21 250:1 250:4,7,14 252:4,7 256:21 257:10,13,17 265:16 268:2,4,6,8,13 268:16,20 269:1,6,9 271:11,15,18,22 272:9,13,15 274:7,9 276:8 286:22 287:6 290:1 300:6 302:12

witnesses 8:15 9:3 12:11 17:20 22:13 146:20 147:2 193:9 Woman 93:11 women 83:18 won 152:14 wonder 183:11 Wonderful 81:19 83:4 word 22:8 57:5 163:20 words 50:12 52:7 56:21 57:4 63:15 71:14 159:5 167:8 177:16 236:6 work 12:5 47:4 52:8,10 64:5,7 66:3 78:2,4,7,8 85:14,21 93:20 99:13 105:13,15,16,21 106:10 114:5,6 115:20 118:19 154:19 154:21 155:3,6,8,12 155:15,15,16,17,20 193:7 227:14 249:8 256:3 284:18 work's 78:3 worked 42:12 43:10 44:15,20 45:8,20,22 78:18 107:14 196:9 286:8,21,22 287:3 working 93:16 106:18 106:19 107:9 165:21 works 53:8 63:6 64:22 65:21 73:1 78:10 80:8 81:17 93:20 109:22 111:16 113:5,17,18 156:8,10 159:16,22 163:13 164:7 worksheet 274:1 284:6 284:14 world 43:12 91:16 105:12,14,19,20 155:11 246:14 247:15 worldwide 2:2 130:14 138:5,7 141:4,6,7 159:11,12 168:10,18 169:18 186:14 199:11 240:13,15 242:2 246:1,14 288:11,13 288:16,17,21 289:4,5 289:18 290:6,8,9,10 290:12,14,15,20 291:2,11 292:1,6,22 293:6,10 295:11,12 301:10 worth 212:1

worthless 227:1.2

wouldn't 75:14 97:10

97:16 196:6 250:18 252:14 272:20 286:17 wrapper 86:5,7 write 209:8 writer 42:11,13 46:13 80:6 writers 48:7,8 250:5 writing 42:15 43:9 52:3 54:2 62:13 70:5 91:12 writings 43:19 55:5 written 13:16 15:7 25:4 25:17 28:2 37:6,9 39:21 43:11,12,21 44:12 54:10 99:2 109:7 123:10 134:16 134:17 141:21 144:11 147:18 162:6 174:11 203:13,15,17,18 303:22 304:2 wrong 91:7 151:6 230:15 272:6 wrote 44:3 47:6 56:15 308:17 WSG 297:11 301:10

X 273:2 275:7 xls 121:18

yardstick 63:10 year 34:16 111:11,12 111:15,15,16 114:1,6 114:17,19 115:17 119:5 129:8 142:13 142:14 155:12 156:13 157:20 158:14 161:7 161:9,20 162:19 163:6,18 176:1,2,3,3 176:4 179:17 180:4 181:5,18 183:3 199:16 249:21 251:21 251:22 262:17 296:20 years 27:8,9 42:12,14 45:18 48:9 82:10 87:17 105:2 109:21 110:1 114:4 116:5,7 117:14 118:2,5,19 119:3,6,7 120:1,19 139:5 161:13,17 163:5 165:3,4 173:18 174:16 239:17 248:6 286:7 YFR 249:20

Z Zena 71:21 Zeus 71:21 zipped 7:3

08173 176:5 **09** 62:9

1 1:13 120:6 168:3

174:17 175:9 202:18

211:16 246:11 255:4

265:4,7,8,9,9 266:3

266:11 267:4 268:7

268:12,19 269:3,4

272:4,21 299:10,11 1,000 11:9 1:00 136:12 **10** 45:18 99:1 174:8,8 206:17 209:15,16 210:1 215:16 252:2 259:20 265:3 266:9 **10.000** 266:13 270:7 271:19 10:15 41:13 10:32 41:14 100 115:1 191:15 200:3 200:10,11 202:8 205:2 232:18 239:17 239:17 248:6 274:15 274:17 **101** 1:17 200:1,3,8,10 205:10,10,12,22 206:4,14,16 207:8 208:6,9,10,13 209:1 209:15,16,21 210:11 210:12,14 211:9,11 229:10 243:1 245:8 289:8 301:8,16 102 4:7 217:14,19 218:21 219:3,6,12 230:4 292:9 **103** 3:12 4:8 219:10,11 219:13 220:20 221:1 222:9 223:3,6,7,10,13 225:21 226:16,19,22 227:6 230:9 231:21 232:2 233:18 **104** 3:6 4:9 219:9,10 234:11,13 235:11 237:3 241:21 243:16 253:6,9 **105** 4:10 61:21 65:1,2,3

65:9,16,18 243:16

253:21 254:2,12

255:16,17 258:18 259:8,14 260:3 283:4 105-108 4:15 106 4:12 205:6,11,12,22 206:4,6,6,7,18 208:3 208:9 210:1,11,12 259:18 261:9 263:8 263:11 265:21 278:12 278:21 279:3 283:4 **107** 4:13 279:6,8 280:5 280:7,10,11 281:1,4,7 **10786** 2:4 **108** 4:14 281:10 282:3,6 282:9 283:4 **11** 27:8 128:16,18 129:7 202:15,19 203:6 211:17 **110** 3:12,13 **111** 112:5 **115** 4:15 33:5 221:22 222:6,12 223:4,10 225:5,13,15 226:9,9 227:11,18,22 228:2 233:20 234:7 236:5 239:1 242:18,18,22 244:14 255:10,13 260:19 261:7,20,21 266:8 282:17 283:1,3 283:20 285:1,6 289:6 292:4,7 293:9 299:20 302:8 305:12 **116** 4:16 293:20 295:14 295:17,20 **117** 4:17 298:1,14 299:22 300:20 304:18 304:19,22 **118** 305:4 306:17 **12** 128:18 215:17 252:5 **12:03** 136:15 **120** 3:14 **121** 3:15 **122** 243:6 **1233** 2:14 124 4:6 188:16,21 **126** 3:16 **13** 62:1 65:13 72:2 128:17 134 3:17 14 62:6 128:16,17 203:6 204:2 211:17 **148** 3:18,19 15 41:7,9 65:17 **15-minute** 7:8 **151** 3:20 153 3:6.18

15th 59:21 304:1 **16** 277:4,16,17 **164** 178:12,15,16 166 177:12 **167** 177:12 178:5 18 105:2 1818 2:21 **182** 3:20 **188** 4:6 **18th** 62:2,5 **19** 287:19 **1914** 54:1 **1920s** 47:12 63:10 **1929** 93:5 **1930** 82:3 **194** 3:7 **1950s** 82:13 **1956** 78:20 **196** 3:6 **1960** 69:13 **199** 3:8 1992 45:9 1996 45:9 1997 17:11 44:21 **1998** 199:16 287:20 **1999** 5:15 109:14 142:18 150:1,17 287:20 288:1 292:17 298:18 **1999-2009** 1:10,11 3:14

2 3:20 120:4 143:5 149:22 150:15 171:12 180:14,17 200:6 255:4 265:4 266:3,11 266:16 267:1,4 268:7

298:20 2,407 278:8 2/10/2012 183:10 2:20 213:13 2:48 213:14 20 3:11 62:5 2000 21:1 28:14 31:17 31:18 33:2 116:4 117:15 123:3 158:14

271:16,17 272:4,4

19th 47:17 53:11

2000-2003 248:14 263:14 264:4,15 266:1 267:3 291:6,9 296:18

273:11 296:20

160:16 201:16 218:1

220:15 235:2 267:1

2000-2009 121:13,18

younger 214:13

	1	l	1 1
2001 38:6 86:10	279 4:12	117:7 177:20	3551 292:9
2002-2003 143:5	281 4:13	311 3:16 123:20,21,21	365-day 163:16
2003 21:1 28:14 31:18	282 4:14	124:4,17 125:5	3796 231:21
33:2 123:4 201:16	285 4:15	126:19 127:4,9	3805 231:21
218:1 220:15 235:2	295 4:16	128:14 130:7 133:9	3834 223:20
267:2 273:11	2H 129:7	134:10 171:8 183:21	3887 239:5,7,14 241:21
20036 2:15,22	2nd 284:9 304:10	194:17	3921 239:5
20037-1122 2:11		312 130:4,11 133:6,22	3959 255:15,17 256:12
2004 5:11 13:4 109:5	3	134:1,2,6	257:8
116:4 117:16 159:17	3 150:22 183:21 255:4	312-323 3:16	
159:20,22	265:8	313 130:16	44
2004-09 1:7	3/14/14 292:19	314 130:20	4 183:21 200:6 203:6
2004-2009 1:6 3:13	3:28 252:21	315 131:2	206:18 207:9,21
2005 13:6 109:5 142:18	3:38 252:22	316 131:6	209:1,21 210:15
294:11	3:44:55 259:4	317 131:10	229:11 233:2 239:7
2006 13:8 105:1	30 118:2,4 161:17 201:1	318 131:14	4,000 114:18,21
2007 13:10 105:1	213:3 281:14 284:2	319 131:18	4:30 7:5 309:11
118:14 160:14 161:7	291:21 292:3,17	320 131:22	4:33 309:17
176:2,4 177:10	294:10	321 132:4	40-some-odd 217:20
2008 13:12,14,19,20	30-day 174:11	322 132:11	40160 271:1
161:10,20 291:21	300 15:10 16:21 17:10	323 123:20 124:5 130:4	408-7600 2:15
292:3,17 294:10	20:11,15	132:15 133:6 134:1,2	4150 271:21
2009 5:12,15 109:6,14	300-305 3:11	134:7,10 194:17	4160 266:9,9,10 272:22
116:4,4 117:15,16	3000 207:10	324 3:17 136:1,7 137:6	276:15
150:1,17 163:6,7	301 16:21 17:10	137:8,16 141:19	4161 266:10 269:5
179:17 180:10 181:5	302 16:21 17:10	142:2,5,6 144:21	271:9 277:13
181:18 183:3	303 17:10 18:19	148:7,12 152:20	4162 268:14 277:6,20
2010 118:22 119:5	3032 243:1 245:9,10	153:1,5	4163 268:22
156:17 157:1	3035 248:22	325 138:3 148:18,21	4165 278:6
2011 191:4 306:1	3036 243:1 247:8	325-333 3:19	42 3:3
2012-07 149:22	304 17:10 18:19	326 138:14 303:10,12	43 42:14
2012-6 1:5	3047 289:7	327 138:21	44 212:1
2012-7 1:10	3049 289:7	328 139:13	47 272:5
2014 1:14 59:21 62:2	305 4:17 17:11 18:19	329 139:22 140:7	4759 266:15 272:1,2
201:1 202:15,19	20:11,16	330 139:22 140:7	4764 266:15
211:17,19 213:3	306 17:11 18:2,20,20	331 140:14	4770 272:14,15
281:14 284:2 298:20	20:18,22	332 136:1 137:6,8 141:3	4ISE 249:10
202 2:11,15,22	3062 301:7,15	141:19 142:2 149:4	4SE 249:10
20th 2:14	307 15:11 18:3,7 19:8	333 3:14 120:3,6,7,21	
21 203:6	20:18	121:4,7,10 148:18,22	5
213 2:5	308 3:12 98:17,21 103:6	149:5,8,10 170:12	5 72:18,21 230:1 265:7
219 4:7	103:13	334 3:15 121:21 122:2,8	268:19
22 180:22	309 3:12 108:13,19,21	122:11,14 166:12	5,000 264:13
221 180:20	109:3,17 110:7,10,14	170:12	50 45:12
223 181:2	110:18,22 111:10	335 59:12,16 60:5,14,19	5129 293:11
227 4:8	117:7 156:1 159:2,15	61:7 67:5	5157 211:21 284:3
23 203:6	163:14 177:9,20,22	336 158:9 160:9 186:13	5200 211:21 284:3
2300 2:10	178:22 179:1	187:20	
23rd 68:6	309B 179:1	338 3:20 161:5 162:7	6
24 203:6	30th 202:13 211:13,14	175:22,22 179:15	6 72:18,20 168:6,9
25 211:18 216:10	211:19 212:22 284:4	180:8,9 182:13,17	230:13
253 4:9	298:17 299:8	351.10 147:9	6/8/2012 183:9
255 179:1,2,3	31 99:1	352 150:2	6:31 74:13
259 4:10	310 3:13 108:13,19,22	353 3:20 150:3,7,13	60 11:16 45:12
26-1/2 193:15	109:12 110:2,7,10,14	151:4,8,12 153:8	624-1996 2:5
26-and-a-half 9:7	110:18,22 111:10	355-7900 2:22	6308249 121:18

343

		J-1.
	I	1
64,000 262:5 269:21		
274:10,22 275:10		
200:00		
296:22		
6 63-8000 2:11		
7		
7 72:21 96:3 220:16		
230:16		
		ł
70 3:4		
702 57:20		
703 2:14		
'6 3:4		
'8 139:5		
8		
1.14 160.17 171.12 18		
1:14 169:17 171:13,18		
173:1 184:16 203:6		
237:5		
:30 7:13		
0 43:6		
4 275:21		
70.4		
7 3:4		
th 2:21		
9		
9		
		•
	l	
l		
1		
l		
l		
l		
l		
i		
l	İ	
Į		
l		
	ı	
ļ		
İ		
l		
j		
	· •	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Phase II Distribution

Before: US LOC

Date: 12-08-14

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Mede A Gors & Court Reporter